

(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b) (6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

**Cc:** (b)(3)(b)(6)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

DOE USA GOV

DOE USA GOV (b) (6)

DOE USA GOV (b) (6)

**Subject:** (b) (1) (A)

Classification: (b)(3)

(b)(3)(b)(6)

Hello, thanks for including us, (b)(3)(b)(6)

We feel the letter needs to more directly get at our perception of the main source of the confusion to reduce the potential that any of the IC's efforts in good faith could be construed as deliberately misleading.

(b) (1) (A)

[REDACTED]

As a reminder, this brief note to our Secretary was meant to logically accompany deep dive briefings to properly get at the nuance of this issue, but we clearly traded too much clarity for brevity.

We are happy to discuss this with the NIC or any other partner you would like. Hope this helps.

Thanks, (b) (6)

**From:** (b)(3)(b)(6)

**Sent:** Tuesday, August 9, 2022 8:49 PM