1	ANDRUS WAGSTAFF, PC	
2	Aimee H. Wagstaff (SBN 278480)	
_	7171 W. Alaska Drive	
3	Lakewood, CO 80226	
,	Tel: (303) 376-6360	
4	Fax: (303) 376-6361	
5	Aimee.wagstaff@andruswagstaff.com	
6	WEITZ & LUXENBERG, P.C.	
	Robin L. Greenwald	
7	700 Broadway	
8	New York, NY 10003	
	Tel: (212) 558-5802	
9	Fax: (646) 293-4921 rgreenwald@weitzlux.com	
10	rgreenwaid@weitziux.com	
	THE MILLER FIRM, LLC	
11	Michael J. Miller (pro hac vice)	
12	108 Railroad Ave.	
	Orange, VA 22960	
13	Tel: (540) 672-4224	
14	Fax:(540) 672-3055	
	mmiller@millerfirmllc.com	
15	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs	
16	Co-Lead Counsel for I tainings	
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA
18		
19	IN DE POUNDUD PRODUCTS	MDI N. 2741
19	IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDL No. 2741
20	LIABILIT LITIGATION	Case No. 16-md-02741-VC
21		
	This document relates to:	PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF
22		MOTION AND MOTION IN
23	Hardeman v. Monsanto Co., et al.,	LIMINE NO. 10 TO EXCLUDE
	3:16-cv-0525-VC;	EVIDENCE OF COLLATERAL
24	Stevick v. Monsanto Co., et al., 3:16-cv-02341-VC;	SOURCE PAYMENTS INCLUDING HEALTH
25	Gebeyehou v. Monsanto Co., et al.,	INCLUDING HEALTH INSURANCE
	3:16-cv-5813-VC	HOUMITCE
26		
27		
28		1

1 2 TO THE COURT, ALL PARTIES, AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD: 3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT beginning on February 13, 2019 in Courtroom 4 of the United 4 States District Court, Northern District of California, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San 5 Francisco, CA 94102, or as ordered by the Court, Plaintiffs will present their Motion in *Limine* to 6 Exclude Evidence Regarding Collateral Source Payments Including Health Insurance. A 7 supporting memorandum is filed herewith. 8 Dated: 1/30/2019 Respectfully submitted, 9 10 /s/ Aimee Wagstaff Aimee H. Wagstaff (SBN 278480) 11 aimee.wagstaff@andruswagstaff.com Andrus Wagstaff, P.C. 12 7171 W. Alaska Drive Lakewood, CO 80226 13 Tel: (303) 376-6360 14 /s/ Robin Greenwald 15 Robin L. Greenwald rgreenwald@weitzlux.com 16 Weitz & Luxenberg 17 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 18 Tel: (212) 558-5802 19 /s/ Michael J. Miller 20 Michael J. Miller (pro hac vice) Brian K. Brake (pro hac vice) 21 mmiller@millerfirmllc.com bbreake@millerfirmllc.com 22 The Miller Firm LLC 23 108 Railroad Ave. Orange, VA 22960 24 Telephone: (540) 672-4224 25 Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel 26 27 28

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

Plaintiffs hereby submit the following memorandum of points and authorities in support of his motion in *limine* to preclude any mention of collateral source payments including Plaintiffs' available health insurance coverage, public assistance, Social Security payments, disability payments, and unemployment benefits.

A. The Collateral Source Rule Bars References, Evidence, or Argument Concerning Payments by Health Insurance, Public Assistance, Social Security, and Unemployment.

The collateral source rule permits an injured person to recover damages from the wrongdoer without reference to any payment the injured person may have received from a source wholly independent of the wrongdoer. *Neumann v. Bishop*, 130 Cal. Rptr. 786 (1976) (*citing De Cruz v. Reid*, 69 Cal.2d 217 (1968)). This includes payments by health insurance carrier, public assistance, Social Security, disability policies, and unemployment benefits. The collateral source rule is not only substantive, but evidentiary and, as such, evidence of payments made by an unconnected source, such as an insurance company, is inadmissible for the purpose of reducing recoverable damages. *Howell v. Hamilton Meats & Provisions, Inc.*, 257 P.3d 1130, 1135 (Cal. 2011). Evidence that the plaintiff was insured wholly or partially against loss arising from liability is "regarded as both irrelevant and prejudicial." *Neumann*, 130 Cal. Rptr. at 799. Accordingly, "any attempt to inject it by question, suggestion, or argument is considered misconduct of counsel, and is often held reversible error." *Id*.

Further, "compensation for injuries received by an injured party from a source independent of the tortfeasor may not be deducted from the damages the plaintiff collects from the tortfeasor." *Garcia v. County of Sacramento*, 126 Cal. Rptr.2d 465 (2002). The defendant may not present "evidence that the plaintiff's medical expenses have been paid by an independent source." *Id.* This public policy ensures that "plaintiffs will receive the benefits of their decision to carry insurance and thereby encourages them to do so." *Howell*, 257 P.3d at 1135. Thus, evidence of insurance

7

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

payments should never be introduced to a jury and to allow otherwise would severely prejudice Plaintiff and prevent him from receiving a fair trial. Hrnjak v. Graymar, Inc., 4 Cal.3d 725 (1971). As such, any statement, reference, inference or suggestion regarding Plaintiffs' health insurance should be barred.

## B. Evidence of Plaintiffs' Health Insurance Is Irrelevant And Highly Prejudicial.

Evidence concerning Plaintiffs' health insurance is highly prejudicial and grossly outweighed by potential, if any, probative value it offers. See Hrnjak v. Graymar, Inc., 4 Cal.3d 725 (1971). It is likewise irrelevant to the central issue of causation in this phase of the trial. Indeed, it is likely that the jury would misuse or misinterpret any reference or suggestion to the existence of a health insurance policy that potentially paid in whole or in part, for Plaintiffs' medical expenses. Id. at 733 ("[T]here is additional prejudice likely in permitting [Plaintiff] to be characterized as a grasping person who is building up a lawsuit") (internal citations omitted). Such negative and disparaging reflection on character is unjustified merely because the Plaintiffs had previously taken the precaution and demonstrated the prudence to purchase insurance coverage. *Id.* Accordingly, evidence concerning the existence of any health insurance potentially available to cover any or all of Plaintiffs' medical bills must be excluded.

## **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court exclude the evidence as requested.

Dated: 1/30/2019 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Aimee Wagstaff

Aimee H. Wagstaff (SBN 278480) aimee.wagstaff@andruswagstaff.com Andrus Wagstaff, P.C. 7171 W. Alaska Drive Lakewood, CO 80226

Tel: (303) 376-6360

1 /s/ Robin Greenwald Robin L. Greenwald 2 rgreenwald@weitzlux.com Weitz & Luxenberg 3 700 Broadway New York, NY 10003 4 Tel: (212) 558-5802 5 /s/ Michael J. Miller 6 Michael J. Miller (pro hac vice) Brian K. Brake (pro hac vice) 7 mmiller@millerfirmllc.com 8 bbreake@millerfirmllc.com The Miller Firm LLC 9 108 Railroad Ave. 10 Orange, VA 22960 Telephone: (540) 672-4224 11 Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel 12 13 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 14 I certify that on January 30, 2019, I electronically filed the foregoing document with the 15 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the 16 CM/ECF participants registered to receive service in this MDL. 17 18 /s/ Aimee Wagstaff\_ Aimee H. Wagstaff (SBN 278480) 19 aimee.wagstaff@andruswagstaff.com 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 PLAINTIFFS' MOTION IN *LIMINE* NO. 10 TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE OF COLLATERAL SOURCE

1	Leland H. Belew (SBN 293096)			
1	<u>leland@andrusanderson.com</u>			
2	Lori E. Andrus (SBN 205816)			
2	lori@andrusanderson.com			
3	Jennie Lee Anderson (SBN 203586)			
4	jennie@andrusanderson.com			
	ANDRUS ANDERSON LLP			
5	155 Montgomery Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104			
6	Telephone: (415) 986-1400			
6	Facsimile: (415) 986-1474			
7	1 desimile. (+13) 700 1474			
_	Aimee Wagstaff (SBN 278480)			
8	aimee.wagstaff@andruswagstaff.com			
9	ANDRUS WAGSTAFF, PC			
	7171 West Alaska Drive			
10	Lakewood, CO 80226			
	Telephone: (303) 376-6360			
11	Facsimile: (303) 376-6361			
12	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
14				
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
16	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
17		1		
1 /	IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS	Case No. 3:16-md-02741-VC		
18	LIABILITY LITIGATION	MDI N. 2741		
19		MDL No. 2741		
19	This document relates to:	ECF ATTESTATION		
20	This document relates to:	<u>ECF ATTESTATION</u>		
	Hardeman v. Monsanto Co., et al.,			
21	3:16-cv-0525-VC			
22				
	Stevick v. Monsanto Co., et al.,			
23	3:16-cv-2341-VC			
24	Gebeyehou v. Monsanto Co., et al.,			
25	3:16-cv-5813-VC			
26				
27				
28		-1-	MDL No. 2741	

ECF ATTESTATION

## Case 3:16-md-02741-VC Document 2603-1 Filed 01/30/19 Page 2 of 2

1	Pursuant to Civil L.R. 5-1(i)(3).	the filing attorney attests he has obtained concurrence regarding	
2	the documents submitted in this filing from the signatories therein.		
3	and the comments of the same and the same an		
4	Date: <u>January 30, 2019</u>	By: <u>/s/ Leland H. Belew</u>	
5		Leland H. Belew	
6		Leland H. Belew (SBN 293096) <u>leland@andrusanderson.com</u>	
7		Lori E. Andrus (SBN 205816)	
8		lori@andrusanderson.com Jennie Lee Anderson (SBN 203586)	
9		jennie@andrusanderson.com ANDRUS ANDERSON LLP	
10		155 Montgomery Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104	
11		Telephone: (415) 986-1400	
12		Facsimile:(415) 986-1474	
13		Co-Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs in MDL No. 2741	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		-2- MDL No. 2741	
	ECF ATTESTATION		