

From: (b)(3),(b)(6)
To: (b)(3)
Subject: (b)(3) FW: Thanks from our Sponsor
Date: Thursday, February 6, 2020 8:13:17 AM

CLASSIFICATION: UNCLASSIFIED

(b)(3) – Technical information related to computer data. No informational content.

From: (b)(3),(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2020 8:10:34 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada)
To: (b)(3),(b)(6)
Subject: Fw: Thanks from our Sponsor

Hi (b)(3),(b)(6)
Please see below for your consideration.

(b)(3),(b)(6)

From: (b)(3),(b)(6)
Sent: Thursday, February 6, 2020 6:32 AM
To: (b)(3),(b)(6)
Cc: (b)(3)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Thanks from our Sponsor (b)(3),(b)(6) I can fit this in sometime over the next couple of days, but my time is limited, suggest some times, evening might be best for a call, I can do email as well. I will be in DC next week for a meeting at NIH. I also need to talk with you because I have received a few concerning, if not threatening, emails (b)(3) (b)(3) requesting that I make public statements refuting/excepting responsibility for making the 2019 virus (which social Chinese media say escaped from the Institute in Wuhan). The rumors ID a chimeric virus described in the attached paper, perhaps because Dr. Shi is a co-author for providing bat SCH014 virus sequence. The viruses (full length and chimera) have over 5,000 nucleotide differences with the 2019 nCoV (and were never sent to china). Social media is saying, ironically, that it escaped from her lab and she got it from a US research (b)(3),(b)(6). In any event, they want me to write a paragraph saying: a) that (b)(3),(b)(6) made it (it says it in the paper; under author contributions) and b) its very different from the 2019 nCoV, which will allow them to refute these claims in china (or use it for nefarious purposes to shift blame). I have not seen these Chinese rumors myself, but then again, I'm not clicking on conspiracy theory links. I have informed my university and believe that you should be informed as well. (b)(3),(b)(6)

From: (b)(3),(b)(6)
Sent: Wednesday, February 5, 2020 8:45 AM
To: (b)(3),(b)(6)
Cc:
Subject: Thanks from our Sponsor

Hi (b)(3),(b)(6)

The Sponsor very much appreciates you making special efforts to participate in the call on Monday.

Of course, the general recognition of your best in the world expertise continues to be in high demand to inform meetings and deliverables. The Sponsor continues to receive messages requesting direct access to you for your insights, but the Sponsor continues to act as gatekeeper because they are acutely aware of the competing demands for your time and the potential politics of your engagement.

There is one deliverable currently in draft, however, that clears the bar for the Sponsor in once again requesting your attention. Should you have time in the near term (this week), the Sponsor would very much appreciate your attention to several questions that could be worked with you in any of the following methods:

- 1) E-mail Q&A back and forth between me and you.
- 2) Phone call Q&A at your convenience with the Sponsor and an external stakeholder.
- 3) In-person visit to your office or other location of your choice at your convenience by a stakeholder representative.

If you can carve out any availability at all this week, then please let me know your preference among the three identified alternatives. But if not, the Sponsor 100% recognizes and endorses that you can have other priorities, and would gladly let this opportunity pass in order to retain the benefit of contributions of your unique expertise for the long-term.

Of course, it likely goes without saying, (b)(3)
(b)(3)

(b)(3),(b)(6)

Senior Program Manager

(b)(3), (b)(6)

A solid black rectangular redaction box covering a line of text.

(b)(3)

A solid black rectangular redaction box covering a line of text, positioned between two horizontal red lines.