EXHIBIT PLTF-294

Message

To:

BHAKTA, TINA [AG/1000] [/o=monsanto/ou=na-1000-01/cn=recipients/cn=ttbhak]; JENKINS, DA

[daniel.j.jenkins@monsanto.com]

CC:

MARTINO-CATT, SUSAN J [AG/1000] [susan.j.martino-catt@monsanto.com]

Subject:

RE: VG formulations

Further updates...

Melissa confirmed nothing for VG in 2014, more have come in for M1691 but nothing for VG.

----Original Message----From: BHAKTA, TINA [AG/1000]

Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 2:57 PM

To: JENKINS, DANIEL J [AG/1920]
CC: MARTINO-CATT, SUSAN J [AG/1000]

Subject: RE: VG formulations

OK.

Dan, as per our conversation this morning on 'transferability' of the data already submitted for M1691; for the A.I. obviously we should be ok. Those to support the formulation when it comes to eco-tox we need the EPA to accept salt specific data as we have no formulation specific data. We may need to have a conversation around this as we don't want this to hold us back... Pushing on getting the field deposition in April, so Susan you may hear about this if others are pissed! Jean got us to mid-june and I've asked how we get to April- mid at the lastest.

----Original Message---From: JENKINS, DANIEL J [AG/1920]
Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 2:29 PM
To: BHAKTA, TINA [AG/1000]

Cc: MARTINO-CATT, SUSAN J [AG/1000]

Subject: RE: VG formulations

Ask Melissa Anderson if she trusts Ty to do these things...

Dan Jenkins
U.S. Agency Lead
Regulatory Affairs
Monsanto Company
1300 I St., NW
Suite 450 East
Washington, DC 20005
Office: 202-383-2851
Cell: 571-732-6575

----Original Message---From: BHAKTA, TINA [AG/1000]

Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 3:28 PM

TO: BHAKTA, TINA [AG/1000]; JENKINS, DANIEL J [AG/1920]

CC: MARTINO-CATT, SUSAN J [AG/1000]


Subject: RE: VG formulations

Spoke to Ty after the meeting just now and probed him a little more on management of drift and if we can put some restrictions in place even though we won't be spraying VG formulations. He said that they already do, as part of their program they plant a lot of Xtend beans/cotton around the trial plot that is not really part of the analysis but for protection of drift. I asked him to flush out some of these details for us next week so that we can build confidence in the testing and try to bring the risk down; think we should still try to be doing this even though the ship has sailed for M1691.

----Original Message-----From: BHAKTA, TINA [AG/1000]

Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 9:45 AM

To: JENKINS, DANIEL J [AG/1920]
Cc: MARTINO-CATT, SUSAN J [AG/1000]



```
Subject: Re: VG formulations
Not that she is aware of. But asked her to go double check.
Sent from my iPhone
> On Jan 21, 2015, at 9:35 AM, JENKINS, DANIEL J [AG/1920] <daniel.j.jenkins@monsanto.com> wrote:
> No 6(a)2s?
> Dan Jenkins
> U.S. Agency Lead
> Regulatory Affairs
> Monsanto Company
> 1300 I St., NW
> Suite 450 East
> Washington, DC 20005
> Office: 202-383-2851
> Cell: 571-732-6575
> ----Original Message----
> From: BHAKTA, TINA [AG/1000]
> Sent: Wednesday, January 21, 2015 10:35 AM
> To: MARTINO-CATT, SUSAN J [AG/1000]; JENKINS, DANIEL J [AG/1920]
> Subject: VG formulations
> Spoke to Melissa today about 'baggage' for VG formulations and it looks like we should be good in terms of things that have happened in field. It's all strip plots (thank god) no large scale and under 10 acre
rule. I asked her to pull what we intend to do in 2015 as we need to stay on this clean page. I have
asked her to double check info though to ensure we have correct facts.
> Sent from my iPad
```