

Message

From: Shaunak Richa CHBS [/O=MESSAGING/OU=BE-AG/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=SHAUNRI1]
Sent: 8/3/2006 7:42:32 AM
To: Elliott Barry GBAP [/O=MESSAGING/OU=BE-AG/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=BARRY.ELLIOTT]
Subject: FW: Inteon difficulties

Richa

From: Wells Jerry USGR
Sent: 02 August 2006 18:42
To: Shaunak Richa CHBS; Wheals Ian CHBS
Cc: Abbott John USGR
Subject: Inteon difficulties

Richa,

I just wanted to update you on recent difficulties re Inteon and USEPA. EPA requested studies used to support the claim of 10-12 mg/kg lethal dose for dogs. It was the basis for our claim of a 10X improvement in acute oral toxicity in dogs. During the process of exchanging the studies it was discovered that the paraquat used in both studies does not appear to have emetic. This has caused a great deal of anguish as EPA now believes we intentionally misused the studies to mislead them. I am certain that it was an honest mistake. Regardless, we have some work to do to try to mend the damage done. It also appears that as a result, the improvement may be 10X when comparing to non-emeticized pq and possibly more like 4 or 5X compared to old pq with emetic (based on a high emetic study). There is still some hope that the notation in the study indicating that there was no emetic is incorrect and we are waiting for Barry and Mike to clarify. It is also possible that there is another study that could help. But, this information is likely important to others around the world who may be working with regulators.

We also now have clearance to discuss and share the table breaking down the Sri Lanka data by ingestion volume.

A very turbulent time.

Kind regards,

Jerry