

Message

From: TRAVERS, JEFF N [AG/1005] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=JNTRAV]
Sent: 3/18/2015 4:20:33 PM
To: GRIFFITH, GRIFF [AG/1000] [griff.griffith@monsanto.com]
Subject: RE: Background & Overview- for dicamba spray training

OK.

Dicamba is under a great degree of scrutiny by the EPA right now, because of research that was conducted by 3rd parties around the areas of spray drift and volatility. Even though those studies were conducted with the DGA salt of dicamba (Clarity) we can ill afford to have any crop damage from dicamba this year. As such, top management in Regulatory and Legal have taken steps to prevent off-site movement of dicamba while the EPA is reviewing our data submissions. This includes a moratorium on testing our lead formulations that contain VaporGrip™ technology. We are providing enhanced training to help your location prevent off-site movement.

Off-site movement can be considered to be “chemical trespass.” We cannot have dicamba drift onto our neighbors’ fields, because of the negative attention that might result. Our training today should help you minimize the risk of dicamba drift. It will be critical that you place your dicamba tests in the center of the farm or on the windward borders, so that should drift occur, it has a better chance of staying on our farm, vs. affecting our neighbors. We will provide you with the knowledge required to minimize drift and volatility from your dicamba research.

Does this work?

From: GRIFFITH, GRIFF [AG/1000]
Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 4:00 PM
To: TRAVERS, JEFF N [AG/1005]
Subject: Background & Overview- for dicamba spray training

Jeff,

Can you give me a paragraph or your ‘introduction’ for spray training? I feel pretty comfortable working through most of the deck, but would like a nice intro on your background to set the stage on how critical the discussion is for 2015. Thanks,

Griff

BADER FARMS ET AL V. MONSANTO ET AL.

EXHIBIT
PLTF-493

Confidential

MON0665208

Pltfs-0493.0001