
From: Wood, Gretchen (NIH/OD) [E] [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=549F390B39D044A8B5D1E0E1A8F76C1F-WOODGS]
Sent: 8/31/2020 6:34:06 PM
To: Barasch, Kimberly (NIH/NIAID) [C] [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=ea5fad4c52f64f80b7daee4982ae495f-baraschk]; Conrad, Patricia (NIH/NIAID) [E] [/o=ExchangeLabs/ou=Exchange Administrative Group (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/cn=Recipients/cn=7ea3e3ea7daa432887495d6825c9e588-conradpa]
Subject: Re: Reaching out for a chance to talk

Thanks very much, Kim. Please hold both times and I will circle back shortly. Appreciate your help!

From: "Barasch, Kimberly (NIH/NIAID) [C]" (b) (6)
Date: Monday, August 31, 2020 at 2:33 PM
To: Gretchen Wood (b) (6), Patricia Conrad (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Reaching out for a chance to talk

Hi Gretchen,
We can make 10:15am on either Sept 3rd or Sept. 4th work for Dr. Fauci.

Thank you,
Kim

Kim Barasch [C]
Office of the Director
National Institute of Allergy & Infectious Diseases

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

From: Wood, Gretchen (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6)
Sent: Monday, August 31, 2020 2:31 PM
To: Barasch, Kimberly (NIH/NIAID) [C] (b) (6); Conrad, Patricia (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6)
Subject: Re: Reaching out for a chance to talk

Hi Kimberly and Patty,

Will 10:15 AM on either Thursday, September 3, or Friday, September 4 work for Dr. Fauci? Wednesday is a complete wash for us.

Thank you,

Gretchen

From: Anthony Fauci (b) (6)
Date: Monday, August 31, 2020 at 2:22 PM
To: Francis Collins (b) (6)
Cc: "Barasch, Kimberly (NIH/NIAID) [C]" (b) (6), Patricia Conrad (b) (6)
Subject: RE: Reaching out for a chance to talk

Sure. Please let me know what works for you.

Tony

Anthony S. Fauci, MD

Director

National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases

Building 31, Room 7A-03

31 Center Drive, MSC 2520

National Institutes of Health

Bethesda, MD 20892-2520

Phone: (b) (6)

FAX: (301) 496-4409

E-mail: (b) (6)

The information in this e-mail and any of its attachments is confidential and may contain sensitive information. It should not be used by anyone who is not the original intended recipient. If you have received this e-mail in error please inform the sender and delete it from your mailbox or any other storage devices. The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) shall not accept liability for any statements made that are the sender's own and not expressly made on behalf of the NIAID by one of its representatives.

From: Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, August 31, 2020 1:50 PM

To: Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E] (b) (6)

Subject: FW: Reaching out for a chance to talk

Are you still up for a call with Harold? If so, shall I ask Gretchen to work with Patti and try to find a good time?

Francis

From: Harold E. Varmus (b) (6)

Sent: Monday, August 31, 2020 1:47 PM

To: Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6)

Subject: RE: Reaching out for a chance to talk

Yes, I am here in Old Chatham and reasonably flexible, especially on Wednesday, with some other free times on Thursday and Friday mornings. You will have a much fuller calendar than my own, so make some suggestions and we'll make a date. The weekend would also work at most times.

Thanks for sending the NPR account; I have been aware of EcoHealth's inclusion in the alliance for some time, but hadn't seen this version of the story.

Best, HV

From: "Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E]" (b) (6)

Date: Sunday, August 30, 2020 at 11:06 PM

To: "Harold E. Varmus" (b) (6)

Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Reaching out for a chance to talk

Hi Harold,

Last week was pretty horrible here, so Tony and I didn't manage to coordinate to arrange a call. Are you around this coming week?

Francis

P.S. in case you didn't see it: <https://www.npr.org/sections/goatsandsoda/2020/08/29/907237520/group-whose-nih-grant-for-virus-research-was-revoked-just-got-a-new-grant>

From: Harold E. Varmus (b) (6)
Sent: Thursday, August 20, 2020 10:33 AM
To: Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6)
Subject: Reaching out for a chance to talk

Yes, of course, we can talk next week. Your schedule will be less flexible than mine, so suggest some times. Early in the week is better than later.

You can call me in Old Chatham at (b) (6)

HV

From: "Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E]" (b) (6)
Date: Wednesday, August 19, 2020 at 9:49 PM
To: "Harold E. Varmus" (b) (6)
Cc: "Fauci, Anthony (NIH/NIAID) [E]" (b) (6)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Reaching out for a chance to talk

Harold,

(b) (6)

Tony and I would like the chance to speak with you about this – but for various personal reasons we can't do so until next week. How about a call then?

Meanwhile, (b) (6), I wonder if you have seen this article:
<https://www.independentsciencenews.org/commentaries/a-proposed-origin-for-sars-cov-2-and-the-covid-19-pandemic/>

Francis

From: Fine, Amanda (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6)
Sent: Wednesday, August 19, 2020 10:03 AM
To: Collins, Francis (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6); NIH Director's Executive Committee <(b) (6)>
Cc: Burklow, John (NIH/OD) [E] (b) (6); OCPLPressTeam (b) (6)
Subject: WSJ: NIH Presses U.S. Nonprofit for Information on Wuhan Virology Lab

NIH Presses U.S. Nonprofit for Information on Wuhan Virology Lab

National Institutes of Health told EcoHealth Alliance it must hand over information and materials from Chinese research facility to resume funding for suspended grant

By

Betsy McKay

Aug. 19, 2020 5:30 am ET

The National Institutes of Health told a small New York-based nonprofit that it must hand over information and materials from a research partner in Wuhan, China, that is under scrutiny by the Trump administration to win back a multimillion-dollar research grant.

Among the items the nonprofit EcoHealth Alliance must provide to resume funding is a sample of the new coronavirus that the Wuhan researchers used to determine its genetic sequence, according to a July 8 letter from the NIH viewed by The Wall Street Journal.

EcoHealth Alliance must also arrange for an inspection of the Wuhan Institute of Virology by an outside team that would examine the facility's lab and records "with specific attention to addressing the question of whether WIV staff had SARS-CoV-2 in their possession prior to December 2019," the U.S. health-research agency's letter said.

"The NIH has received reports that the Wuhan Institute of Virology...has been conducting research at its facilities in China that pose serious bio-safety concerns," read the letter, which was signed by Michael Lauer, the NIH deputy director for extramural research.

"We have concerns that WIV has not satisfied safety requirements under the award, and that EcoHealth Alliance hasn't satisfied its obligations to monitor" its partner to ensure it has complied with regulations regarding the use of the grant money, the letter added.

EcoHealth Alliance, which searches for warning signs of animal viruses that could cause human outbreaks, confirmed it had received the letter.

The NIH said it doesn't discuss internal deliberations on specific grants. Dr. Lauer declined a request for an interview, an NIH spokeswoman said.

The Trump administration has suggested, without providing evidence, that the SARS-CoV-2 virus causing the current pandemic originated in a high-security lab at the Wuhan institute.

Recipients of U.S. government research grants are required to routinely monitor subrecipients to ensure that they are using the money as intended, researchers say.

Yet the NIH doesn't usually set the kinds of conditions it required EcoHealth Alliance to meet, said Heather Pierce, senior director for science policy and regulatory counsel at the Association of American Medical Colleges.

Jimmy Kolker, a former U.S. ambassador and former assistant secretary for global affairs at the Department of Health and Human Services, said the NIH can routinely ask for reports about the progress of research, including updates on the work of a partner and the safety of its lab, but shouldn't ask about matters outside the scope of the funded research.

"What they're asking for is intelligence information that will be used for policy-making," he said in an interview.

The NIH's list of conditions "is outrageous, especially when a grant has already been carefully evaluated by peer review and addresses one of the most important problems in the world right now—how viruses from animals spill over to human beings," Harold E. Varmus, a former NIH director, said in an interview. "What could be more important at the moment?"

Dr. Varmus is one of 77 Nobel laureates who asked NIH Director Francis Collins and Secretary of Health and Human Services Alex Azar in May to review the NIH's termination of the grant the month before.

"This whole episode is just a woeful attack on the traditional way NIH has maintained its integrity," he said.

EcoHealth Alliance responded to the NIH last week, calling the U.S. research agency's suspension unjustified, according to a copy of the letter reviewed by the Journal.

EcoHealth Alliance said in its response that it hadn't sent any grant funds to the Wuhan institute before the grant was suspended, though it has provided funding to the institute in previous years.

The conditions are outside the scope of the grant, said Peter Daszak, president of EcoHealth Alliance, adding that his nonprofit doesn't have access to the information the NIH is seeking.

"Our work is part of protecting the U.S. citizen against diseases like Covid-19," Dr. Daszak said. "It's just so shortsighted to drop that research."

Since 2004, the New York City-based nonprofit has collaborated with Wuhan Institute of Virology researchers and others to study coronaviruses in bats in China and how they infect people, according to EcoHealth Alliance and the nonprofit's published research.

EcoHealth Alliance received a \$3.4 million grant from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases in 2014, which the nonprofit used, working with its Wuhan institute collaborators, to study coronaviruses in bats roosting in caves in China and how the viruses infect people.

In one study resulting from that grant, the researchers found evidence that people living near the caves had been infected with viruses resembling the one that caused severe acute respiratory syndrome, the disease that originated in China and caused a deadly epidemic in 2002 and 2003.

The Wuhan institute received \$133,000 each year from EcoHealth Alliance for the first four years of that grant and \$66,000 in the fifth year, according to the nonprofit. The WIV, part of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, is a major research institute focusing on animal and human pathogens. It houses a laboratory that operates at the highest level of biosafety precautions.

The NIAID renewed the EcoHealth Alliance grant last year for another five years, at \$3.7 million.

EcoHealth Alliance planned to use the renewed grant for further study into how often SARS-like viruses in southern China are spilling over to humans and the consequences for public health, Dr. Daszak said.

The Trump administration has expressed concerns about biosafety in China, and U.S. intelligence agencies said in April they were attempting to determine whether a lab accident in Wuhan might have caused a release of the virus. U.S. diplomats in China sent a cable to the State Department in 2018 warning of insufficient safety training at the Wuhan lab, which was conducting research on bat coronaviruses.

The NIH terminated the grant to EcoHealth Alliance in April, saying it didn't believe the work aligned with "program goals and agency priorities," according to a letter the NIH sent that was viewed by the Journal.

The agency reinstated the grant in July but suspended its activities, saying in its July 8 letter to the nonprofit that it must fulfill seven criteria before funding on the grant can resume.

The Wuhan institute sequenced the genome of the new virus in January after receiving patient samples. In addition to requiring EcoHealth Alliance provide a sample of the sequenced coronavirus, the NIH said in its letter that EcoHealth Alliance must "explain the apparent disappearance" of a scientist who worked in the Wuhan lab.

The scientist was rumored on some social media to be a “patient zero” of the pandemic. The Wuhan institute has denied that the pandemic began at its facility or among its scientists. The institute said in a statement earlier this year that the scientist in question was a graduate student who went to work elsewhere after receiving her master’s degree.

The NIH also ordered EcoHealth Alliance to explain purported restrictions at the Wuhan institute, including “diminished cell-phone traffic in October 2019, and the evidence that there may have been roadblocks surrounding the facility from October 14-19, 2019.”

The U.S. research agency also asked EcoHealth Alliance to provide it with the Wuhan institute’s response to the safety concerns described in the 2018 cable sent to the State Department.