

Message

From: HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]
Sent: 8/20/2015 6:08:44 PM
To: HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]; GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]
[Redacted]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]
CC: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]; MURPHEY, SAMUEL [Redacted]
[Redacted]; MARTINO-CATT, SUSAN J [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

Thanks, David – will do.

Bill

From: HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2015 1:01 PM
To: HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]; GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]
Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]; MURPHEY, SAMUEL [Redacted]; MARTINO-CATT, SUSAN J [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

Bill,

Let's move forward with this plan. It will be important for us to be engaged in the proposal so please be sure we all get a chance to review the proposal before it is submitted next Friday.

Thanks,

David

From: HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2015 3:37 PM
To: GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]; HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]
Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]; MURPHEY, SAMUEL [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

All,

In the meantime, Donna went ahead and contacted Jim Bus about this. Jim has already responded that he would welcome the opportunity to get involved, and he agrees that a ½ day Tox Forum session would be a good way to challenge the basis on which IARC makes its determinations - we could specifically address key IARC issues:

1. The need for IARC reviews in the modern era where chemicals such as pesticides are subject to robust registration & re-registration reviews globally
2. The importance of exposure considerations in IARC reviews (IARC gives no weight or consideration)

3. IARC's growing unwarranted use of "oxidant stress" as a 'rubber stamp' to infer plausibility in humans
4. IARC's inappropriate evaluation of animal bioassay – IARC has no clear process for performing an integrated review of such data (IARC uses any 'noise' – everyone else uses dose-response, biological plausibility, historical controls, etc.)

Are folks OK with having CLA/Janet Collins put the proposal together and we/Bus work closely with her on this? Again, the deadline to submit a final proposal to Tox Forum is August 28, so we would need to get moving quickly. As I noted before, we had been looking for a forum to start such a discussion, and here it is.

Thanks,

Bill

From: HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2015 9:20 AM
To: GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]; HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]
Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]; MURPHEY, SAMUEL [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

All,

I would be in favor of pursuing this – for some time now we have been saying that we (MON & the broader Chem./Ag Chem. Industry) need to address *'the trouble with IARC'*, but we've been too darn busy to get anything started and we didn't have a forum to do so.

Dan, do you know if Janet has an outline in mind for what this would look like? If this goes forward, we should be closely linked in with what Janet's putting together. And I think we should contact Jim Bus at Exponent, as we know he has thoughts & passion around this topic per his recent experience at IARC with 2,4-D. Making this a glyphosate/2,4-D case-study would be more powerful because it would better highlight how arbitrary ('willy-nilly') the IARC reviews & conclusions are.

Bill

From: GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2015 8:26 AM
To: HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]; HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]
Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]; MURPHEY, SAMUEL [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission
Importance: High

We only have about 8 days to get this in-

CLA has offered to put the proposal together- should I communicate with Janet and let her know we are interested?

Dan

From: HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 5:39 PM
To: HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]; GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]
Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]; MURPHEY, SAMUEL [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

David,

It is curious that the invitation went to Janet Collins at Crop Life America, but maybe Dan knows more about that. That said, I doubt CLA would proceed with a glyphosate talk/session if we said 'no'.

On your second question, yes, when someone submits a proposal for a Tox Forum session, that person does the organizing if the proposal is accepted. Monsanto did this for a Tox Forum session on RNAi last year.

Bill

From: HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 5:12 PM
To: HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]; GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]
Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]; MURPHEY, SAMUEL [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

A couple questions:

- Are they going to have this session on glyphosate with or without us or are they asking if we want to talk about it?
- If I am understanding the original Email, we submit a proposal for the forum and they will inform us if it has been accepted.
 - Do we then organize the forum completely?
 - If not, who does?

David

From: HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]
Sent: Tuesday, August 18, 2015 5:06 PM
To: DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]; GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]; HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]

Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted] MURPHEY, SAMUEL [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

Melissa, All,

My initial reaction is that this would not create any conflict for our IARC activities. The Winter Tox Forum takes place in January, and by then, the Expert Panel results will be publicly known (in some form) and EPA's new cancer peer review and the German/EFSA re-review should also be out – we should also hear something from WHO/JMPR by then. So if this is just an 'Update' (as opposed to a half-day 'Session'), this should be a fairly timely review of what others thought of IARC. If this is a good way to communicate to the food value chain as Dan says, then I think it would be fine to make a presentation.

Taking this a bit further, some of you know that, beyond directly defending glyphosate, we have had very preliminary conversations with a few folks (including James Bus – formerly with DAS, now at Exponent) about how do we start a science-based public discussion about how archaic & inferior the IARC process is and it needs to be exposed for what it is and isn't. The Tox Forum might be a good avenue to start such a discussion.

Bill

From: DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 4:13 PM
To: GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]; HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]; HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]
Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]; MURPHEY, SAMUEL [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

Dan – taking Janet off the conversation for the time being. Is there a potential this creates any conflict with other IARC related activities? Also adding Sam.

Regards,

Melissa

From: GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 17, 2015 2:52 PM
To: Janet Collins; HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [Redacted]; DUNCAN, MELISSA S [Redacted]; HEERING, DAVID C [Redacted]
Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]
Subject: RE: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

Bill/Melissa/David- See below regarding IARC- we have UNTIL AUGUST 28 TO SUBMIT FOR THIS PROGRAM IN THE TOX FORUM. (Tox Forum is an industry forum which provides both an opportunity to communicate to others in the food value chain but also produces output that can be leveraged in other discussions. Properly constructed, the session could be impactful in several ways.

Janet-

This would seem to be a valuable exercise at the moment. I am not the one directing approaches to the IARC problem- but in my mind: the more support the merrier!

I have CC'd several of my colleagues involved in this issue to get their input and make them aware of the opportunity.

Dan

From: Janet Collins [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2015 2:22 PM
To: GOLDSTEIN, DANIEL A [Redacted]
Cc: JENKINS, DANIEL J [Redacted]
Subject: FW: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

Dan- is this something you would like to see CLA put together?

Janet

From: Toxicology Forum Headquarters [Redacted]
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2015 3:07 PM
To: Janet Collins [Redacted]
Subject: The Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Session Submission

Dear Dr. Collins,

On behalf of the Toxicology Forum Winter Meeting Program Committee, and its Chairs, Gary Minsavage (ExxonMobil Biomedical Sciences) and Cody Wilson (The Coca-Cola Company) I would like to follow-up with you regarding the session development process for the Winter Meeting of The Forum.

During the recent Summer Meeting of The Toxicology Forum there was a good deal of discussion around the topic/title of "Cancer Assessments for Pesticides - Mode of Action and Risk Considerations for Glyphosate" We would like to invite you to submit to the scientific program for The Toxicology Forum's Winter Meeting, February 8-10 in Washington, DC. You are welcome to forward this email along to a colleague who you think also might be interested in submitting to the scientific program.

Proposals [are submitted online](#). If you are interested, you may **instead** complete the attached session proposal outline and return it to me via email. The deadline for proposal submissions is Friday, August 28. The Program Planning Committee will begin reviewing proposals the following Monday. You can expect to hear about tentative acceptance decisions by mid-September with efforts being made to finalize the program by early October. Please feel free to contact Gary Minsavage, Cody Wilson, or me with any questions or concerns about the Winter Meeting, or the program submission process and details, we will be more than happy to answer any questions you might have.

The nature of The Toxicology Forum meetings is unique in that it allows scientists in different roles to better understand the science behind a specific toxicological concern, to appreciate various perspectives on the issue at hand, and gain an insight into what might be coming over the horizon through an open exchange and dialogue. Examples of previous meeting agendas are online for the [2015 Summer Meeting](#), and [2015 Winter Meeting](#).

Regards,

Kevin Merritt

The Toxicology Forum

Redacted