I figured that. ;-) 

Thanks.

Sorry, that was a late evening précis for “I’ll call one of the observers in the morning”

I can certainly ask

Well it would help us if we could facilitate something and it could be completely anonymous. Reuters would respect that and honor the anonymity.

Sent from my iPhone
On Mar 1, 2016, at 21:58, [Redacted] wrote:

[Redacted] the employer of the ECPA observer, has been very averse to speaking out. [Redacted] our academic observer, has also been very averse – in his case, I think, understandably since he has interacted with IARC for many years and has a level of respect.

we could try ECPA? we’ll see Euros at the Poc meeting tomorrow.

From: [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, March 01, 2016 18:06
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Fwd: Reuters looking to speak to IARC Observer

What do you think? Would any of the observers be willing to provide background? Or could I provide some anonymized summaries that we received after the IARC process?

[Redacted]

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: [Redacted]
Date: March 1, 2016 at 16:51:13 GMT+1
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Reuters looking to speak to IARC Observer

Hello [Redacted]

As you are aware, Reuters are doing a series of special reports on the WHO and its agencies and you’ll recall that following engagement by Red Flag a number of months ago, the first piece was quite critical of IARC. See here.

You may also be aware that Red Flag is in touch with Reuters regarding the second report in the series, which is examining IARC itself. I gave a background brief to the journalist a few weeks ago and the North American Meat Institute also spoke to her recently both on background and on the record about its experience over the meat monograph and at the meeting in Lyon.
The journalist is looking at the relationship between the WHO body and IARC and is interested in understanding how IARC operates and communicates. For the moment she has been particularly focussed on what goes on during the meetings themselves.

Following the call with NAMI, the journalist is looking to speak with an Observer from a monograph other than meat, who can share their experience of the IARC meeting in Lyon. It would require an industry observer to speak on background only and it could potentially be an excellent opportunity for Glyphosate.

Please let me know if you would be interested in putting one of the Glyphosate Observers in touch and I’m happy to take a call if you would like to discuss this further.

Kind regards,

---
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