

Monsanto

MAC/Env. & Public Affairs/EHL

(CO./DIV./DEPT./LOCATION)

MICROLOGY

Final

REPORT

(TYPE OF REPORT)

MAR

7/1992

REPORT NO.: MSL-11773

JOB/PROJECT NO.: EHL 91202/91206, ML-91-436/ML-91-439

DATE: February 25, 1992

(MON 14445)

TITLE: Mouse Micronucleus Study of
DIRECT® Herbicide Formulation

AUTHORS:

TITLE: Mouse Micronucleus Study of DIRECT®
Herbicide Formulation

ABSTRACT:

The potential for DIRECT herbicide formulation to induce chromosomal effects was tested in a mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay. DIRECT herbicide formulation was administered by intraperitoneal injection to groups of male and female CD-1 mice at target doses of 91, 183, and 365 mg/kg body weight for the low, mid and high dose groups, respectively. Negative control groups were treated with vehicle only (0.9% saline, 10 ml/kg body weight) and positive control groups were treated with cyclophosphamide (40 mg/kg body weight). Mouse bone marrow from DIRECT herbicide formulation and vehicle control groups was sampled at 24, 48 and 72 hours after dosing. A single sampling time of 24 hours after dosing was used for the cyclophosphamide positive control group. Slides of bone marrow cells were made from five animals/sex/time point for each group and scored for the occurrence of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes (micronucleated PCE) and PCE/erythrocyte ratios.

(continued on reverse side)



AA013868

C O M P A N Y C O N F I D E N T I A L

This document is the property of Monsanto Company and the recipient is responsible for its safekeeping and disposition. It contains CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION which must not be reproduced, revealed to unauthorized persons or sent outside the Company without proper authorization.

AUTHORS:

REPT. NO.: MSL-11773

COPY NO.: 6

The highest DIRECT herbicide formulation dose level, 365 mg/kg body weight, was observed to be toxic to treated male and female mice and was clearly an appropriate maximum dose level for the micronucleus assay. This dose level was more than 80% of the combined LD50 estimated from toxicity rangefinder experiments (436 mg/kg) and induced a low incidence of death in the high dose level female group (1/18 treated females) in the micronucleus assay. Clinical signs of toxicity (listlessness) were observed in high dose level male and female mice and in a few mid dose level male and female mice. Statistically significant body weight effects were observed in high dose level male mice at 48 hours after dosing. No statistically significant decreases in the PCE/total erythrocyte ratios were observed for any of the DIRECT herbicide formulation treated groups.


DIRECT herbicide formulation did not induce increases in the frequency of micronucleated PCEs. No statistically significant increases in micronucleated PCE frequencies compared to control values were observed in any of the dose level groups at any of the time points. Significant increases in mean micronucleated PCE frequencies were observed for the cyclophosphamide treated animals demonstrating the ability of the study conditions to detect micronucleus induction.

The observations and findings of this study indicate that DIRECT herbicide formulation does not exhibit in vivo mammalian genotoxicity in mouse bone marrow cells under the experimental conditions of this study.

This document is not the property of EFSA and is provided for giving full effect to the right of public access to documents. The document may be subject to rights such as intellectual property and/or publishing and/or commercial rights. Furthermore, this document may fall under a regulatory protection and/or publishing and/or commercial rights. Consequently, any publication, distribution, reproduction and/or publishing and/or commercial rights of this document may therefore be prohibited and violate the rights of the owner.

DISTRIBUTION

COPY NUMBER

1-3 Technical Reports Library/R2C
4 EHL Library
5 Original/EHL Archives
6-8 
9
10
11

ABSTRACT ONLY

Quality Assurance/EHL & A3NF

EHL Professionals

COMPANY CONFIDENTIAL

This report has been assigned to you. When it is no longer needed, you are responsible for returning it to:

Technical Reports Library - R2C

If you transfer it to anyone else, please let your librarian know, so the records can be changed.

MONSANTO COMPANY
ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH LABORATORY
645 S. NEWSTEAD
ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63110

FINAL REPORT

Mouse Micronucleus Study of
DIRECT® Herbicide Formulation

Study Numbers: ML-91-436/ML-91-439

EHL Study Numbers: 91202/91206

Authors:

Study Director:

Ph.D, Study Director, Science Fellow

Date

2/25/92

B.A., Sr. Research Biologist

Date

2/25/92

B.A., Research Biologist

Date

2/25/92

Ph. D., Manager

Date

2/25/92

Analytical, Biochemical and Genetic Toxicology

SUMMARY

The potential for DIRECT herbicide formulation to induce chromosomal effects was tested in a mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay. DIRECT herbicide formulation was administered by intraperitoneal injection to groups of male and female CD-1 mice at target doses of 91, 183, and 365 mg/kg body weight for the low, mid and high dose groups, respectively. Negative control groups were treated with vehicle only (0.9% saline, 10 ml/kg body weight) and positive control groups were treated with cyclophosphamide (40 mg/kg body weight). Mouse bone marrow from DIRECT herbicide formulation and vehicle control groups was sampled at 24, 48 and 72 hours after dosing. A single sampling time of 24 hours after dosing was used for the cyclophosphamide positive control group. Slides of bone marrow cells were made from five animals/sex/time point for each group and scored for the occurrence of micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes (micronucleated PCE) and PCE/erythrocyte ratios.

The highest DIRECT herbicide formulation dose level, 365 mg/kg body weight, was observed to be toxic to treated male and female mice and was clearly an appropriate maximum dose level for the micronucleus assay. This dose level was more than 80% of the combined LD50 estimated from toxicity rangefinder experiments (436 mg/kg) and induced a low incidence of death in the high dose level female group (1/18 treated females) in the micronucleus assay. Clinical signs of toxicity (listlessness) were observed in high dose level male and female mice and in a few mid dose level male and female mice. Statistically significant body weight effects were observed in high dose level male mice at 48 hours after dosing. No statistically significant decreases in the PCE/total erythrocyte ratios were observed for any of the DIRECT herbicide formulation treated groups.

DIRECT herbicide formulation did not induce increases in the frequency of micronucleated PCEs. No statistically significant increases in micronucleated PCE frequencies compared to control values were observed in any of the dose level groups at any of the time points. Significant increases in mean micronucleated PCE frequencies were observed for the

cyclophosphamide treated animals demonstrating the ability of the study conditions to detect micronucleus induction.

The observations and findings of this study indicate that DIRECT herbicide formulation does not exhibit *in vivo* mammalian genotoxicity in mouse bone marrow cells under the experimental conditions of this study.

This document is not the property of EFSA and is provided for giving full effect to the right of public access to documents under EU law.
The document may be subject to rights such as intellectual property and copy rights of third parties.
Furthermore, this document may fall under a regulatory data protection regime.
Consequently, any publication, distribution, reproduction and/or publishing and any commercial exploitation and use of this document may therefore be prohibited and violate the rights of its owner.

| | Table of Contents | Page |
|--|-------------------|-----------|
| SUMMARY | | 2 |
| INTRODUCTION | | 5 |
| MATERIALS | | 5 |
| Test Materials | | 5 |
| Animals | | 6 |
| METHODS AND EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN | | 7 |
| Administration of Test Chemical | | 7 |
| Animal Observations | | 7 |
| Preliminary Experiments for | | |
| Dose Selection | | 7 |
| Mouse Micronucleus Experiment | | 8 |
| Extraction of Bone Marrow Cells and | | |
| Slide Preparation | | 9 |
| Scoring of Slides | | 9 |
| Statistical Analysis | | 10 |
| Data Evaluation | | 11 |
| RESULTS | | 11 |
| DISCUSSION | | 12 |
| CONCLUSION | | 13 |
| GENERAL INFORMATION | | 14 |
| SUPERVISORY PERSONNEL | | 14 |
| TRADEMARKS | | 14 |
| REFERENCES | | 15 |
| QUALITY ASSURANCE STATEMENT | | 16 |
| STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE | | 17 |
| Appendix I - Data Summary | | 18 |
| Appendix II - Individual Test Results | | 29 |

INTRODUCTION

The study was designed to evaluate the potential of the test material, DIRECT herbicide formulation, to induce chromosome effects in an *in vivo* mammalian assay, the mouse bone marrow micronucleus assay.

The *in vivo* micronucleus assay has been found to be sensitive to a variety of chemical agents. The assay has been reviewed by the EPA Gene-Tox program (Heddle et al., 1983 and Mavournin et al., 1990). It is generally accepted that induction of micronucleus formation in the assay is indicative of either clastogenic effects or malsegregation of chromosomes. An advantage of this assay is that it evaluates effects on somatic cells of mice that are treated *in vivo* and thus is relevant to prediction of potential *in vivo* mammalian effects (MacGregor et al., 1987).

This study was conducted at the Monsanto Company Environmental Health Laboratory (645 S. Newstead, St. Louis, MO 63110). This study was sponsored by the Monsanto Agricultural Company. The protocols for studies 91202 (toxicity rangefinding study) and 91206 (micronucleus study) were signed by the study director on November 12, 1991 and November 19, 1991 respectively. Experimental work for study 91202 was initiated on November 13, 1991 and completed on November 20, 1991. Experimental work for study 91206 was initiated on November 19, 1991 and completed on December 26, 1991.

MATERIALS

Test Materials

Identification and composition of the test material sample is given below:

Name: DIRECT® Herbicide Formulation

Identification: Lot PSGF-10001

EHL Code: T910112

Percent Active Ingredient: 72% glyphosate (acid equivalent)

Sample storage: Sample was stored at room temperature as advised by the sponsor.

Appearance: Off white granules

Solutions or suspensions of the test material were made using 0.9% saline as the solvent on the day of treatment. The positive control used was commercial grade cyclophosphamide (Sigma Chemical Company, lot 19F-0254).

Animals

The animals used were eight to twelve week old male and female CD-1 mice (Source: Charles River Laboratories Inc., Portage, MI.). Upon receipt, the animals were quarantined for a minimum of seven days. Only animals considered to be normal were released from quarantine and used for testing. Prior to testing, the mice were uniquely identified using ear tags and corresponding cage cards. The animals were housed two per cage prior to dosing and subsequently one per cage after dosing. The animals were housed in stainless steel cages with stainless steel mesh bottoms.

Animals were selected for the different test (or control) groups by a computer-generated randomization scheme. Water (supplied by the public water system of St. Louis, MO) was provided *ad libitum* via an automatic watering system. Purina Certified Laboratory Rodent Chow No. 5002 (Trademark of Purina Mills Inc., St. Louis, Mo.) was used as the diet and was provided *ad libitum*. This diet has been

determined to be nutritionally acceptable for the maintenance of laboratory rodents and has been certified by the manufacturer not to contain contaminants likely to interfere with the study. The animals were housed in rooms designed to routinely maintain a 12-hour light cycle, a temperature between 64 and 79 °F, and relative humidity between 40 and 70%. There were no excursions in animal room environmental conditions which had any obvious impact on the results of the study.

METHODS AND EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN

Administration of Test Chemical

Animals were treated by a single intraperitoneal injection of 0.9% saline (vehicle control, 10 ml/kg body weight), or DIRECT herbicide formulation in 0.9% saline (10 ml of solution/kg body weight) or cyclophosphamide in 0.9% saline (positive control, 10 ml of solution/kg body weight).

Animal Observations

During the study, all animals were observed for visible toxic effects and mortality immediately after dose administration, 3-5 hours after dosing, and daily thereafter for up to 72 hours after treatment. Animals were weighed at the time of treatment (all experiments) and at the time of sacrifice for bone marrow extraction (main experiment).

Preliminary Experiments for Dose Selection

In the initial rangefinding experiment, two mice of each sex were treated by intraperitoneal injection with the test material at doses of 1000 and 5000 mg/kg body weight. Vehicle control animals were dosed with an appropriate volume of 0.9% saline. Based on the

results of the first experiment doses of 250, 500, 750 and 1000 mg/kg body weight were tested in a subsequent rangefinding experiment. A third experiment was conducted with doses of 310 370 and 430 mg/kg body weight. An additional animal per sex was added to the second rangefinding experiment to evaluate the effect of the test doses on the ability to score for PCE/total erythrocyte ratio. Animals added for evaluating treatment effects on slide quality were sacrificed approximately 24 hours after treatment.

Mouse Micronucleus Experiment :

Dose levels for the main study were selected based on toxicity rangefinding study data. The maximum dose selected for testing in the micronucleus experiment was 365 mg/kg body weight (a dose greater than 80% of the combined calculated LD50 of 436 mg/kg). Other doses selected were approximately 1/2 (183 mg/kg body weight) and 1/4 (91 mg/kg body weight) of the maximum dose. Doses were administered once. Groups of at least fifteen males and fifteen females were used for each dose level. Animals were sacrificed for micronucleus evaluation (five animals/sex/group) at 24, 48 and 72 hours after dosing. Vehicle control groups of fifteen males and females were treated with 0.9% saline only. Concurrent positive control groups of five males and five females were treated with 40 mg/kg cyclophosphamide and sacrificed 24 hours after treatment. Design of the mouse micronucleus experiment is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1

Design of the Mouse Micronucleus Assay

| Treatment Group | Number of Mice Treated | | Number of Mice to be Sacrificed at the Specified Time Following Treatment | | | | | |
|------------------|------------------------|--------|---|--------|----------|--------|----------|--------|
| | | | 24 hours | | 48 hours | | 72 hours | |
| | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female | Male | Female |
| High Dose * | 18 | 22 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mid Dose | 15 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Low Dose | 15 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Vehicle Control | 15 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Positive Control | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - | - |

* Additional animals added to the high dose to assure adequate survivors at time of sacrifice for bone marrow extraction.

Extraction of Bone Marrow Cells and Slide Preparation

All animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation and their femora were removed. Each bone was opened at the end and the bone marrow was flushed with approximately 2 ml of fetal bovine serum into a centrifuge tube. Bone marrow from both femora of each animal were pooled for slide preparation. The suspension was centrifuged to remove the serum. Portions of the remaining cells were placed on clean glass microscope slides and smears were prepared. Two slides were initially prepared for each sample and the remaining cell suspension was stored refrigerated to prepare additional slides if needed. Following preparation of the smears the slides were allowed to air dry overnight. The slides were stained using a HemaTek II slide staining machine and a Wright-Giemsa Stain Pak which includes stain, buffer and rinse solutions.

Scoring of Slides

Slides of bone marrow cells were coded prior to distribution and

slides were scored without knowledge of the treatment or control group to which the slides belonged. For each animal, two scorers evaluated: a) 500 total erythrocytes for polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs) and normochromatic erythrocytes (NCEs) and b) 500 PCEs for micronucleated polychromatic erythrocytes (MN PCEs). PCEs and NCEs were distinguished by different staining properties. Micronuclei were identified as uniform, darkly stained, round or oval shaped bodies found in the cytoplasm of PCEs. Bodies in PCEs which were refractile, improperly shaped or stained, or which were not in the focal plane of the cell, were not scored as micronuclei. PCEs containing more than one micronucleus were scored as a single micronucleated PCE. In a few cases significant discordance in MN PCE frequency were initially observed between two slide scorers (e.g. a difference of 4 or more MN PCE per 500 PCEs scored where one or both MN PCE frequencies were less than 10/500). In these cases slides were rescored to determine if the discordance was reproducible and the rescored values were used for reporting and analysis. The slides to be rescored were evaluated without knowledge of the treatment group to which the slides belonged. Scoring data were used to calculate, for each animal, the ratio of PCEs to total erythrocytes (PCEs plus NCEs) per 1000 erythrocytes and the number of MN PCEs per 1000 PCEs.

Statistical Analysis

LD50 estimates were calculated using the Probit method on toxicity rangefinder data. The individual test animal was used as the individual unit for analysis of micronucleated PCE frequency and PCE/erythrocyte ratio and body weight change. Micronucleated PCE frequencies observed for each animal were transformed as the square root prior to analysis (Snedecor and Cochran, 1967; MacGregor et al., 1987). PCE/total erythrocyte ratios were not transformed. Dunnett's test (one sided) was used for comparison of treatment group and positive control values with vehicle control values (Dunnett, 1955). A critical value of $p \leq 0.05$ was used for statistical significance.

Data Evaluation

To determine whether a statistically significant response is treatment related the following criteria are considered: (a) whether there are dose and time-dependent effects that are consistent with a treatment-induced response and (b) the degree of the response in relation to both concurrent and historical negative and positive control data.

RESULTS

Results of the rangefinding experiments are summarized in Appendix I, Table 1. In the rangefinding experiments DIRECT herbicide formulation was found to be toxic to male and female CD-1 mice at 250 mg/kg body weight and greater as indicated by clinical signs of toxicity and was lethal to male and female CD-1 mice at 430 and 370 mg/kg body weight, respectively, and greater. The combined LD50 was calculated to be 436 mg/kg body weight using the Probit method.

Based on these results, 365 mg/kg (greater than 80% of the combined LD50 value) was selected as a maximum dose that would insure a reasonable probability of observing signs of toxicity but allow survival of the treated animals through the 72 hour time point. Two additional lower doses (91 and 183 mg/kg body weight) were also selected for testing.

Results of the micronucleus experiment are summarized in Appendix I, Tables 2 - 5 with individual animal data in Appendix II, Tables 1-3. In the micronucleus experiment DIRECT herbicide formulation was toxic to male and female mice in the mid and high dose levels. One death was observed in the high dose level female group (1/18 treated). No deaths were observed in any other treatment or control groups. Clinical signs of listlessness were observed in high dose level male and female mice immediately and 3-5 hours after dosing. Listlessness was also observed in two mid dose level female mice immediately after dosing and in four male mice at 24 hours after

dosing. Animals in the low dose level group and positive and vehicle control animals appeared normal throughout the experiments. A statistically significant decrease in mean body weight compared to control values was observed in the high dose level males sacrificed at 48 hours. No statistically significant decreases in mean body weight compared to control values were observed in any of the other treatment groups. No statistically significant decreases in mean PCE/total erythrocyte ratios compared to control values were observed in any of the treatment groups.

No statistically significant increases in mean micronucleated PCE frequencies were observed in any of the DIRECT herbicide formulation treated groups when compared to corresponding vehicle control groups. The positive control (cyclophosphamide) yielded the expected positive responses in micronucleus induction indicating the adequacy of the experimental conditions.

DISCUSSION

DIRECT herbicide formulation was tested in a micronucleus assay in male and female CD-1 mice at dose levels of 91, 183 and 365 mg/kg body weight with sacrifice times of 24, 48 and 72 hours. The high dose level was an acceptable maximum dose level as judged by several measures. The high dose level was more than 80% of the estimated LD50 and induced a low incidence of death (1/18) in high dose level females. Clinical signs of toxicity were observed in high dose level male and female mice .

At the dose levels tested, which included an appropriate maximum dose level, no statistically significant increases in the mean micronucleated PCE frequencies were observed in any of the DIRECT herbicide formulation treated animals when compared to vehicle control animals. The positive control (cyclophosphamide) yielded expected positive responses in micronucleus induction indicating the adequacy of the experimental conditions.

CONCLUSION

Based on the observations and findings of this study, it is concluded that DIRECT herbicide formulation is not genotoxic *in vivo* in mouse bone marrow cells under the experimental conditions of the study.

This document is not the property of EFSA and is provided for giving full effect to the right of public access to documents under EU law.
The document may be subject to rights such as intellectual property and copy rights of third parties.
Furthermore, this document may fall under a regulatory data protection regime.
Consequently, any publication, distribution, reproduction and/or publishing and any commercial exploitation and use of this document may therefore be prohibited and violate the rights of its owner.

GENERAL INFORMATION

The protocol, raw data, and final report for this study are located in the archives of the Environmental Health Laboratory.

For testing efficiency, the positive and saline vehicle control animals used in this study were also used for separate studies that were performed concurrently. This procedure in no way adversely affected the results of this assay.

SUPERVISORY PERSONNEL:

[REDACTED], Ph.D., Manager
Analytical, Biochemical and Genetic Toxicology

[REDACTED] D.V.M., Dipl. A.C.V.P.
Pathology and Research Studies Director

[REDACTED], Ph.D.
Director, Environmental Health Laboratory

TRADEMARKS

The following registered trademarks are used in this report:

| <i>ITEM</i> | <i>REGISTERED TRADEMARK OF:</i> |
|-------------|--|
| DIRECT | Monsanto Agricultural Company, St. Louis, MO |
| RODENT CHOW | Purina Mills, Inc., St. Louis, MO |
| CD-1 Mice | Charles River Laboratories Inc., Portage, MI. |

REFERENCES

Dunnett, C.W (1955). A multiple comparison procedure for comparing several treatments with a control. Jour. Am. Stat. Assoc. 50, 1096-1121.

Heddle, J. A., Hite, M., Kirkhart, B., Mavournin, K., MacGregor, J.T., Newell, G.W. and Salamone, M.F. (1983). The induction of micronuclei as a measure of genotoxicity. A report of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Gene-Tox Program. Mutation Res. 123, 61-118.

MacGregor, J.T., Heddle, J.A., Hite, M., Margolin, B. H., Ramel C., Salamone, M.F., Tice, R.R. and Wild, D. (1987). Guidelines for the conduct of micronucleus assays in mammalian bone marrow erythrocytes. Mutation Res. 189, 103-112.

Mavournin K.H., Blakey D.H., Cimino M.C., Salamone M.F., and Heddle J.A. (1990). The in vivo micronucleus assay in mammalian bone marrow and peripheral blood. A report of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Gene-Tox Program. Mutation Res. 239, 29-80.

Snedecor, G.W. and Cochran, W.G. (1967). Statistical Methods, 6th edition, 223-226 and 325-327, Iowa State Press, Ames, IO.

S&EH QUALITY ASSURANCE AUDIT STATEMENT

Study Number: 91202/91206
ML-91-436/ML-91-439

Protocol Amendments: None

Study Title: Mouse Micronucleus Study of Direct®
Herbicide Formulation

**Dates of Inspections
and Communication
of Findings:**

91202

November 15, 1991
January 29, 1992
February 03, 18, 21, 1992

91206

November 19, 1991
December 06, 12, 1991
January 29, 1992
February 03, 18, 21, 1992

**Quality Assurance
Review Conducted by:**

91202



91206



Results:

The Quality Assurance review indicates the final report accurately presents the raw data as developed during the study. There appears to be no significant deviation from applicable GLP regulations that adversely affected study quality or integrity.



Quality Assurance Director

FEBRUARY 25, 1992
Date

Statement of Compliance

To the best of our knowledge, these studies were conducted in general accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Good Laboratory Practice (GLP) Standards; the Japanese Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (MAFF) GLP Standards; and the OECD GLP Principles, with the following exceptions:

1. Characterization of test and control substances was not conducted according to the standards as part of these studies.
2. Test and control substance concentrations and homogeneity in carrier were not confirmed.
3. The stability of test and control substances, neat and after mixing with carrier were not determined. Mixtures of test substances with carrier were prepared on each day of use.


Study Director

2/25/92
Date


Laboratory Management

2/25/92
Date

APPENDIX I

Data Summary

- Table 1** Summary of Toxicity Rangefinder Results for DIRECT Herbicide Formulation.
- Table 2** Summary of Micronucleus Assay Results for DIRECT Herbicide Formulation: Mean Body Weight Change and Animal Observations.
- Table 3** Summary of Micronucleus Assay Results for DIRECT Herbicide Formulation: PCE Ratio and Micronucleus Data for Low Dose Animals.
- Table 4** Summary of Micronucleus Assay Results for DIRECT Herbicide Formulation: PCE Ratio and Micronucleus Data for Middle Dose Animals.
- Table 5** Summary of Micronucleus Assay Results for DIRECT Herbicide Formulation: PCE Ratio and Micronucleus Data for High Dose Animals.

APPENDIX I - TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF TOXICITY RANGEFINDER RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION

| Dose mg/kg | Number Treated | | Number of Deaths | | | | | | | | | | | | Combined ^a |
|---------------|-------------------|---|------------------|---|----------|---|----------|---|----------|---|----------|---|-------|---|-----------------------|
| | | | 0-5 Hours | | Day 1 | | Day 2 | | Day 3 | | Day 4 | | Total | | |
| | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | |
| 250 | 3 | 3 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 0 | 0 | 0/4 ^b |
| 310 | 2 | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 0 | 0 | 0/4 |
| 370 | 2 | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1 | — | — | 0 | 1 | 1/4 |
| 430 | 2 | 2 | — | — | 2 | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2 | 1 | 3/4 |
| 500 | 3 | 3 | — | — | 2 | 1 | — | — | — | — | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 5/6 |
| 750 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | 1 | 3 | 3 | 6/6 |
| 1000 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | — | 1 | — | — | — | — | — | — | 5 | 4 | 9/10 |
| 5000 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 2 | 2 | 4/4 |

^a Number of deaths / total number of animals treated.

^b One animal per sex sacrificed for erythrocyte evaluation at 24 hours after dosing.

APPENDIX 1 - TABLE 1 (continued)
SUMMARY OF TOXICITY RANGEFINDING RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION

| Dose (mg/kg) | Observations - Males |
|-----------------|--|
| 250 | One of the treated males appeared normal immediately after dosing, at 3-5 hours after dosing and at 24 hours after dosing at which time this animal was sacrificed for erythrocyte evaluation. The second male appeared listless immediately after dosing and then appeared normal at the 3-5 hour observation and through day 4. The third animal appeared normal immediately after dosing through day 4. |
| 310 | The treated males appeared normal immediately after dosing and at the 3-5 hour observation. These animals appeared listless on day 1 and then appeared normal on days 2 through 4. |
| 370 | One male appeared normal immediately after dosing and at the 3-5 hour observation. This animal appeared listless on days 1 and 2 then appeared normal on days 3 and 4. The second treated male appeared normal immediately after dosing, then appeared listless at the 3-5 hour observation and on days 1 and 2. This male then appeared normal on days 3 and 4. |
| 430 | The two treated males appeared normal immediately after dosing the appeared listless at the 3-5 hour observation. Both animals were found dead on day 1. |
| 500 | Two of the treated males appeared listless immediately after dosing and appeared unresponsive at the 3-5 hour observation. These two animals were found dead on day 1. The third treated male appeared listless immediately after dosing, appeared normal at the 3-5 hour observation, appeared listless on days 1 and 2 then appeared unresponsive on day 3. This animal was found dead on day 4. |
| 750 | One of the treated males was found dead 3-5 hours after dosing. One of the treated males appeared listless and had rough (raised fur) immediately after dosing. This animal was found dead on day one. The third animal was found dead on day one. |
| 1000 | In the initial rangefinding experiment two males were normal immediately after dosing and found dead 3-5 hours after dosing. In the second rangefinding experiment three males were listless with raised fur, immediately after dosing and found dead 3-5 hours after dosing. |

APPENDIX 1 - TABLE 1 (continued)
SUMMARY OF TOXICITY RANGEFINDING RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION

Dose
(mg/kg)

Observations - Males

5000

In the initial rangefinding experiment, the treated males died immediately after dosing.

This document is not the property of EFSA and is provided for giving full effect to the right of public access to documents under EU law.
The document may be subject to rights such as intellectual property and copy rights of third parties.
Furthermore, this document may fall under a regulatory data protection regime.
Consequently, any publication, distribution, reproduction and/or publishing and any commercial exploitation and use of this document without the permission of the owner of this document may therefore be prohibited and violate the rights of its owner.

APPENDIX 1 - TABLE 1 (continued)
SUMMARY OF TOXICITY RANGEFINDING RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION

| Dose (mg/kg) | Observations - Females |
|-----------------|--|
| 250 | One female appeared normal immediately after dosing then appeared listless at the 3-5 hour observation . At 24 hours after dosing this animal appeared normal and was sacrificed for erythrocyte evaluation. The remaining two animals appeared normal throughout the experiment. |
| 310 | One female normal through day 1, appeared listless on day 2 then appeared normal through day 4. One animal appeared normal throughout the experiment. |
| 370 | One of the treated females appeared listless through day 1, then normal through day 4. One appeared listless though day 1, unresponsive on day 2 and found dead on day 3. |
| 430 | One appeared normal immediately after dosing, appeared listless at the 3-5 hour observation and was found dead on day 1. The second appeared normal immediately after dosing, appeared listless for the remainder of the experiment. |
| 500 | One treated female appeared unresponsive at the 3-5 hour observation and was found dead on day one. One female appeared listless through day one and then appeared normal. One female appeared normal until day one then appeared listless. This animal was found dead on day 4. |
| 750 | One of the females appeared listless immediately after dosing and was found dead at the 3-5 hour observation. One of the treated females appeared listless immediately after dosing. This animal appeared unresponsive at 3-5 hours after treatment and was found dead on day 1. The remaining female appeared listless immediately after dosing and through day 2. On day 3 this animal appeared unresponsive and was found dead on day 4. |
| 1000 | In the initial experiment the two treated females appeared listless immediately after dosing and were found dead at the 3-5 hour observation. In the second experiment, one treated female appeared listless with rough fur immediately after dosing and was found dead at the 3-5 hour observation. A second treated female appeared listless with rough fur immediately after dosing and appeared unresponsive at the 3-5 hour observation. This animal was found dead on day 1. The remaining female appeared listless with rough fur immediately after dosing and appeared listless at the 3-5 hour observation. This animal appeared normal for the remainder of the experiment. |

APPENDIX 1 - TABLE 1 (continued)
SUMMARY OF TOXICITY RANGEFINDING RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION

Dose
(mg/kg)

Observations - Females

5000

In the initial rangefinding experiment, the treated females died immediately after dosing.

This document is not the property of EFSA and is provided for giving full effect to the right of public access to documents under EU law.
The document may be subject to rights such as intellectual property and copy rights of third parties.
Furthermore, this document may fall under a regulatory data protection regime.
Consequently, any publication, distribution, reproduction and/or publishing and any commercial exploitation and use
of this document or its contents without the permission of the owner
of this document may therefore be prohibited and violate the rights of its owner.

APPENDIX I - TABLE 2
SUMMARY OF MICRONUCLEUS ASSAY RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION
MEAN BODY WEIGHT CHANGE AND ANIMAL OBSERVATIONS

| Dose | Sex | Number Treated | Mean Body Weight Change (g) | | | | | | Deaths |
|------------------------------|--------|----------------|-----------------------------|-------|----------|---------|----------|-------|--------|
| | | | ± Standard Deviation | | | | | | |
| | | | 24 Hours | | 48 Hours | | 72 Hours | | |
| Saline Vehicle Control | Male | 15 | - 0.5 | ± 0.6 | - 0.4 | ± 0.7 | 0.8 | ± 2.0 | 0 |
| | Female | 15 | - 1.2 | ± 0.3 | - 0.8 | ± 0.3 | - 0.4 | ± 0.4 | 0 |
| DIRECT 91 mg/kg | Male | 15 | 2.6 | ± 1.1 | 3.0 | ± 0.5 | 2.3 | ± 0.9 | 0 |
| | Female | 15 | - 0.7 | ± 0.8 | - 0.1 | ± 0.4 | - 0.4 | ± 1.0 | 0 |
| DIRECT 183 mg/kg | Male | 15 | 1.0 | ± 1.8 | 1.8 | ± 0.7 | 1.5 | ± 1.1 | 0 |
| | Female | 15 | - 0.7 | ± 0.5 | - 1.0 | ± 0.6 | - 0.4 | ± 1.1 | 0 |
| DIRECT 365 mg/kg | Male | 18 | - 1.8 | ± 0.7 | - 1.4 | ± 0.6 * | - 0.5 | ± 1.7 | 0 |
| | Female | 18 | - 1.2 | ± 0.7 | - 0.5 | ± 0.5 | - 0.3 | ± 1.1 | 1 |
| Cyclo-phosphamide (40 mg/kg) | Male | 5 | - 1.3 | ± 0.6 | | | | | 0 |
| | Female | 5 | - 0.6 | ± 0.4 | | | | | 0 |

*p ≤ 0.05; ** p ≤ 0.01 by one-sided t-test.

APPENDIX I - TABLE 2 (continued)
SUMMARY OF MICRONUCLEUS ASSAY RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION
ANIMAL OBSERVATIONS

Dosing observations - In the high dose group, one male and two females appeared listless immediately after dosing. In the mid dose group, two females appeared listless immediately after dosing.

3-5 hour observations - In the high dose group, nine males and three females appeared listless. All other treated animals appeared normal at 3-5 hours after treatment.

24 hour observations - In the high dose group, five males appeared listless, one female appeared listless and one female was found dead. In the mid dose group, four males appeared listless.

All remaining animals in the high and mid dose groups appeared normal through 72 hours and all animals in the low dose groups appeared normal throughout the experiments. All positive and vehicle control animals also appeared normal throughout the experiments.

This document is not the property of EFSA and is provided for giving effect to the right of public access to documents under EU law.
The document may be subject to rights such as intellectual property and copyright.
Furthermore, this document may fall under a regulatory data protection regime.
Consequently, any publication, distribution, reproduction and/or publishing and any commercial exploitation and use of this document may therefore be prohibited and violate the rights of its owner.

APPENDIX I - TABLE 3
SUMMARY OF MICRONUCLEUS ASSAY RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION
PCE RATIO AND MICRONUCLEUS DATA FOR LOW DOSE ANIMALS

| Harvest Time (Hours) | Sex | Number | Mean PCE / Total Erythrocyte Ratio ± Standard Deviation | | | Mean Micronucleated PCE / 1000 PCE ± Standard Deviation | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--|------------------|---------------------|--|------------------|---------------------|
| | | | Vehicle Control | Test Material | Positive Control | Vehicle Control | Test Material | Positive Control |
| 24 | Male | 5 | 0.43 ± 0.04 | 0.49 ± 0.04 | 0.49 ± 0.06 | 1.6 ± 0.9 | 0.6 ± 0.5 | 29.2 ± 8.4** |
| | Female | 5 | 0.48 ± 0.05 | 0.57 ± 0.05 | 0.51 ± 0.04 | 0.8 ± 1.1 | 0.6 ± 0.9 | 25.6 ± 7.8** |
| 48 | Male | 5 | 0.49 ± 0.04 | 0.47 ± 0.06 | | 1.2 ± 2.2 | 1.2 ± 1.8 | |
| | Female | 5 | 0.53 ± 0.07 | 0.48 ± 0.08 | | 0.8 ± 0.8 | 1.0 ± 1.4 | |
| 72 | Male | 5 | 0.54 ± 0.09 | 0.60 ± 0.04 | | 2.4 ± 1.1 | 2.6 ± 1.7 | |
| | Female | 5 | 0.52 ± 0.10 | 0.56 ± 0.06 | | 1.8 ± 1.3 | 1.4 ± 1.1 | |

* $p \leq 0.05$; ** $p \leq 0.01$ by one-sided t-test. Square root transformed data used for statistical analysis of micronucleated PCE.

APPENDIX I - TABLE 4
SUMMARY OF MICRONUCLEUS ASSAY RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION
PCE RATIO AND MICRONUCLEUS DATA FOR MIDDLE DOSE ANIMALS

| Harvest Time (Hours) | Sex | Number | Mean PCE / Total Erythrocyte Ratio ± Standard Deviation | | | | | | Mean Micronucleated PCE / 1000 PCE ± Standard Deviation | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--|--------|------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|--|-------|------------------|-------|---------------------|---------|
| | | | Vehicle Control | | Test Material | | Positive Control | | Vehicle Control | | Test Material | | Positive Control | |
| 24 | Male | 5 | 0.43 | ± 0.04 | 0.49 | ± 0.05 | 0.49 | ± 0.06 | 1.6 | ± 0.9 | 2.0 | ± 1.6 | 29.2 | ± 8.4** |
| | Female | 5 | 0.48 | ± 0.05 | 0.51 | ± 0.05 | 0.51 | ± 0.04 | 0.8 | ± 1.1 | 1.4 | ± 2.1 | 25.6 | ± 7.8** |
| 48 | Male | 5 | 0.49 | ± 0.04 | 0.51 | ± 0.07 | | | 1.2 | ± 2.2 | 1.0 | ± 0.7 | | |
| | Female | 5 | 0.53 | ± 0.07 | 0.53 | ± 0.04 | | | 0.8 | ± 0.8 | 1.8 | ± 3.0 | | |
| 72 | Male | 5 | 0.54 | ± 0.09 | 0.59 | ± 0.07 | | | 2.4 | ± 1.1 | 2.0 | ± 1.6 | | |
| | Female | 5 | 0.52 | ± 0.10 | 0.63 | ± 0.06 | | | 1.8 | ± 1.3 | 1.2 | ± 1.3 | | |

* $p \leq 0.05$; ** $p \leq 0.01$ by one-sided t-test. Square root transformed data used for statistical analysis of micronucleated PCE.

APPENDIX I - TABLE 5
SUMMARY OF MICRONUCLEUS ASSAY RESULTS FOR DIRECT HERBICIDE FORMULATION
PCE RATIO AND MICRONUCLEUS DATA FOR HIGH DOSE ANIMALS

| Harvest Time (Hours) | Sex | Number | Mean PCE / Total Erythrocyte Ratio ± Standard Deviation | | | | | | Mean Micronucleated PCE / 1000 PCE ± Standard Deviation | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--|--------|------------------|--------|---------------------|--------|--|-------|------------------|-------|---------------------|---------|
| | | | Vehicle Control | | Test Material | | Positive Control | | Vehicle Control | | Test Material | | Positive Control | |
| 24 | Male | 5 | 0.43 | ± 0.04 | 0.49 | ± 0.06 | 0.49 | ± 0.06 | 1.6 | ± 0.9 | 1.0 | ± 1.0 | 29.2 | ± 8.4** |
| | Female | 5 | 0.48 | ± 0.05 | 0.45 | ± 0.09 | 0.51 | ± 0.04 | 0.8 | ± 1.1 | 1.2 | ± 0.8 | 25.6 | ± 7.8** |
| 48 | Male | 5 | 0.49 | ± 0.04 | 0.50 | ± 0.08 | | | 1.2 | ± 2.2 | 1.2 | ± 0.8 | | |
| | Female | 5 | 0.53 | ± 0.07 | 0.49 | ± 0.08 | | | 0.8 | ± 0.8 | 0.6 | ± 0.5 | | |
| 72 | Male | 5 | 0.54 | ± 0.09 | 0.65 | ± 0.03 | | | 2.4 | ± 1.1 | 0.8 | ± 0.4 | | |
| | Female | 5 | 0.52 | ± 0.10 | 0.60 | ± 0.04 | | | 1.8 | ± 1.3 | 1.8 | ± 0.8 | | |

* $p \leq 0.05$; ** $p \leq 0.01$ by one-sided t-test. Square root transformed data used for statistical analysis of micronucleated PCE.

APPENDIX II

Individual Test Results

- Table 1 Body Weight Table for Micronucleus Experiment with
DIRECT Herbicide Formulation
- Table 2 Slide Scoring Data for the Micronucleus Experiment with
DIRECT Herbicide Formulation (PCE/erythrocyte Ratio
and Micronucleated PCE's)
- Table 3* Animal Number Assignments for the Micronucleus
Experiment with DIRECT Herbicide Formulation

*Note: Animals were initially assigned individual animal lot numbers and those selected for study were subsequently also assigned study numbers. Appendix II, Table 1 reports individual weight data using the assigned study number (without the study number prefix). Slide scoring data were collected using the animal lot number to preclude knowledge of the treatment or control group by the scorers and the data in Appendix II, Table 2 are presented using the individual animal lot numbers (without the lot number prefix). Appendix II, Table 3 presents the lot number and corresponding assigned study number for the individual animals in Appendix II, Tables 1 and 2 to permit comparison of the data on an individual animal basis.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 1
BODY WEIGHT TABLE FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH DIRECT® HERBICIDE FORMULATION

24 HOUR MALES

| Group | Animal Number | Time of Sacrifice ^a (hr) | Body Weight (g) | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------|-------|------------|
| | | | Pretest | Final | Difference |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Males | M91 001 | 24 | 32.7 | 32.5 | -0.2 |
| | M91 002 | 24 | 31.3 | 30.2 | -1.1 |
| | M91 003 | 24 | 35.1 | 33.9 | -1.2 |
| | M91 004 | 24 | 30.8 | 30.5 | -0.3 |
| | M91 005 | 24 | 32.0 | 32.3 | 0.3 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M01 001 | 24 | 30.0 | 31.9 | 1.9 |
| | M01 002 | 24 | 32.8 | 35.7 | 2.9 |
| | M01 003 | 24 | 32.8 | 34.0 | 1.2 |
| | M01 004 | 24 | 28.3 | 32.0 | 3.7 |
| | M01 005 | 24 | 30.7 | 34.2 | 3.5 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M02 001 | 24 | 25.6 | 29.0 | 3.4 |
| | M02 002 | 24 | 30.3 | 31.9 | 1.6 |
| | M02 010 | 24 | 28.0 | 29.0 | 1.0 |
| | M02 011 | 24 | 34.2 | 34.7 | 0.5 |
| | M02 014 | 24 | 32.5 | 31.0 | -1.5 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M03 001 | 24 | 35.4 | 33.3 | -2.1 |
| | M03 004 | 24 | 34.5 | 31.7 | -2.8 |
| | M03 005 | 24 | 36.9 | 35.8 | -1.1 |
| | M03 015 | 24 | 35.6 | 34.4 | -1.2 |
| | M03 017 | 24 | 32.0 | 30.3 | -1.7 |
| 40 mg/kg Cyclophosphamide Males | M04 001 | 24 | 33.8 | 32.4 | -1.4 |
| | M04 002 | 24 | 35.6 | 33.6 | -2.0 |
| | M04 003 | 24 | 33.9 | 32.5 | -1.4 |
| | M04 004 | 24 | 36.3 | 35.1 | -1.2 |
| | M04 005 | 24 | 34.6 | 34.2 | -0.4 |

^a Hours after treatment.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 1 (continued)
BODY WEIGHT TABLE FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH DIRECT® HERBICIDE FORMULATION

48 HOUR MALES

| Group | Animal Number | Time of Sacrifice ^a (hr) | Body Weight (g) | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------|-------|------------|
| | | | Pretest | Final | Difference |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Males | M91 006 | 48 | 33.3 | 32.3 | -1.0 |
| | M91 007 | 48 | 33.8 | 32.6 | -1.2 |
| | M91 008 | 48 | 35.8 | 35.6 | -0.2 |
| | M91 009 | 48 | 33.0 | 32.7 | -0.3 |
| | M91 010 | 48 | 31.7 | 32.2 | 0.5 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M01 006 | 48 | 24.8 | 28.2 | 3.4 |
| | M01 007 | 48 | 29.3 | 32.9 | 3.6 |
| | M01 008 | 48 | 30.1 | 32.7 | 2.6 |
| | M01 009 | 48 | 28.2 | 31.1 | 2.9 |
| | M01 010 | 48 | 24.0 | 26.6 | 2.6 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M02 003 | 48 | 33.5 | 35.0 | 1.5 |
| | M02 004 | 48 | 26.2 | 28.5 | 2.3 |
| | M02 005 | 48 | 28.5 | 31.0 | 2.5 |
| | M02 006 | 48 | 28.3 | 30.2 | 1.9 |
| | M02 007 | 48 | 33.6 | 34.4 | 0.8 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M03 002 | 48 | 30.2 | 28.7 | -1.5 |
| | M03 003 | 48 | 34.1 | 33.6 | -0.5 |
| | M03 006 | 48 | 29.3 | 27.7 | -1.6 |
| | M03 007 | 48 | 31.0 | 29.7 | -1.3 |
| | M03 008 | 48 | 28.1 | 26.0 | -2.1 |

^a Hours after treatment.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 1 (continued)
BODY WEIGHT TABLE FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH DIRECT® HERBICIDE FORMULATION

72 HOUR MALES

| Group | Animal Number | Time of Sacrifice ^a (hr) | Body Weight (g) | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------|-------|------------|
| | | | Pretest | Final | Difference |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Males | M91 011 | 72 | 26.2 | 30.5 | 4.3 |
| | M91 012 | 72 | 29.9 | 29.5 | -0.4 |
| | M91 013 | 72 | 27.9 | 28.2 | 0.3 |
| | M91 014 | 72 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 0.0 |
| | M91 015 | 72 | 36.2 | 36.1 | -0.1 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M01 011 | 72 | 30.2 | 31.4 | 1.2 |
| | M01 012 | 72 | 29.8 | 33.4 | 3.6 |
| | M01 013 | 72 | 29.0 | 30.9 | 1.9 |
| | M01 014 | 72 | 28.0 | 30.3 | 2.3 |
| | M01 015 | 72 | 28.5 | 31.0 | 2.5 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M02 008 | 72 | 32.5 | 34.8 | 2.3 |
| | M02 009 | 72 | 34.1 | 35.1 | 1.0 |
| | M02 012 | 72 | 35.4 | 37.1 | 1.7 |
| | M02 013 | 72 | 31.4 | 31.3 | -0.1 |
| | M02 015 | 72 | 31.5 | 34.2 | 2.7 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M03 009 | 72 | 34.9 | 36.0 | 1.1 |
| | M03 010 | 72 | 29.6 | 27.2 | -2.4 |
| | M03 011 | 72 | 32.9 | 32.3 | -0.6 |
| | M03 012 | 72 | 35.7 | 33.7 | -2.0 |
| | M03 013 | 72 | 37.1 | 38.3 | 1.2 |

^a Hours after treatment.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 1 (continued)
BODY WEIGHT TABLE FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH DIRECT® HERBICIDE FORMULATION

24 HOUR FEMALES

| Group | Animal Number | Time of Sacrifice ^a (hr) | Body Weight (g) | | |
|---|------------------|--|-----------------|-------|------------|
| | | | Pretest | Final | Difference |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Females | F91 001 | 24 | 25.5 | 24.6 | -0.9 |
| | F91 002 | 24 | 27.4 | 26.0 | -1.4 |
| | F91 003 | 24 | 27.9 | 26.5 | -1.4 |
| | F91 004 | 24 | 30.1 | 28.6 | -1.5 |
| | F91 005 | 24 | 28.8 | 27.9 | -0.9 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F01 001 | 24 | 26.4 | 25.8 | -0.6 |
| | F01 002 | 24 | 24.3 | 24.9 | 0.6 |
| | F01 003 | 24 | 26.0 | 25.5 | -0.5 |
| | F01 004 | 24 | 24.4 | 23.2 | -1.2 |
| | F01 005 | 24 | 31.4 | 29.8 | -1.6 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F02 001 | 24 | 26.7 | 25.7 | -1.0 |
| | F02 002 | 24 | 26.5 | 25.4 | -1.1 |
| | F02 003 | 24 | 27.1 | 25.9 | -1.2 |
| | F02 004 | 24 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 0.0 |
| | F02 005 | 24 | 28.3 | 27.9 | -0.4 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F03 001 | 24 | 27.6 | 26.2 | -1.4 |
| | F03 003 | 24 | 30.8 | 29.6 | -1.2 |
| | F03 009 | 24 | 23.9 | 23.8 | -0.1 |
| | F03 013 | 24 | 32.4 | 30.4 | -2.0 |
| | F03 014 | 24 | 29.4 | 28.2 | -1.2 |
| 40 mg/kg Cyclophosphamide Females | F04 001 | 24 | 28.7 | 28.5 | -0.2 |
| | F04 002 | 24 | 29.0 | 28.7 | -0.3 |
| | F04 003 | 24 | 28.4 | 27.5 | -0.9 |
| | F04 004 | 24 | 29.0 | 28.4 | -0.6 |
| | F04 005 | 24 | 27.6 | 26.5 | -1.1 |

^a Hours after treatment.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 1 (continued)

BODY WEIGHT TABLE FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH DIRECT® HERBICIDE FORMULATION

48 HOUR FEMALES

| Group | Animal Number | Time of Sacrifice * (hr) | Body Weight (g) | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| | | | Pretest | Final | Difference |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Females | F91 006 | 48 | 27.4 | 26.5 | -0.9 |
| | F91 007 | 48 | 26.5 | 26.0 | -0.5 |
| | F91 008 | 48 | 32.6 | 31.9 | -0.7 |
| | F91 009 | 48 | 24.7 | 23.5 | -1.2 |
| | F91 010 | 48 | 24.2 | 23.6 | -0.6 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F01 006 | 48 | 24.7 | 24.9 | 0.2 |
| | F01 007 | 48 | 28.1 | 27.8 | -0.3 |
| | F01 008 | 48 | 33.1 | 32.4 | -0.7 |
| | F01 009 | 48 | 28.3 | 28.6 | 0.3 |
| | F01 010 | 48 | 30.2 | 30.2 | 0.0 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F02 006 | 48 | 23.5 | 22.2 | -1.3 |
| | F02 007 | 48 | 29.2 | 28.2 | -1.0 |
| | F02 008 | 48 | 26.5 | 26.5 | 0.0 |
| | F02 009 | 48 | 34.6 | 33.2 | -1.4 |
| | F02 010 | 48 | 30.9 | 29.4 | -1.5 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F03 002 | 48 | 25.5 | 25.1 | -0.4 |
| | F03 004 | 48 | 27.5 | 27.3 | -0.2 |
| | F03 005 | 48 | 26.0 | 24.8 | -1.2 |
| | F03 006 | 48 | 25.5 | 24.7 | -0.8 |
| | F03 007 | 48 | 24.0 | 24.1 | 0.1 |

* Hours after treatment.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 1 (continued)

BODY WEIGHT TABLE FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH DIRECT® HERBICIDE FORMULATION

72 HOUR FEMALES

| Group | Animal Number | Time of Sacrifice (hr) | Body Weight (g) | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| | | | Pretest | Final | Difference |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Females | F91 011 | 72 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 0.0 |
| | F91 012 | 72 | 27.0 | 26.6 | -0.4 |
| | F91 013 | 72 | 30.4 | 29.5 | -0.9 |
| | F91 014 | 72 | 31.8 | 31.4 | -0.4 |
| | F91 015 | 72 | 25.6 | 25.5 | -0.1 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F01 011 | 72 | 29.6 | 28.7 | -0.9 |
| | F01 012 | 72 | 28.8 | 28.0 | -0.8 |
| | F01 013 | 72 | 24.7 | 24.8 | 0.1 |
| | F01 014 | 72 | 25.1 | 26.2 | 1.1 |
| | F01 015 | 72 | 30.4 | 28.8 | -1.6 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F02 011 | 72 | 27.7 | 26.1 | -1.6 |
| | F02 012 | 72 | 29.8 | 29.7 | -0.1 |
| | F02 013 | 72 | 33.2 | 31.6 | -1.6 |
| | F02 014 | 72 | 23.5 | 24.1 | 0.6 |
| | F02 015 | 72 | 26.3 | 26.9 | 0.6 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F03 008 | 72 | 30.9 | 30.7 | -0.2 |
| | F03 010 | 72 | 28.9 | 28.0 | -0.9 |
| | F03 011 | 72 | 29.0 | 29.2 | 0.2 |
| | F03 015 | 72 | 26.0 | 28.0 | 2.0 |
| | F03 016 | 72 | 25.2 | 25.6 | 0.4 |

* Hours after treatment.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 2

Slide Scoring Data for the Micronucleus Experiment with **DIRECT®** Herbicide Formulation
(PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio and Micronucleated PCE's)
24 Hour Males

| Group | Animal Number | Time Hrs. | PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio ^a | | | Micronucleated PCE ^b | | |
|------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|-----------------|
| | | | Sld. 1 | Sld. 2 | Mean | Sld. 1 | Sld. 2 | Combined |
| 10 ml/kg | M 038 | 24 | 0.456 | 0.510 | 0.483 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Sterile Saline | M 177 | 24 | 0.352 | 0.396 | 0.374 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Males | M 202 | 24 | 0.464 | 0.372 | 0.418 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | M 209 | 24 | 0.476 | 0.432 | 0.454 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | M 232 | 24 | 0.442 | 0.370 | 0.406 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 91 mg/kg | M 159 | 24 | 0.498 | 0.560 | 0.529 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| DIRECT® | M 165 | 24 | 0.486 | 0.546 | 0.516 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Males | M 214 | 24 | 0.378 | 0.478 | 0.428 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 262 | 24 | 0.500 | 0.468 | 0.484 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 291 | 24 | 0.472 | 0.536 | 0.504 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 183 mg/kg | M 097 | 24 | 0.510 | 0.522 | 0.516 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| DIRECT® | M 176 | 24 | 0.534 | 0.562 | 0.548 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Males | M 259 | 24 | 0.496 | 0.486 | 0.491 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| | M 280 | 24 | 0.478 | 0.452 | 0.465 | 1 | 1 | 2 ^c |
| | M 296 | 24 | 0.390 | 0.440 | 0.415 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 365 mg/kg | M 160 | 24 | 0.502 | 0.496 | 0.499 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| DIRECT® | M 169 | 24 | 0.526 | 0.622 | 0.574 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Males | M 253 | 24 | 0.426 | 0.460 | 0.443 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 254 | 24 | 0.494 | 0.536 | 0.515 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | M 292 | 24 | 0.438 | 0.390 | 0.414 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 40 mg/kg | M 112 | 24 | 0.400 | 0.434 | 0.417 | 7 | 9 | 16 |
| Cyclophosphamide | M 179 | 24 | 0.544 | 0.602 | 0.573 | 13 | 18 | 31 |
| Males | M 194 | 24 | 0.434 | 0.452 | 0.443 | 21 | 18 | 39 |
| | M 233 | 24 | 0.510 | 0.560 | 0.535 | 12 | 20 | 32 ^c |
| | M 240 | 24 | 0.472 | 0.474 | 0.473 | 18 | 10 | 28 |

Note: Refer to the end of APPENDIX II Table 2 for all footnote codes.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 2 (continued)

Slide Scoring Data for the Micronucleus Experiment with **DIRECT®** Herbicide Formulation
(PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio and Micronucleated PCE's)
48 Hour Males

| Group | Animal Number | Time Hrs. | PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio ^a | | | Micronucleated PCE ^b | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | | | Slid. 1 | Slid. 2 | Mean | Slid. 1 | Slid. 2 | Combined |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Males | M 066 | 48 | 0.436 | 0.404 | 0.420 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 117 | 48 | 0.484 | 0.462 | 0.473 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 161 | 48 | 0.510 | 0.508 | 0.509 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 257 | 48 | 0.558 | 0.500 | 0.529 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| | M 294 | 48 | 0.542 | 0.502 | 0.522 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M 090 | 48 | 0.476 | 0.442 | 0.459 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| | M 155 | 48 | 0.567 | 0.570 | 0.568 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 164 | 48 | 0.488 | 0.310 | 0.399 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 220 | 48 | 0.444 | 0.446 | 0.445 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | M 252 | 48 | 0.486 | 0.474 | 0.480 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M 126 | 48 | 0.600 | 0.580 | 0.590 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | M 185 | 48 | 0.456 | 0.464 | 0.460 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | M 229 | 48 | 0.532 | 0.494 | 0.513 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | M 235 | 48 | 0.606 | 0.560 | 0.583 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 302 | 48 | 0.418 | 0.434 | 0.426 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M 058 | 48 | 0.572 | 0.482 | 0.527 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | M 069 | 48 | 0.592 | 0.492 | 0.542 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 152 | 48 | 0.452 | 0.508 | 0.480 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | M 166 | 48 | 0.408 | 0.320 | 0.364 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | M 216 | 48 | 0.606 | 0.544 | 0.575 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

Note: Refer to the end of APPENDIX II Table 2 for all footnote codes.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 2 (continued)

Slide Scoring Data for the Micronucleus Experiment with DIRECT® Herbicide Formulation
(PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio and Micronucleated PCE's)
72 Hour Males

| Group | Animal Number | Time Hrs. | PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio ^a | | | Micronucleated PCE ^b | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | | | Sld.1 | Sld. 2 | Mean | Sld.1 | Sld. 2 | Combined |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Males | M 125 | 72 | 0.616 | 0.598 | 0.607 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| | M 203 | 72 | 0.514 | 0.436 | 0.475 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | M 225 | 72 | 0.674 | 0.534 | 0.604 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | M 266 | 72 | 0.440 | 0.420 | 0.430 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | M 306 | 72 | 0.612 | 0.596 | 0.604 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M 188 | 72 | 0.600 | 0.596 | 0.598 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | M 207 | 72 | 0.640 | 0.578 | 0.609 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 239 | 72 | 0.607 d | 0.698 | 0.652 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | M 267 | 72 | 0.504 | 0.620 | 0.562 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | M 271 | 72 | 0.576 | 0.570 | 0.573 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M 141 | 72 | 0.568 | 0.478 | 0.523 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| | M 163 | 72 | 0.662 | 0.722 | 0.692 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | M 210 | 72 | 0.656 | 0.558 | 0.607 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | M 284 | 72 | 0.576 | 0.438 | 0.507 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | M 303 | 72 | 0.630 | 0.568 | 0.599 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M 139 | 72 | 0.535 d | 0.756 | 0.645 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | M 154 | 72 | 0.634 | 0.668 | 0.651 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | M 192 | 72 | 0.622 | 0.734 | 0.678 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | M 228 | 72 | 0.606 | 0.696 | 0.651 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | M 276 | 72 | 0.616 | 0.600 | 0.608 | 1 | 0 | 1 |

Note: Refer to the end of APPENDIX II Table 2 for all footnote codes.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 2 (continued)

Slide Scoring Data for the Micronucleus Experiment with DIRECT® Herbicide Formulation
(PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio and Micronucleated PCE's)
24 Hour Females

| Group | Animal Number | Time Hrs. | PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio ^a | | | Micronucleated PCE ^b | | |
|---|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | | | Sld. 1 | Sld. 2 | Mean | Sld. 1 | Sld. 2 | Combined |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Females | F 195 | 24 | 0.536 | 0.482 | 0.509 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 213 | 24 | 0.543 d | 0.408 | 0.476 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | F 217 | 24 | 0.602 | 0.508 | 0.555 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 234 | 24 | 0.436 | 0.468 | 0.452 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 303 | 24 | 0.406 | 0.446 | 0.426 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F 145 | 24 | 0.667 d | 0.598 | 0.633 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | F 147 | 24 | 0.616 | 0.528 | 0.572 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | F 185 | 24 | 0.636 | 0.578 | 0.607 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 205 | 24 | 0.484 | 0.518 | 0.501 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 285 | 24 | 0.602 | 0.468 | 0.535 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F 179 | 24 | 0.632 | 0.514 | 0.573 | 1 | 4 | 5 |
| | F 236 | 24 | 0.488 | 0.414 | 0.451 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 246 | 24 | 0.466 | 0.512 | 0.489 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | F 258 | 24 | 0.558 | 0.528 | 0.542 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 267 | 24 | 0.496 | 0.472 | 0.484 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F 192 | 24 | 0.302 | 0.350 | 0.326 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | F 193 | 24 | 0.610 | 0.534 | 0.572 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 227 | 24 | 0.382 | 0.446 | 0.414 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | F 262 | 24 | 0.440 | 0.468 | 0.454 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| | F 273 | 24 | 0.498 | 0.504 | 0.501 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 40 mg/kg Cyclophosphamide Females | F 220 | 24 | 0.598 | 0.490 | 0.544 | 18 | 16 | 34 |
| | F 245 | 24 | 0.478 | 0.482 | 0.480 | 5 | 10 | 15 c |
| | F 256 | 24 | 0.572 | 0.498 | 0.535 | 19 | 13 | 32 c |
| | F 264 | 24 | 0.418 | 0.474 | 0.446 | 10 | 11 | 21 c |
| | F 269 | 24 | 0.536 | 0.534 | 0.535 | 15 | 11 | 26 c |

Note: Refer to the end of APPENDIX II Table 2 for all footnote codes.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 2 (continued)

Slide Scoring Data for the Micronucleus Experiment with DIRECT® Herbicide Formulation
(PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio and Micronucleated PCE's)
48 Hour Females

| Group | Animal Number | Time Hrs. | PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio ^a | | | Micronucleated PCE ^b | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--------|-------|---------------------------------|--------|----------|
| | | | Sld. 1 | Sld. 2 | Mean | Sld.1 | Sld. 2 | Combined |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Females | F 167 | 48 | 0.552 | 0.534 | 0.543 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | F 187 | 48 | 0.612 | 0.564 | 0.588 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 200 | 48 | 0.428 | 0.434 | 0.431 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | F 248 | 48 | 0.500 | 0.524 | 0.512 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 255 | 48 | 0.614 | 0.574 | 0.594 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F 003 | 48 | 0.510 | 0.504 | 0.507 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 152 | 48 | 0.536 | 0.570 | 0.553 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 199 | 48 | 0.488 | 0.510 | 0.499 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 280 | 48 | 0.476 | 0.522 | 0.499 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | F 306 | 48 | 0.318 | 0.360 | 0.339 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F 057 | 48 | 0.598 | 0.422 | 0.510 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 180 | 48 | 0.448 | 0.562 | 0.505 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 186 | 48 | 0.608 | 0.602 | 0.605 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| | F 214 | 48 | 0.520 | 0.522 | 0.521 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 278 | 48 | 0.508 | 0.534 | 0.521 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F 001 | 48 | 0.358 | 0.394 | 0.376 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 056 | 48 | 0.512 | 0.562 | 0.537 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | F 238 | 48 | 0.496 | 0.538 | 0.517 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 277 | 48 | 0.440 | 0.492 | 0.466 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | F 279 | 48 | 0.560 | 0.594 | 0.577 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

Note: Refer to the end of APPENDIX II Table 2 for all footnote codes.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 2 (continued)

Slide Scoring Data for the Micronucleus Experiment with **DIRECT®** Herbicide Formulation
(PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio and Micronucleated PCE's)
72 Hour Females

| Group | Animal Number | Time Hrs. | PCE/Erythrocyte Ratio ^a | | | Micronucleated PCE ^b | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|---------|-------|---------------------------------|---------|----------|
| | | | Slid. 1 | Slid. 2 | Mean | Slid.1 | Slid. 2 | Combined |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Females | F 235 | 72 | 0.396 | 0.384 | 0.390 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | F 240 | 72 | 0.544 | 0.542 | 0.543 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | F 241 | 72 | 0.564 | 0.478 | 0.521 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | F 281 | 72 | 0.614 | 0.720 | 0.667 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | F 290 | 72 | 0.500 | 0.450 | 0.475 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F 024 | 72 | 0.428 | 0.512 d | 0.470 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| | F 183 | 72 | 0.504 | 0.634 | 0.569 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | F 194 | 72 | 0.572 | 0.513 d | 0.543 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 223 | 72 | 0.616 | 0.594 | 0.605 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | F 302 | 72 | 0.652 | 0.570 | 0.611 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F 004 | 72 | 0.554 | 0.574 | 0.564 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | F 202 | 72 | 0.652 | 0.802 | 0.727 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| | F 225 | 72 | 0.648 | 0.668 | 0.658 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | F 259 | 72 | 0.586 | 0.612 | 0.599 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | F 312 | 72 | 0.608 | 0.620 | 0.614 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F 010 | 72 | 0.610 | 0.718 | 0.664 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | F 117 | 72 | 0.608 | 0.578 | 0.593 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| | F 127 | 72 | 0.638 | 0.600 | 0.619 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | F 197 | 72 | 0.604 | 0.554 | 0.579 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | F 265 | 72 | 0.600 | 0.496 | 0.548 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

Note: Refer to the end of APPENDIX II Table 2 for all footnote codes.

APPENDIX II - Table 2 (Footnotes)

- a Ratio scored per 500 erythrocytes (PCEs and NCEs) for each slide except as noted in (d) and mean ratio of both slides (equivalent to ratio for 1000 erythrocytes).
- b Micronucleated PCE scored per 500 PCEs for each slide and combined micronucleated PCEs for 1000 PCEs scored.
- c Significantly discordant scoring results observed in initial scoring, slides were re-scored and rescored value used in analysis.
- d Total PCE/NCE not equal to 500, but between 490 and 510. Ratio was calculated to reflect the actual number of PCE/NCE counted.

This document is not the property of EFSA and is provided for giving full effect to the right of public access to documents under EU law.
The document may be subject to rights such as intellectual property and copy rights of third parties.
Furthermore, this document may fall under a regulatory data protection regime.
Consequently, any publication, distribution, reproduction and/or publishing and any commercial exploitation and use of this document may therefore be prohibited and violate the rights of its owner.

APPENDIX II - TABLE 3
ANIMAL NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH DIRECT® HERBICIDE
FORMULATION

| Group | Study Number | Lot Number |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Females | F91 001 | F 234 |
| | F91 002 | F 217 |
| | F91 003 | F 213 |
| | F91 004 | F 303 |
| | F91 005 | F 195 |
| | F91 006 | F 255 |
| | F91 007 | F 167 |
| | F91 008 | F 200 |
| | F91 009 | F 248 |
| | F91 010 | F 187 |
| | F91 011 | F 241 |
| | F91 012 | F 240 |
| | F91 013 | F 235 |
| | F91 014 | F 290 |
| | F91 015 | F 281 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F01 001 | F 185 |
| | F01 002 | F 145 |
| | F01 003 | F 285 |
| | F01 004 | F 147 |
| | F01 005 | F 205 |
| | F01 006 | F 199 |
| | F01 007 | F 306 |
| | F01 008 | F 003 |
| | F01 009 | F 280 |
| | F01 010 | F 152 |
| | F01 011 | F 024 |
| | F01 012 | F 183 |
| | F01 013 | F 223 |
| | F01 014 | F 302 |
| | F01 015 | F 194 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F02 001 | F 246 |
| | F02 002 | F 236 |
| | F02 003 | F 258 |
| | F02 004 | F 267 |
| | F02 005 | F 179 |
| | F02 006 | F 278 |
| | F02 007 | F 180 |
| | F02 008 | F 186 |
| | F02 009 | F 214 |

APPENDIX II - TABLE 3 (Continued)
ANIMAL NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH DIRECT® HERBICIDE FORMULATION

| Group | Study Number | Lot Number |
|---|--------------|------------|
| | F02 010 | F 057 |
| | F02 011 | F 312 |
| | F02 012 | F 225 |
| | F02 013 | F 259 |
| | F02 014 | F 004 |
| | F02 015 | F 202 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Females | F03 001 | F 193 |
| | F03 002 | F 056 |
| | F03 003 | F 192 |
| | F03 004 | F 279 |
| | F03 005 | F 238 |
| | F03 006 | F 001 |
| | F03 007 | F 277 |
| | F03 008 | F 010 |
| | F03 009 | F 227 |
| | F03 010 | F 265 |
| | F03 011 | F 197 |
| | F03 013 | F 273 |
| | F03 014 | F 262 |
| | F03 015 | F 117 |
| | F03 016 | F 127 |
| 40 mg/kg Cyclophosphamide Females | F04 001 | F 264 |
| | F04 002 | F 269 |
| | F04 003 | F 220 |
| | F04 004 | F 256 |
| | F04 005 | F 245 |
| 10 ml/kg Sterile Saline Males | M91 001 | M 232 |
| | M91 002 | M 202 |
| | M91 003 | M 038 |
| | M91 004 | M 177 |
| | M91 005 | M 209 |
| | M91 006 | M 117 |
| | M91 007 | M 066 |
| | M91 008 | M 257 |
| | M91 009 | M 294 |
| | M91 010 | M 161 |
| | M91 011 | M 225 |

APPENDIX II - TABLE 3 (Continued)
ANIMAL NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH DIRECT® HERBICIDE FORMULATION

| Group | Study Number | Lot Number |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | M91 012 | M 306 |
| | M91 013 | M 203 |
| | M91 014 | M 125 |
| | M91 015 | M 266 |
| 91 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M01 001 | M 159 |
| | M01 002 | M 262 |
| | M01 003 | M 291 |
| | M01 004 | M 214 |
| | M01 005 | M 165 |
| | M01 006 | M 155 |
| | M01 007 | M 164 |
| | M01 008 | M 252 |
| | M01 009 | M 220 |
| | M01 010 | M 090 |
| | M01 011 | M 267 |
| | M01 012 | M 207 |
| | M01 013 | M 239 |
| | M01 014 | M 271 |
| | M01 015 | M 188 |
| 183 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M02 001 | M 097 |
| | M02 002 | M 280 |
| | M02 003 | M 235 |
| | M02 004 | M 302 |
| | M02 005 | M 229 |
| | M02 006 | M 126 |
| | M02 007 | M 185 |
| | M02 008 | M 303 |
| | M02 009 | M 141 |
| | M02 010 | M 259 |
| | M02 011 | M 296 |
| | M02 012 | M 284 |
| | M02 013 | M 210 |
| | M02 014 | M 176 |
| | M02 015 | M 163 |
| 365 mg/kg DIRECT® Males | M03 001 | M 292 |
| | M03 002 | M 058 |
| | M03 003 | M 166 |
| | M03 004 | M 254 |
| | M03 005 | M 253 |
| | M03 006 | M 069 |

APPENDIX II - TABLE 3 (Continued)
ANIMAL NUMBER ASSIGNMENTS FOR THE MICRONUCLEUS EXPERIMENT WITH **DIRECT®** HERBICIDE
FORMULATION

| Group | Study Number | Lot Number |
|------------------|--------------|------------|
| | M03 007 | M 152 |
| | M03 008 | M 216 |
| | M03 009 | M 228 |
| | M03 010 | M 192 |
| | M03 011 | M 154 |
| | M03 012 | M 276 |
| | M03 013 | M 139 |
| | M03 015 | M 160 |
| | M03 017 | M 169 |
| 40 mg/kg | M04 001 | M 233 |
| Cyclophosphamide | M04 002 | M 112 |
| Males | M04 003 | M 194 |
| | M04 004 | M 240 |
| | M04 005 | M 179 |