Case 3:16-md-02741-VC Document 2559-29 Filed 01/25/19 Page 1 of 13

# **EXHIBIT 28**

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 IN RE: ROUNDUP ) PRODUCTS LIABILITY ) MDL No. 2741 4 LITIGATION ) ) Case No. THIS DOCUMENT RELATES ) 16-md-02741-VC 5 TO ALL CASES ) 6 7 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 11, 2017 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 8 9 10 Videotaped deposition of Donna 11 Farmer, Ph.D., Volume I, held at the offices 12 of HUSCH BLACKWELL, L.L.C., 190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600, St. Louis, Missouri, 13 commencing at 9:04 a.m., on the above date, 14 before Carrie A. Campbell, Registered 15 16 Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime 17 Reporter, Illinois, California & Texas 18 Certified Shorthand Reporter, Missouri & 19 Kansas Certified Court Reporter. 20 21 GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 22 877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com 23 24 25

Case 3:16  $-md_1^2$  741  $+VC_1$  pocument 2522 + Eilep 21/22/22 + Eilep 21/22 + Eilep 21/employed? 1 2 Α. Monsanto Company. 3 Q. And how long have you, Donna Farmer, been employed by Monsanto Company? 4 5 Α. Since September of 1991. 6 Ο. Okay. Don't make me do the 7 math. 8 How many years have you been 9 with Monsanto? 10 Α. 25 years. 11 Q. 25 years continuously employed 12 with Monsanto? 13 Α. Yes. 14 And as we sit here today, still Ο. employed by Monsanto? 15 16 Yes, I am. Α. 17 Ο. Okay. And what would you 18 describe your title as? 19 I'm a toxicol --Α. 20 MR. JOHNSTON: Objection. 21 Vaque. 22 Go ahead. 23 THE WITNESS: I'm a 24 toxicologist in our product safety 25 center.

Case 3:16  $-md_1^2$  741  $+VC_1$  Pocument 2552 29 t Files P1/25/22 + Rage 4 of 27 QUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER: 1 2 Would you -- is it fair to say Ο. 3 that you're the lead spokesperson for 4 Monsanto and Roundup? 5 I have been one of the Α. spokesperson for the safety of Roundup when 6 7 it comes to the toxicology. Ma'am, who is Christophe 8 Ο. Gustin? 9 10 Christophe Gustin is the head Α. 11 of our regulatory affairs for chemical 12 products in Europe. 13 And how long, approximately, 0. 14 has he been with the company? I don't know. I've known him 15 Α. 16 for many years. 17 Ο. And you work together with him 18 as the job requires? 19 Α. Yes. 20 (Farmer Exhibit 1-1 marked for 21 identification.) 22 **OUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER:** 23 Okay. Let's look at the first Ο. 24 exhibit. We've been produced documents from 25 your custodial file.

1 Vaque. 2 THE WITNESS: I have been 3 involved with glyphosate since 1996, 4 so as this indicated, I had a lot of 5 knowledge. And so based on that in 6 depth knowledge for over those many 7 years, yes, I was asked to be -- help 8 defend glyphosate. 9 **OUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER:** 10 Okay. And that's your job, Q. 11 defend Roundup, right? 12 Α. No, that's not my job. Ι 13 wouldn't agree with that. 14 My job is to make sure as a 15 regulatory toxicologist for glyphosate that 16 we meet all the requirements by the 17 regulators. And then there are times when 18 there are questions that are asked about the 19 molecule that we need to do responses for. 20 So it's more than just, as you 21 say, defending the molecule. 22 But part of your job is to 0. defend glyphosate, true? 23 24 It's a part -- yes, it is, and Α. 25 it's to respond to questions or allegations

#### Case 3:16 $-md_1^2$ 741 $+Vc_1$ Pocument 2559-29 t Eilep P1/25/29 i Rageo 6 of 213 have him commenting on it, and so I think 1 2 it's very open and very transparent. 3 Ο. And this was -- Dr. Belle's 4 comments we've been discussing were published 5 in a Journal of Toxicology and Environmental 6 Health? 7 Yes. Α. 8 Ο. A peer-reviewed journal? 9 MR. JOHNSTON: Objection. 10 Misstates -- lack of foundation. 11 QUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER: 12 Are you aware that that's a Ο. 13 peer-reviewed journal, Dr. Farmer? 14 This would have been the same Α. 15 journal that Williams would have submitted 16 in. I do believe it is peer reviewed. 17 But as we talked about, again, 18 peer review is not always the same. It's 19 very different these days. Too many 20 journals, and lots of variety of levels of 21 quality of peer review. 22 Ma'am, your name originally Ο. 23 appeared on the Williams article as an 24 author, the Amy Williams article, and then it 25 was struck out before it was published.

Golkow Technologies, Inc.

1 Are you aware of that? 2 Yes, I was. I told him that I Α. 3 didn't do anything on it and my name 4 shouldn't be on it. I had made some edits, but it was not at a level where I was -- not 5 6 to be an author. 7 You knew that if your name was Ο. 8 on it, it would be plain as day that it was 9 written by Monsanto, and you didn't want your 10 name on it, right? 11 MR. JOHNSTON: Objection. 12 Argumentative. 13 THE WITNESS: That's why I 14 didn't want my name on it, because I 15 didn't write it. And it's fully 16 known, as they acknowledge, that 17 Monsanto helped support it and that we 18 provided documents to them. 19 QUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER: 20 You added a section to the Q. 21 article on genotoxicity. 22 I'm sure that I did contribute Α. 23 some to this, yes. As I said, I didn't write 24 the whole thing, but I did add some comments. 25 (Farmer Exhibit 1-18 marked for

## $Case 3:16 - mg_1^2 - 2741 + YC_1 - 20 - mg_1^2 - 52 - 29 + Eilep + 21/22/22 + Eilep + 21/22 +$

see these kinds of responses, it's secondary to cytotoxicity, not a primary oxidative response.

4 Ο. He recommended on page 2104, 5 paragraph B at the top there, ma'am, "an assessment of the individual components of 6 7 Roundup mixture to determine whether there is 8 any components which act synergistically to increase the potential genotoxicity of 9 10 glyphosate, "right? 11 He did, and it was a basis for Α. 12 a study that we actually did. 13 0. What study? 14 It was with Heydens, et al. Α. 15 Can you spell that, please? Ο. 16 It was Bill Heydens, Α. 17 H-e-y-d-e-n-s. 18 Oh, your boss? Ο. 19 Α. Uh-huh. 20 Q. And he did the study? 21 Α. No, there was a group of us. 22 We had some -- because we are not in a 23 laboratory. We worked with some laboratory 24 people to look at this exact question 25 because, again, we did not believe that these

#### 

studies Parry suggests." 1 2 This was marching orders from 3 your boss, wasn't it? 4 Α. Well, that may be what he said 5 then, but we did do the studies. So again, I 6 would have you look at that Heydens 7 publication. 8 What Mark Martens said about Ο. the Parry report, that it simply wasn't 9 10 suitable for defense of the product. 11 You're aware of that, right? 12 Α. As we just talked about, we 13 didn't agree with Dr. Parry's interpretation 14 of all the data. We thought it was secondary 15 to cytotoxicity and irrelevant routes of 16 exposure, and we obviously had a disagreement 17 with him. 18 And, sure, if we have someone 19 who doesn't agree with the way we interpret 20 the data, we're not going to obviously have 21 them out there being spokespeople for us. 22 In fact, when Monsanto sent Ο. 23 Mark Martens over to meet with Parry, he was 24 irritated at Monsanto because of the pressure 25 that was being put on him.

Case 3:16- $md_1 P_1 = MC_1 p_1 c_1 p_2 c_2 + E = P_1 +$ 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 2 3 IN RE: ROUNDUP ) PRODUCTS LIABILITY ) MDL No. 2741 4 LITIGATION ) ) Case No. THIS DOCUMENT RELATES ) 16-md-02741-VC 5 TO ALL CASES ) 6 7 THURSDAY, JANUARY 12, 2017 CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER 8 9 10 Videotaped deposition of Donna 11 Farmer, Ph.D., Volume II, held at the offices 12 of HUSCH BLACKWELL, L.L.C., 190 Carondelet Plaza, Suite 600, St. Louis, Missouri, 13 commencing at 9:07 a.m., on the above date, 14 before Carrie A. Campbell, Registered 15 16 Diplomate Reporter, Certified Realtime 17 Reporter, Illinois, California & Texas 18 Certified Shorthand Reporter, Missouri & 19 Kansas Certified Court Reporter. 20 21 GOLKOW TECHNOLOGIES, INC. 22 877.370.3377 ph | 917.591.5672 fax deps@golkow.com 23 24 25

## $Case 3:16 - md_{1}\Omega_{1}^{2} T_{1} = K_{1} = 0$

It's just what it says. It's a national
 toxicology program. They conduct toxicology
 studies and look at toxicology of various
 substances.

Q. And you found out that the
National Toxicology Program in the summer
of 2016 was going to look in and investigate
this finding that IARC had made that Roundup
was a probable human carcinogen.

You found out about the NTP going to do their own investigation, didn't you?

A. I know the NTP was going to do A. I know the NTP was going to do A. I know the NTP was going to do A. I don't think it was directly related to exactly what you said. I think there was some more specific studies that they were going to conduct.

Q. And you and Monsanto went all the way to Capital Hill to stop that, didn't you?

A. I didn't go to Capital Hill to stop that.

23 (Farmer Exhibit 1-66 marked for
 24 identification.)

Case 3:16- $md_1 P_1 = MC_1 p_1 c_1 p_2 c_2 + E = P_1 + E = P_1 + E = P_2 +$ 1 QUESTIONS BY MR. MILLER: 2 Let's take a look at this last Ο. 3 exhibit that I have. Exhibit 1-66, which I hope will 4 5 be the last exhibit to your deposition here 6 in the two days, a series of e-mails with you 7 and others about the National Toxicology 8 Program, and let's take a look at it. 9 Let me know when you're ready, 10 I have a few questions. I have one more 11 exhibit after this, and then we'll wrap up. 12 Okay. Have you had a chance to 13 look at it? 14 Α. Uh-huh. 15 And the whole line of e-mail, Ο. 16 and which you're included in a lot of them, 17 we'll look at which ones, are about -- and 18 from September of 2016. Subject matter NTP 19 will be evaluating glyphosate now, 20 exclamation point. 21 Do you see that? 22 Uh-huh. Α. 23 Q. Okay. So it was important 24 enough at least for your colleague from 25 CropLife to put an exclamation point behind

#### Case 3:16-md $\frac{1}{12}$ Case

the concept that the National Toxicology 1 2 Program was going to be looking into the fact 3 that IARC had concluded Roundup was a 4 probable human carcinogen. 5 It was an important issue, 6 wasn't it? 7 They -- yes, they indicate that Α. 8 they think it is an important issue, yes. 9 And so she e-mails you and Ο. 10 says, "This is something that is going to 11 need some communication at the 'Hill' level." 12 She's talking about Capital 13 Hill, isn't she? 14 Α. I would assume so. Again, I'm 15 not a government affairs person, I'm the 16 toxicologist, and so she would be working 17 with that. So I assume that's what she's 18 referring to. 19 Well, whatever she did at the Ο. 20 Hill, the National Toxicology Program 21 abandoned its research and its study on that 22 issue. 23 You're aware of that, aren't 24 you? 25 MR. JOHNSTON: Objection.