Message	
From:	HEERING, DAVID C [AG/1000] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=68681]
Sent:	2/25/2016 4:55:56 PM
To:	HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [AG/1000] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/cn=Recipients/cn=230737]; LISTELLO, JENNIFER J
	[AG/1000] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/cn=Recipients/cn=533682]
Subject:	FW: Hugh EPA Brief.docx
Attachments:	Hugh EPA Brief.docx

Bill and Jen, take a look at the attached document for Hugh to use next week in a conversation with EPA officials. One thing I would like to see if we can start positioning is the statement below. Do you agree with the statement? If so, how can it be strengthened?

In the 40 year history of glyphosate registration in the US, EPA has reviewed the data on glyphosate multiple times and consistently concluded that glyphosate is not a carcinogen. The last re-registration review by EPA was in 1993(?). However, EPA has conducted other reviews since that time with the latest in 2014 where EPA reviewed more than 55 epidemiological studies conducted on the possible cancer and non-cancer effects of glyphosate and concluded: "this body of research does not provide evidence to show that glyphosate causes cancer, and it does not warrant any change in EPA's cancer classification for glyphosate." All research for regulatory approvals conducted since 1993 further strengthen the significant body of research demonstrating glyphosate is not a carcinogen as concluded by recent regulatory reviews conducted by the German Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR) and Canada's Pest Management Regulatory Authority (PMRA) both concluding glyphosate is not a carcinogen.

Let me know what you think, my goal is to drive the message that the data generated for regulatory purposes since their last re-registration review only serves to strengthen their original assessment and conclusions. Is the 1993 re-registration review correct?

Thanks,

David

From: JENKINS, DANIEL J [AG/1920]
Sent: Thursday, February 25, 2016 9:59 AM
To: STUMP, JEREMY [AG/1920]
Cc: HEERING, DAVID C [AG/1000]; STATER, STACEY L [AG/1000]; VAUGHN, TY T [AG/1000]
Subject: Hugh EPA Brief.docx

First draft. Please provide comment