1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
3	BEFORE THE HONORABLE WINIFRED Y. SMITH, JUDGE PRESIDING
4	DEPARTMENT NUMBER 21
5	000
6	COORDINATION PROCEEDING) SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 3.550))
7)
8	ROUNDUP PRODUCTS CASE) JCCP No. 4953
9	
10	THIS TRANSCRIPT RELATES TO:)
11	Pilliod, et al.) Case No. RG17862702 vs.)
12	Monsanto Company, et al.) Pages 5741 - 5771) Volume 34
14 15	Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings
16	Monday, May 13, 2019
	Moliday, May 13, 2019
17	
18	Reported by: Kelly L. Shainline, CSR No. 13476, RPR, CRR
19	Stenographic Court Reporter
20	
21	
22	BARS
23	DAY ADEA REPORTING
24	BAY AREA REPORTING SOLUTIONS
25	888.526.8243 www.BayAreaReportingSolutions.com

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	
2		
3	For Plaintiffs:	
4	THE MILLER FIRM, LLC	
5	108 Railroad Avenue Orange, Virgina 22960	
6	(540)672-4224 BY: MICHAEL J. MILLER, ATTORNEY AT LAW mmiller@millerfirmllc.com	
7	mmiller@millerlirmilc.com	
8	BAUM HEDLUND ARISTEI & GOLDMAN PC 10940 Wilshire Boulevard, 17th Floor	
9	Los Angeles, California 90024 (310) 207-3233	
10	BY: R. BRENT WISNER, ATTORNEY AT LAW rbwisner@baumhedlundlaw.com	
11	PEDRAM ESFANDIARY, ATTORNEY AT LAW pesfandiary@baumhedlundlaw.com	
12	pestandiary@baummediundiaw.com	
13		
14	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON FOLLOWING PAGE)	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	5743)

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> : (CONTINUED)
2	For Defendants:
3	EVANS FEARS & SCHUTTERT LLP 2300 W. Sahara Ave, Suite 950
4	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 (702) 805-0290
5	BY: KELLY A. EVANS, ATTORNEY AT LAW kevans@efstriallaw.com
6	HINSHAW
7	One California Street, 18th Floor San Francisco, California 94111
8	(415) 362-6000 BY: EUGENE BROWN JR., ATTORNEY AT LAW
9	ebrown@hinshawlaw.com
10	GOLDMAN ISMAIL TOMASELLI BRENNAN & BAUM LLP 564 West Randolph Street, Suite 400
11	Chicago, Illinois 60661 (312) 681-6000
12	BY: TAREK ISMAIL, ATTORNEY AT LAW tismail@goldmanismail.com
13	CIBMAII & GOLAMAII I BMAII . COM
14	(Multiple other counsel present as reflected in the
15	minutes.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	E742

Ī	
1	I N D E X
2	Monday, May 13, 2019
3	PAGE VOL.
4	Verdict 5745 34
5	3713 31
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	5744

1	Monday, May 13, 2019 1:50 p.m.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	00
4	(The following proceedings were heard in the
5	presence of the jury:)
6	THE COURT: Good afternoon, ladies and
7	gentlemen.
8	In the matter of the Pilliods versus Monsanto,
9	please let the record note that counsel are all present
10	and the jurors are seated in the jury box.
11	And who is the presiding juror? Please raise
12	your hand. Do you have a verdict?
13	PRESIDING JUROR: Yes.
14	THE COURT: Would you please pass the verdict
15	to the Court Attendant.
16	(Pause in the proceedings.)
17	THE COURT: Mr. Wright, would you read the
18	verdict, please.
19	<u>VERDICT</u>
20	THE CLERK: This is the verdict form for
21	Alberta Pilliod.
22	The claim of design defect.

Question 1: Did Roundup fail to perform as

safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected when

used or misused in an intended or reasonably foreseeable

23

24

1 Answer: way. Yes. 2 Question 2: Was the design of Roundup a 3 substantial factor in causing harm to Alberta Pilliod? 4 Answer: Yes. Claim of strict liability-failure to warn. 5 6 Question 3: Did Roundup have potential risks that were known or knowable in light of the scientific 7 and medical knowledge that was generally accepted in the 8 scientific community at the time of their manufacture, 9 distribution, or sale? Answer: Yes. 10 11 Question 4: Did the potential risks of 12 Roundup present a substantial danger to persons when 13 used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice? Answer: Yes. 14 15 Question 5: Would ordinary consumers have 16 recognized the potential risks? Answer: 17 Question 6: Did Monsanto fail to adequately warn of the potential risks? Answer: Yes. 18 Question 7: Was the lack of sufficient 19 20 warnings a substantial factor in causing harm to Alberta Pilliod. 21 Answer: Yes. 22 Claim of negligence. 23 Question 8: Was Monsanto negligent in designing, manufacturing, or supplying Roundup? 24

25

Answer: Yes.

Question 9: Was Monsanto's negligence a 1 2 substantial factor in causing harm to Alberta Pilliod? 3 Answer: Yes. Claim of negligent failure to warn. 4 Ouestion 10: Did Monsanto know or should it 5 6 reasonably have known that Roundup was dangerous or was 7 likely to be dangerous when used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice? 8 9 Answer: Yes. Ouestion 11: Did Monsanto know or should 10 reasonably have known that users would not realize the 11 12 danger? Answer: Yes. 13 Question 12: Did Monsanto fail to adequately warn of the danger or instruct on the safe use of 14 15 Roundup? Answer: Yes. 16 Question 13: Would a reasonable manufacturer, 17 distributor, or seller under the same or similar circumstances have warned of the danger or instructed on 18 19 the safe use of Roundup? Answer: Yes. Ouestion 14: Was Monsanto's failure to warn a 20 21 substantial factor in causing harm to Alberta Pilliod? 22 Answer: Yes. 23 Claim of damages. 24 Ouestion 15: What are Alberta Pilliod's damages? 25

1	For past economic loss, the amount of
2	\$201,166.76.
3	Future economic loss, \$2,957,710.
4	Past noneconomic loss, \$8 million.
5	Future noneconomic loss, \$26 million.
6	Punitive damages.
7	Question 16: Did Monsanto engage in conduct
8	with malice, oppression, or fraud committed by one or
9	more officers, directors, or managing agents of Monsanto
10	acting on behalf of Monsanto? Answer: Yes.
11	Question 17: What amount of punitive damages,
12	if any, do you award to Alberta Pilliod?
13	One billion dollars.
14	Dated 5/13/2019.
15	The verdict form for Alva Pilliod:
16	Claim of design defect.
17	Question 1: Did Roundup fail to perform as
18	safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected when
19	used or misused in an intended or reasonably foreseeable
20	way? Answer: Yes.
21	Question 2: Was the design of Roundup a
22	substantial factor in causing harm to Alva Pilliod?
23	Answer: Yes.
24	Claim of strict liability—failure to warn.
25	Question 3: Did Roundup have potential risks

1 that were known or knowable in the light of scientific 2 and medical knowledge that was generally accepted in the 3 scientific community at the time of the manufacture, distribution, or sale? Answer: Yes. 4 Question 4: Did the potential risks of 5 6 Roundup present a substantial danger to persons when used in accordance with widespread and commonly 7 recognized practice? Answer: Yes. 9 Question 5: Would ordinary consumers have 10 recognized the potential risks? Answer: 11 Question 6: Did Monsanto fail to adequately 12 warn of the potential risks? Answer: Ouestion 7: Was the lack of sufficient 13 warnings a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva 14 15 Pilliod? Answer: Yes. 16 Claim of negligence. 17 Question 8: Was Monsanto negligent in designing, manufacturing, or supplying Roundup? 18 Answer: Yes. 19 20 Ouestion 9: Was Monsanto's negligence a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva Pilliod? 2.1 22 Answer: Yes. 23 Claim of negligent failure to warn. Ouestion 10: Did Monsanto know or should it 24 25 reasonably have known that Roundup was dangerous or was

1 likely to be dangerous when used in accordance with 2 widespread and commonly recognized practice? 3 Answer: Yes. Ouestion 11: Did Monsanto know or should it 4 reasonably have known that users would not realize the 5 6 danger? Answer: Yes. Question 12: Did Monsanto fail to adequately 7 warn of the danger or instruct on the safe use of 9 Roundup? Answer: Yes. Question 13: Would a reasonable manufacturer, 10 distributor, or seller under the same or similar 11 12 circumstances have warned of the danger or instructed on 13 the safe use of Roundup? Answer: Yes. Ouestion 14: Was Monsanto's failure to warn a 14 15 substantial factor in causing harm to Alva Pilliod? 16 Answer: Yes. 17 Claim of damages. Question 15: What are Alva Pilliod's damages? 18 Past economic loss, \$47,296.01. 19 Past noneconomic loss, \$8 million. 20 Future noneconomic loss, \$10 million. 21 Punitive damages. 22 23 Question 16: Did Monsanto engage in conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud committed by one or 24

more officers, directors, or managing agents of Monsanto

acting on behalf of Monsanto? Answer: Yes

Question 17: What amount of punitive damages, if any, do you award Alva Pilliod?

Answer: One billion dollars.

Dated 5/13/2019.

THE COURT: Well, ladies and gentlemen of the jury, is this your verdict?

ALL: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So is there a request for polling of the jury?

MR. ISMAIL: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So in one of the instructions I gave, I'm polling to ask you for each question and how you voted on each question. So I also indicated through the Court Attendant that you could bring your draft sheets in, and some of you may have. You're certainly fine using those if you want to.

So I'm going to start with -- so what I'm going to do is I'm going to ask regarding question number 1, and start with Alberta Pilliod's verdict form, and I'm going to ask you how many of you voted yes on the first question. I would like for you to raise your hands and keep them raised because my clerk is going to count the number of people and which jurors voted which way.

And I'm going to ask both yes and no for each 1 2 question, and then when we get to damages I will ask how 3 many voted for damages and have you raise your hand. does everybody understand what we're about to do? Okay. Excellent. 5 First question is did Roundup fail to perform 6 as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected 7 when used or misused in an intended or reasonably 9 foreseeable way. How many of you voted yes on that question? 10 (All hands raised.) 11 THE COURT: You can put your hands down. 12 13 Number 2: Was the design of Roundup a substantial factor causing harm to Alberta Pilliod? 14 15 How many of you voted yes? (All hands raised.) 16 17 THE COURT: How many of you voted no? Did I miss you the first time? 18 JUROR NO. 11: No. 19 20 THE COURT: Question number 3. Are you ready? 21 THE CLERK: Yes. THE COURT: Did Roundup have potential risks 22 23 that were known or knowable in light of the scientific and medical knowledge that was generally accepted in the 24

scientific community at the time of the manufacture,

```
1
       distribution, or sale?
                  How many of you voted yes?
 2
                  (All hands raised.)
 3
                  THE COURT: Just to be sure -- you may lower
       your hands -- did anyone vote no?
 5
                  (No hands raised.)
 6
                  THE COURT: Ouestion number 4: Did the
 7
       potential risk of Roundup present a substantial danger
       to persons when used in accordance with the widespread
 9
       and commonly recognized practice?
10
                  How many of you voted yes? Please raise your
11
       hands.
12
13
                  (All hands raised except that of
                  Juror No. 11.)
14
15
                  THE COURT: How many voted no?
16
                  (Juror No. 11 raised hand.)
17
                  THE COURT: Question number 5: Would ordinary
       consumers have recognized the potential risk?
18
19
                  How many voted yes?
                  (No hands raised.)
20
                  THE COURT: Please raise your hand if you
21
       voted no.
22
2.3
                  (All hands raised.)
24
                  THE COURT: Ouestion number 6: Did Monsanto
       fail to adequately warn of the potential risks?
25
                                                               5753
```

1	Please raise your hand if you voted yes.
2	(All hands raised.)
3	THE COURT: Did anyone you may lower your
4	hands. Did anyone vote no?
5	(No hands raised.)
6	THE COURT: Question number 7: Was the lack
7	of sufficient warning a substantial factor in causing
8	harm to Alberta Pilliod?
9	Please raise your hand if you voted yes.
10	(All hands raised.)
11	THE COURT: Lower your hands.
12	Did anybody vote no?
13	(No hands raised.)
14	THE COURT: Question number 8: Was Monsanto
15	negligent in designing, manufacturing, or supplying
16	Roundup?
17	Please raise your hand if you voted yes.
18	(All hands raised.)
19	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
20	Did anyone vote no?
21	(No hands raised.)
22	THE COURT: Question number 9: Was Monsanto's
23	negligence a substantial factor in causing harm to
24	Alberta Pilliod?
25	Please raise your hand if you voted yes.
	575 <i>1</i>

1	(All hands raised except that of
2	Juror No. 11.)
3	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
4	Please raise your hand if you voted no.
5	(Juror No. 11 raised hand.)
6	THE COURT: Thank you.
7	Question number 10: Did Monsanto know or
8	should it reasonably have known that Roundup was
9	dangerous or was likely to be dangerous when used in
10	accordance with widespread and commonly recognized
11	practice?
12	Please raise your hands if you voted yes.
13	(All hands raised.)
14	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
15	Please raise your hand if you voted no.
16	(No hands raised.)
17	Question number 11: Did Monsanto know or
18	should reasonably have known that users would not
19	realize the danger?
20	If you voted yes, please raise your hand.
21	(All hands raised.)
22	THE COURT: Question number 12: Did Monsanto
23	fail to adequately warn of the danger or instruct on the
24	safe use of Roundup?
25	If you voted yes, please raise your hand.

1	(All hands raised.)
2	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
3	If anybody voted no, please raise your hand.
4	(No hands raised.)
5	THE COURT: Question number 13: Would a
6	reasonable manufacturer, distributor, or seller under
7	the same or similar circumstances have warned of the
8	danger or instructed on the safe use of Roundup?
9	If you voted yes to that question, please
LO	raise your hand.
L1	(All hands raised.)
L2	THE COURT: If you voted no, please raise your
L3	hand.
L4	(No hands raised.)
L5	THE COURT: Question number 14: Was
L6	Monsanto's failure to warn a substantial factor in
L7	causing harm to Alberta Pilliod?
L8	If you voted yes on that question, please
L9	raise your hand.
20	(All hands raised that of except
21	Juror No. 11.)
22	THE COURT: You can lower your hands.
23	Anybody voted no, please raise your hand.
24	(Juror No. 11 raised hand.)
25	THE COURT: With respect to damages, with

1 respect to past economic loss, how many of you voted in 2 favor of damages for past economic loss? (All hands raised.) 3 **THE COURT:** You may lower your hands. With respect to future economic loss, how many 5 voted in favor of damages for Alberta Pilliod's future 6 economic loss? 7 (All hands raised.) 9 THE COURT: You may lower your hands. 10 With respect to past noneconomic loss, how many of you voted in favor of damages for past 11 noneconomic loss? 12 (All hands raised.) 13 THE COURT: You may lower your hands. 14 15 With respect to future noneconomic loss, those of you who voted in favor of noneconomic damages for 16 17 future noneconomic loss, please raise your hands. (All hands raised.) 18 19 THE COURT: With respect to question 20 number 16: Did Monsanto engage in conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud committed by one or more officers, 21 directors, or managing agents of Monsanto acting on 22 behalf of Monsanto? 23 24 If you voted yes on this question, please raise your hand. 25

1	(All hands raised.)
2	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
3	If anybody voted no, please raise your hand.
4	(No hands raised.)
5	THE COURT: Question number 17: Damages
6	awarded in the amount of one billion dollars.
7	If you voted in favor of punitive damages,
8	please raise your hand.
9	(All hands raised except that of Juror No. 2.)
10	THE COURT: Keep your hands raised.
11	You may lower your hands.
12	JUROR NO. 8: I'm sorry, Your Honor, we're
13	saying whether we voted yes or no, not on the amount?
14	THE COURT: No, just on the question of are
15	they to be awarded. Did you vote for damages?
16	JUROR NO. 8: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And did anybody vote no,
18	the question was did you vote to award the damages, not
19	did they engage in conduct. Did you vote in favor of
20	awarding damages?
21	Anybody that did not vote in favor of awarding
22	damages, if so raise your hand. I'm sorry if I confused
23	you.
24	(No hands raised.)
25	MR. ISMAIL: I think there's a question about

whether they agreed with the amount that was awarded. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Of those of you who 2 3 voted -- you all voted in favor of punitive damages. Raise your hand if you voted in favor of the amount of damages awarded. 5 (All hands raised except those of Juror No. 2 6 and Juror No. 8.) 7 THE COURT: You may lower your hands. 9 Those of you who disagreed with the amount of 10 the damages awarded, please raise your hands. 11 (All hands raised except Juror No. 2, Juror No. 6, Juror No. 11.) 12 JUROR NO. 11: I did. 13 THE COURT: All right. Just to be clear, 14 15 those of you raising your hands, the last three, did not agree with the amount of punitive damages awarded; am I 16 17 right about that? Okay. Just wanted to be clear for the record. 18 Thank you. 19 All right. Moving on to Alva Pilliod's verdict form. 20 21 Starting with question number 1: Did Roundup fail to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would 22 23 have expected when used or misused in an intended or 24 reasonably foreseeable way? If you voted yes to question 1, please raise 25

```
1
       your hand.
 2
                  (All hands raised.)
                  THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
 3
                  Anybody who voted no?
 4
                  (No hands raised.)
 5
                  THE COURT: Question number 2: Was the design
 6
       of Roundup a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva
 7
       Pilliod?
 9
                  If you voted yes in favor of that question,
10
       please raise your hand.
                  (All hands raised except that of
11
                  Juror No. 11.)
12
                  THE COURT: If you voted no, please raise your
13
       hand.
14
                  (Juror No. 11 raised hand.)
15
16
                  THE COURT: Question number 3: Did Roundup
17
       have potential risks that were known or knowable in
       light of the scientific and medical knowledge that was
18
19
       generally accepted in the scientific community at the
       time of their manufacture, distribution, or sale?
20
21
                  If you voted yes to this question, please
22
       raise your hand.
23
                  (All hands raised.)
                  THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
24
                  Question number 4: Did the potential risks of
25
                                                               5760
```

1	Roundup present a substantial danger to persons when
2	used in accordance with widespread and commonly
3	recognized practice?
4	If you voted yes on this question, please
5	raise your hand.
6	(All hands raised except that of
7	Juror No. 11.)
8	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
9	If you voted no on this question, please raise
10	your hand.
11	(Juror No. 11 raised hand.)
12	THE COURT: Question number 5: Would an
13	ordinary consumer have recognized the potential risk?
14	If you voted yes for this question, please
15	raise your hand.
16	(No hands raised.)
17	THE COURT: If you voted no, please raise your
18	hand.
19	(All hands raised.)
20	THE COURT: Question number 6: Did Monsanto
21	fail to adequately warn of the potential risks?
22	If you voted yes, please raise your hand.
23	(All hands raised.)
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You may lower
25	your hands.

1	Anybody vote no on this question?
2	(No hands raised.)
3	Question number 7: Was the lack of sufficient
4	warning a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva
5	Pilliod?
6	If you voted yes on this question, please
7	raise your hand.
8	(All hands raised.)
9	THE COURT: You may lower your hand.
10	Anybody vote no on this question?
11	(No hands raised.)
12	THE COURT: Question number 8: Was Monsanto
13	negligent in designing, manufacturing, or supplying
14	Roundup?
15	If you voted yes on this question, please
16	raise your hand.
17	(All hands raised.)
18	THE COURT: Thank you. You may lower your
19	hands.
20	Anybody vote no?
21	(No hands raised.)
22	THE COURT: Question number 9: Was negligence
23	a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva Pilliod?
24	If you voted yes on this question, please
25	raise your hand.

1	(All hands raised except that of
2	Juror No. 11.)
3	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
4	If you voted no on this question, please raise
5	your hand.
6	(Juror No. 11 raised hand.)
7	THE COURT: You may lower your hand.
8	Question number 10: Did Monsanto know or
9	should have reasonably known that Roundup was dangerous
10	or was likely to be dangerous when used in accordance
11	with widespread and commonly recognized practice?
12	If you voted yes on this question, please
13	raise your hand.
14	(All hands raised.)
15	THE COURT: Thank you. You may lower your
16	hands.
17	Question number 11
18	Sorry. Did anybody vote no on that question?
19	Just to be sure.
20	(No hands raised.)
21	THE COURT: Question number 11: Did Monsanto
22	know or should reasonably have known that users would
23	not realize the danger?
24	If you voted yes on this question, please
25	raise your hand.

1	(All hands raised.)
2	THE COURT: Thank you. You may lower your
3	hands.
4	Did anybody vote no on this question?
5	(No hands raised.)
6	THE COURT: Question number 12: Did Monsanto
7	fail to adequately warn of the danger or instruct on the
8	safe use of Roundup?
9	If you voted yes on this question, please
LO	raise your hand.
L1	(All hands raised.)
L2	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
L3	Anybody vote no on this question?
L4	(No hands raised.)
L5	THE COURT: Question number 13: Would a
L6	reasonable manufacturer, distributor, or seller under
L7	the same or similar circumstances have warned of the
L8	danger or instructed on the safe use of Roundup?
L9	If you voted yes on this question, please
20	raise your hand.
21	(All hands raised.)
22	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
23	Anybody vote no on this question, please raise
24	your hand.
25	(No hands raised.)

1	MS. MIKACICH: 14: Was Monsanto's failure to
2	warn a substantial factor in causing harm to Alva
3	Pilliod?
4	If you voted yes on this question, please
5	raise your hand.
6	(All hands raised except that of
7	Juror No. 11.)
8	THE COURT: You may lower your hands.
9	If you voted no on this question, please raise
10	your hand.
11	(Juror No. 11 raised hand.)
12	THE COURT: Thank you.
13	Question 15: What are Alva Pilliod's damages?
14	With respect to past economic loss, if you
15	voted to award past economic loss, please raise your
16	hand.
17	(All hands raised.)
18	THE COURT: And if you voted to award past
19	noneconomic loss, please raise your hand.
20	(All hands raised.)
21	THE COURT: Thank you.
22	If you voted to award future noneconomic
23	damages, please raise your hand.
24	(All hands raised.)
25	THE COURT: Thank you.

Okay. With respect to question number 16: 1 2 Did Monsanto engage in conduct with malice, oppression, 3 or fraud committed by one or more officers, directors, or managing agents of Monsanto acting on behalf of Monsanto? 5 If you voted yes on this question, please 6 raise your hand. 7 (All hands raised.) 9 THE COURT: You may lower your hands. 10 Question number 17: If you voted to award the amount of one billion dollars, please raise your hand. 11 (All hands raised except those of Juror No. 2, 12 Juror No. 8, and Juror No. 11.) 13 THE COURT: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. 14 15 Let me just repoll on one question. The clerk is unclear. 16 17 With respect to punitive damages, question 16, if you voted yes on question 16, could you raise your 18 hand and keep it raised high. 19 20 JUROR NO. 7: Yes or no? THE COURT: This is, yes, I'm asking if you 21 voted yes on 16, which is: Did Monsanto engage in 22 23 conduct with malice, oppression, or fraud committed by one or more officers, directors, or managing agents of 24

Monsanto acting on behalf of Monsanto?

So I just want to make sure we counted correctly.

(All hands raised.)

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Mr. Wright, you may record the verdicts.

So, ladies and gentlemen, you have finished your work. And I just want to say thank you very much. You've completed your duties, you delivered a verdict, and you worked very hard over the past six weeks, I guess, coming every day on time, listening attentively and following directions.

And I want to say that I appreciate everything that you've done. And I'm sure I also speak on behalf of the lawyers in terms of your being the jury that I think anybody would want which is to promise to be fair and impartial, and I think you've delivered on that promise.

So I say that because I'm going to release you from all of the admonitions that I have been repeating day in and day out that you can't talk about the case, that I don't want you to think about the case, I want you to have juror amnesia. I came up with several different tricks, but it was really just to try to impress upon you how important it was for you to withhold judgment until you'd heard the evidence of both

the plaintiffs and the defense and the jury instructions and argument. And you did that. You were very patient and were very flexible when you needed to be and you did everything I asked.

So you do now have the right to discuss or not discuss any aspect of your jury service with anyone you would like to talk with about it.

So I'm going to ask you to -- what I want to do is I understand that some of you would like to leave and I'm going to ask the gallery to stay for five minutes to give those of you who don't want to talk to anyone the opportunity to leave.

The lawyers always want to talk to the jurors about their experience, about your experience with the case, whatever your thoughts are. They're free to ask whatever questions they would like to ask. I know they would like to talk to you. So those of you who are willing to and interested to talking about the case to the lawyers or the press or whoever, then you can stick around and do that as well.

But it's with my thanks that I now release you from jury duty. And I hope that it was a good experience and that you will serve as a juror on a case in the future. So thank you very much. You are excused and you may leave the courtroom. And I'm going to ask

the gallery to wait and remain in the courtroom for five minutes to allow the jurors who would like to leave an opportunity to do so.

So I'm also going to leave the bench so if any of you would like to talk to the jurors before you leave, they're free to do that. And I'm going to make some contact with them for a brief conversation.

MR. ISMAIL: Will they remain in the jury room, Your Honor?

THE COURT: There are a few people who just don't want to talk. But when everybody leaves -- those who do, you're free to talk to them, and I would like to give you that opportunity before everyone wants to leave. So I'm fine waiting about 30 minutes to give you a chance to --

MR. EVANS: Are they coming back here or in the hall, or where will they be?

THE COURT: They're just going to be leaving so catch them as they walk out. I didn't ask them to do anything. But they did say that a few of them wanted to leave and they didn't want to be approached. So I said, sure, I'll ask the gallery to stay for a few minutes just to give those people a chance to leave.

MR. MILLER: Your Honor, if I could. Thank you so much for your time the last two months. You've

been very patient. THE COURT: You're very welcome. It was a pleasure to have all of you in my courtroom. We'll come back, just to wrap it up and close out the record. I just want to give anyone who wants to speak to the jurors a chance to do that. And we'll reconvene in 20 or 30 minutes. (Proceedings adjourned at 2:22 p.m.)

1	State of California)
2	County of Alameda)
3	
4	I, Kelly L. Shainline, Court Reporter at the
5	Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, do
6	hereby certify:
7	That I was present at the time of the above
8	proceedings;
9	That I took down in machine shorthand notes all
10	proceedings had and testimony given;
11	That I thereafter transcribed said shorthand notes
12	with the aid of a computer;
13	That the above and foregoing is a full, true, and
14	correct transcription of said shorthand notes, and a
15	full, true and correct transcript of all proceedings had
16	and testimony taken;
17	That I am not a party to the action or related to a
18	party or counsel;
19	That I have no financial or other interest in the
20	outcome of the action.
21	Dated: May 13, 2019
22	
23	Kelly Shaintrie
24	Kelly L. Shainline, CSR No. 13476