

From: [SACHS, ERIC S \[AG/1000\]](#)
To: [Phillips, Peter](#)
Cc: [Cliff Gauldin](#); [Ryan, Cami](#)
Subject: Re: GM Dialogue: Regulation Brief
Date: Thursday, September 18, 2014 8:33:28 AM

Thanks Peter.

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 18, 2014, at 10:27 AM, "Phillips, Peter" <peter.phillips@usask.ca> wrote:

Cliff

Try this. When this came in late last week I was totally jammed with other things. The confusion of which draft and what to change led to the slip-up. Anyway, this is what Cami and I converged on—she had lots of other ideas for change but I didn't have any time to do anything. If you could review and either (a) give explicit instructions or (b) take a crack at changes and send to me for confirmation, either should work now.

Peter W.B. Phillips, Ph.D.

Distinguished Professor and Graduate Chair, Johnson-Shoyama Graduate School of Public Policy

University of Saskatchewan

Room 146, 101 Diefenbaker Place

Saskatoon, Canada S7N 5B8

Tel: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Websites:

JSGS: <http://www.schoolofpublicpolicy.sk.ca>

Personal: <http://peterwbphillips.org>

VALGEN: www.Valgen.ca

From: SACHS, ERIC S [AG/1000] [<mailto:eric.s.sachs@monsanto.com>]

Sent: Thursday, September 18, 2014 8:01 AM

To: Phillips, Peter

Cc: Cliff Gauldin; Ryan, Cami

Subject: Re: GM Dialogue: Regulation Brief

Peter

First, I want to thank you for your support of this project and time invested in this paper. Cliff is waiting for your final version. I apologize for any confusion created recently. The objective was to make some edits to simplify the language and concepts for non-professional audiences. Nothing drastic but enough clarification to make your paper more compatible with the others in the series.

As Cliff (copied) has explained, the project has moved to the Genetic Literacy Project and [REDACTED] is on the verge of releasing the entire series of papers on a new section of the GLP website. [REDACTED] will announce the series and put the subjects and content into a public and policy context.

[REDACTED] plans to release the papers about one per week and work with CMA to merchandise the key themes and recommendations via web, social media, etc.

Hopefully you can push the paper forward soon. I know that Cami will help you any way she can.

Please let me know if you have questions or concerns.

Warm Regards
Eric

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 13, 2014, at 7:07 PM, "Phillips, Peter" <peter.phillips@usask.ca> wrote:

Cami

Seems we may be at cross purposes. Cliff sent me changes from [REDACTED] on Aug 28; I generally agreed and amended as requested.

Your comments then came today on an earlier version and, while fine, drive this a long way from what [REDACTED] instructed me to produce.

I started to address and incorporate your comments into the version you worked on (attached) and then realized the disconnect. Some of your suggestions seem to fit with [REDACTED] version, but not all, given the somewhat different structure.

So, to see if I can square this circle, I reviewed your comments and added/amended new words/bits into the version [REDACTED] had edited last week. I am sure it doesn't go all the way you hoped, but it is an amalgam. Perhaps we can sort out a single version to work from and then focus on remaining changes.

p.

Peter W.B. Phillips, Ph.D.

Distinguished Professor and Graduate Chair, Johnson-Shoyama Graduate
School of Public Policy
University of Saskatchewan
Room 146, 101 Diefenbaker Place

Saskatoon, Canada S7N 5B8

Tel: [REDACTED]

Fax: [REDACTED]

Websites:

JSGS: <http://www.schoolofpublicpolicy.sk.ca>

Personal: <http://peterwbphillips.org>

VALGEN: www.Valgen.ca

From: Cami Ryan [<mailto:cam.ryan@usask.ca>]

Sent: Saturday, September 13, 2014 7:45 AM

To: Phillips, Peter

Subject: Phillips GLP Sept 2014 v.1.1 changes accepted cdr

Apologies for the delay.

Still work to be done but I have identified some place where we can edit down the extraneous stuff (from a public consumption perspective) and how we can rephrase it for clarity. Have a look. I also have made suggestions for taking data in table and making it more visual. I can continue to work on these - no problem.

I think that we should, as you suggested, ensure that we provide a link to your original doc so that people can follow up if they choose. The goal here is to try to make this relevant to the reader. The reader wants to know about regulations but they may not need all of the info you provide.

[REDACTED]

ttyl
cami

--

<image001.png>

<Phillips GLP Sept 2014 v 1 1 changes accepted cdr pp.docx>

<(jhe-1) Phillips 8-25-2014 (3) pp rev 13 Sept 2014.docx>

This e-mail message may contain privileged and/or confidential information, and is intended to be received only by persons entitled to receive such information. If you have received this e-mail in error, please notify the sender immediately. Please delete it and all attachments from any servers, hard drives or any other media. Other use of this e-mail by you is strictly prohibited.

All e-mails and attachments sent and received are subject to monitoring, reading and archival by Monsanto, including its subsidiaries. The recipient of this e-mail is solely responsible