1	IN THE UNITED STATES DEFORE THE EASTERN DISTRIC	
2	SOUTHEASTERN DI	
3	BADER FARMS, INC.,	
4	Plaintiff,	
5	vs.) No.	1:16-cv-299-SNLJ
6	MONSANTO CO. and BASF) CORPORATION,)	
7) Jan	uary 28, 2020
8	Defendants.)	
9	TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL DAY	
10	BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHE UNITED STATES DISTRIC	
11	APPEARANCE	<u>s</u>
12		Randles, Esq.
13		T. Randles, Esq. I. Splittgerber, Esq.
14	5823 N.	and Splittgerber, LLP Cypress Ave. Lity, MO 64119
15		- '
16	L. Benja	'. George, Esq. min Mook, Esq. Davis, Esq.
17	Davis Ge	eorge Mook, LLC lessee St., Suite 328
18		city, MO 64102
19	TOD DEFENDANT	r: 11
20	MONSANTO COMPANY Sharon B	Miller, Esq. B. Rosenberg, Esq.
21	One US E	Coburn LLP Bank Plaza
22		th St., Suite 2700 s, MO 63101
23		Dukes, Esq.
24		Mullins n Street , SC 29201
25		1, 50 2,201

1	FOR DEFENDANT: John P. Mandler, Esq.
2	BASF CORPORATION Anthony Finnell, Jr., Esq. Tarifa Laddon, Esq.
3	Shane Anderson, Esq.
4	Faegre and Baker LLP 90 S. 7th Street, suite 2200
5	Minneapolis, MN 55402
6	Troy A. Bozarth, Esq. Hepler Broom LLC
7	130 North Main St. Edwardsville, IL 62025
8	
9	
10	
11	REPORTED BY: Laura A. Esposito, RPR, CRR, CRC
12	U.S. District Court 111 South 10th Street
13	St. Louis, MO 63102
14	(314) 244-7739 Laura_Esposito@moed.uscourts.gov
15	
16	Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography; transcript produced by computer-aided transcription.
17	
18	INDEX OF WITNESS EXAMINATION
19	<u>DX CX R-DX R-CX FR-DX</u>
20	Dr. Boyd Carott
21	Dr. Boyd Carey 362
22	MISCELLANEOUS INDEX
23	PAGE
24	Video Deposition of Kim Magin 394
25	

1	
1	(Proceedings convened in open court at 1:10 p.m.)
2	(Following conducted outside presence of jury:)
3	THE COURT: Preliminary matters?
4	MR. RANDLES: No, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: I'm going to listen to all the testimony,
6	too. I don't want to make any mistakes in this trial, so
7	I'm going to listen to that. I advise you once again,
8	suggested it many times you can bring them in that
9	limiting instructions can cure a lot of problems in this
10	trial, and I've not received any suggestions about that from
11	anybody, so
12	(Jury in)
13	THE COURT: Call your next witness.
14	MR. RANDLES: Thank you, Your Honor. Plaintiffs call
15	Dr. Boyd Carey from Monsanto.
16	DR. BOYD CAREY, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN
17	DIRECT EXAMINATION
18	QUESTIONS BY MR. RANDLES:
19	COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please state your full name for the
20	court reporter over there, and speak into the microphone.
21	THE WITNESS: J. Boyd Carey. First name initial is J.
22	COURTROOM DEPUTY: You can have a seat in the witness
23	stand.
24	THE COURT: You may proceed.
25	MR. RANDLES: Thank you, Your Honor.

- 1 Q. (By Mr. Randles) Good afternoon, Dr. Carey.
- 2 A. Good afternoon.
- 3 \ Q. We've met before, haven't we?
- 4 A. We have.
- 5 | Q. I took your deposition a few months back, didn't I?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 | Q. And we -- you've already stated your name. Would you
- 8 | tell the jurors when you started working at Monsanto?
- 9 A. I started working at Monsanto in 1996, October.
- 10 Q. And, if I recall correctly, you had done some work
- 11 that was related to Monsanto a little bit before that, is
- 12 | that correct?
- 13 | A. No, not really. That was my first engagement at all
- 14 with the company.
- 15 Q. So, you began in 1996. Now, you have a Ph.D., don't
- 16 you?
- 17 | A. I do.
- 18 ||Q|. Would you please tell the jurors what your Ph.D. is in
- 19 and where you got it from?
- 20 | A. Yes. My Ph.D. is in agriculture with a specialization
- 21 | in weed science, and I received that from Michigan State
- 22 University.
- 23 Q. Dr. Carey, you are a scientist?
- 24 | A. Yes, sir.
- 25 | Q. I want to ask you some questions about science as a

general matter, and then we'll get into some specifics as we go, all right?

Science is a search for the truth, right?

- A. I think that's a good way to characterize it, yes.
- Q. And it's important in scientific research to let the chips fall where they may, isn't it?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And science should be unbiased, shouldn't it?
- 9 A. It should absolutely be unbiased.
- 10 \mathbf{Q} . And science should be objective as to the results,
- 11 correct?

3

- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. Studies should not be designed to yield certain
- 14 desired results, correct?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. Studies should avoid biases?
- 17 A. I'm sorry. Can you repeat?
- 18 Q. Studies should avoid biases?
- 19 A. Studies should absolutely avoid bias.
- 20 $\parallel Q$. And one bias is attempting to serve legal purposes,
- 21 | correct?
- 22 | A. If the research is biased, that's incorrect, and
- 23 | that's not appropriate. If research is conducted for
- 24 whatever purpose, as long as it's sound science, I think
- 25 | it's appropriate.

1	Q. But one kind of bias could be attempting to serve a
2	particular legal purpose, correct?
3	A. I don't I don't know. I don't I don't
4	appreciate that, understand that question.
5	Q. Okay. Well, Dr. Carey, I want to show you a portion
6	of the transcript in which I deposed you a few months ago,
7	all right?
8	MR. RANDLES: And, Your Honor, this is a video clip,
9	No. 7, of Dr. Boyd Carey's deposition, and I would like the
LO	Court's permission to show it to the jury as impeachment.
L1	THE COURT: Okay. It's not been admitted into
L2	evidence then?
L3	MR. RANDLES: No, sir.
L4	THE COURT: Just for impeachment purposes only?
L5	MR. RANDLES: Yes, sir.
L6	THE COURT: Any objections?
L7	MR. MILLER: No, Your Honor. No objections.
L8	THE COURT: You may proceed then.
L9	MR. RANDLES: All right.
20	Q. (By Mr. Randles) Dr. Carey, if you would look at your
21	screen.
22	(A clip of the witness's videotaped deposition was
23	played for the jury as follows:)
24	"Q. Biases could include attempting to serve legal
25	nurnoses correct?

1 "A. It could."

(End of videotaped deposition clip)

- 3 Q. (By Mr. Randles) You recall giving that answer at the time?
- 5 **A**. I do.

- Q. Research should not be avoided because of fear of undesirable results, correct?
- 8 A. I agree.
- 9 Q. And -- but you would agree that certain scientific
 10 proposals at Monsanto with regard to the dicamba-tolerant
 11 system were evaluated on the potential risk for the product
- 12 release, correct?
- 13 A. I'm sorry. Could you repeat that question.
- 14 Q. Certain scientific proposals related to the
- dicamba-tolerant system were evaluated on the potential risk for the product release at Monsanto?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. I would like to show you Plaintiff's Exhibit 1108. It should come up on your screen in a moment.
- 20 You see the document there?
- 21 A. I do see the document, yes.
- 22 Q. You see that this is an email from Ron Repage at BASF?
- 23 You see that?
- 24 A. I do.
- 25 Q. We're going to blow it up a little bit so you could

I	
1	see.
2	A. Thank you.
3	Q. To Shea Murdock at Monsanto. You see that?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. And it's dated May 23rd, 2012?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. Up at the top there?
8	A. I see it, yes.
9	Q. I've got okay. And it says, "DT System Agreement
10	Overview, May 3rd," and then has some letters, correct?
11	A. Correct.
12	Q. All right. So this is a Monsanto document a
13	document received by Monsanto from BASF. You see that?
14	A. I do.
15	MR. RANDLES: All right. Your Honor, I would like to
16	offer this document into evidence at this time.
17	MR. MILLER: Your Honor, we object. It's not it
18	was not on the list that counsel submitted as to exhibits
19	that would be used with this witness, so I haven't had a
20	chance to review it and make any objection to it.
21	MR. MANDLER: We join, Your Honor. We'd also make a
22	parol evidence rule as it summarizes a signed agreement.
23	THE COURT: Counsel, come up.
24	* * * *
25	(Discussion held at sidebar between the Court and

1	counsel as follows:)
2	MR. RANDLES: This is an email from BASF to Monsanto.
3	I don't know why it wasn't sent to them. It was used in my
4	opening, so they have received it.
5	MS. GEORGE: It's on our exhibit list.
6	MR. MANDLER: But we had an agreement 24 hours in
7	advance so you could tell what exhibits would be with which
8	witnesses, and it's not on there.
9	MR. MILLER: We were given a list of exhibits that
LO	were going to be used with Dr. Carey, and it's not on this
L1	list. I mean that's the idea was so we could prepare for
L2	things like this.
L3	MS. GEORGE: I apologize if it didn't make it on the
	MS. GEORGE: I apologize if it didn't make it on the list with Boyd Carey. It was in our opening and it was on
L4	
L4 L5	list with Boyd Carey. It was in our opening and it was on
L4 L5 L6	list with Boyd Carey. It was in our opening and it was on our exhibit list. I apologize if it didn't make it on the
L4 L5 L6 L7	list with Boyd Carey. It was in our opening and it was on our exhibit list. I apologize if it didn't make it on the list evidence in the case if
L4 L5 L6 L7	list with Boyd Carey. It was in our opening and it was on our exhibit list. I apologize if it didn't make it on the list evidence in the case if MR. RANDLES: It was an oversight. It should have
L3 L4 L5 L6 L7 L8 L9	list with Boyd Carey. It was in our opening and it was on our exhibit list. I apologize if it didn't make it on the list evidence in the case if MR. RANDLES: It was an oversight. It should have been on there. I thought it was disclosed on this list,
L4 L5 L6 L7 L8	list with Boyd Carey. It was in our opening and it was on our exhibit list. I apologize if it didn't make it on the list evidence in the case if MR. RANDLES: It was an oversight. It should have been on there. I thought it was disclosed on this list, too.
14 15 16 17 18 19	list with Boyd Carey. It was in our opening and it was on our exhibit list. I apologize if it didn't make it on the list evidence in the case if MR. RANDLES: It was an oversight. It should have been on there. I thought it was disclosed on this list, too. THE COURT: So how important is it?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	list with Boyd Carey. It was in our opening and it was on our exhibit list. I apologize if it didn't make it on the list evidence in the case if MR. RANDLES: It was an oversight. It should have been on there. I thought it was disclosed on this list, too. THE COURT: So how important is it? MR. RANDLES: Well, for

offer it up separately from the witness later if they want

to stand on this for now. 1 MS. GEORGE: It's just a finding system. 2 MR. MILLER: It's what? 3 MS. GEORGE: It's just a finding system. It's not a 4 5 controversial --MR. MANDLER: That's why it's parol evidence. 6 7 (Intelligible) -- it was reduced to a contract. THE COURT: Why don't we get to it later on. 8 MR. RANDLES: We can do it later. 9 (End of discussion at sidebar) 10 11 (By Mr. Randles) Dr. Carey, I want to show you an 12 exhibit marked No. 3 -- Plaintiff's 311. Do you see it on 13 your screen -- well, do you see it on your screen? 14 15 I do. You see, down at the bottom of the page, "MON3," 16 17 Monsanto Bates number at the bottom, down at the very bottom? 18 19 Oh, in the corner, yes. 2.0 You see that? Q. I do. 21 Α. Q. 22 All right. And this is talking about academic surveys, correct? 23 24 Α. That's the title.

All right. Your Honor, I'd like to

MR. RANDLES:

offer this Monsanto document into evidence. 1 MR. MILLER: We've got the same problem, Your Honor. 2 It's not on the list. 3 4 MR. RANDLES: Can we approach? THE COURT: Okay. 5 6 (Discussion held at sidebar between the Court and 7 counsel as follows:) 8 9 MS. GEORGE: I'm assuming that when we sent over our opening list of exhibits and our Boyd Carey list of exhibits 10 that some of these were -- ended up on the opening list as 11 opposed to the Boyd Carey list, and they all went over. We 12 13 sent these all over on the same time. And that's the only 14 thing I can imagine happened because we disclosed all of these exhibits to you guys. 15 MR. MILLER: I'm not saying that you didn't -- it 16 wasn't on the exhibit list as a whole for the case. 17 MS. GEORGE: Not just as a whole. 18 All I'm saying is, we agreed to exchange 19 MR. MILLER: lists for at least direct of four witnesses, and it's not on 2.0 that list, so I haven't looked at it for this witness. 21 22 haven't determined if -- you know, what the objections are I might make for this witness. It's not in the list that I've 23 24 given, Your Honor. That's the trouble.

We argued objections for every single one

MS. GEORGE:

of these exhibits already. 1 THE COURT: How many more of them? 2 MR. RANDLES: I don't know the exact match-up, 3 4 Your Honor, because, like I said, it may be some of my 5 opening exhibits --(Unintelligible - voices talking at the same time) 6 THE COURT: -- examination by using these exhibits or 7 come back to these others then? 8 9 MR. RANDLES: I quess I can start and then come back to them later in the day or tomorrow with this guy. 10 MS. GEORGE: Okay. We already -- but the thing is, 11 we've already resolved objections to these exhibits. 12 13 MR. RANDLES: You've already ruled on all of these, 14 either for opening or for -- these are not unruled on and 15 they're not unknown. MS. GEORGE: And they got these at the exact same time 16 we sent the same list over. 17 MR. MILLER: All right. I understand that and I'm 18 not --19 THE COURT: Also used in opening statement. 20 (Unintelligible - voices talking at the same time) 21 22 **COURT REPORTER:** One at a time, please. MS. GEORGE: The only document you're going to hear 23 were either on this list or used in opening and disclosed in 24

opening, and already all of the objections have been fought

over. 1 2 MR. MILLER: And, Your Honor, one of the reasons, I presume, for providing lists for specific witnesses ahead of 3 time is so that we can consider them vis-a-vis that 4 5 particular witness. THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Well, just hold off on 6 7 these. MS. GEORGE: I don't know what list he's looking at 8 and if it's even the same one we sent over. 9 MR. MILLER: It is the same one you sent over. 10 MR. RANDLES: My list is not in the same order as this 11 list, so I'm going to need to chop it up quite a bit. 12 13 that's the case, I mean --14 MS. GEORGE: There's no prejudice here. THE COURT: Well, they're saying that they've prepared 15 to examine this witness based on these exhibits and so I'm 16 17 going to give them additional time for that purpose. MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 18 It may be that we recess this witness at 19 MR. RANDLES: a certain point today and he comes back tomorrow while we 2.0 fix this issue. I apologize for this. They were meant to 21 22 make both lists. 23 MS. GEORGE: My understanding was that we were 24 disclosing all of these to sort out objections ahead of 25 time, not because they're entitled to see our whole exam

ahead of time. 1 THE COURT: But I understand the position that is 2 considered, these exhibits and no others for this witness. 3 4 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 5 MS. GEORGE: Okay. Well, I'm going to need to -- I don't even know what the next -- I don't know what list 6 they're working off of to see if it's the same. 7 8 MR. MILLER: Let me see if I've got an extra copy at 9 the desk. MS. GEORGE: I'm sorry. I don't know what list --10 (End of discussion at sidebar) 11 12 13 MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, given the discussion we had at sidebar, can we have a brief recess? Can we have a brief 14 recess given the discussion we had at sidebar? I apologize. 15 THE COURT: We'll need a five-minute recess, no longer 16 17 than that. Go back to the jury room. Remember the admonition I've given you repeatedly. We'll call you back 18 in very shortly. 19 (Jury out) 20 (Following was conducted outside presence of jury:) 21 22 THE COURT: Counsel, I think we can plan on three weeks at this point. 23 24 MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, I have no excuse on this

I have no excuse.

25

matter.

It's complicated and we'll just deal with 1 THE COURT: these kind of problems as they arise. 2 MR. RANDLES: There was no intent, but I have no 3 4 excuse. 5 THE COURT: I'm going to be here. You can extend your hotel reservations. 6 7 MR. MILLER: And, Mr. Randles, I'm not implying that there was intent. Things happen, you know. 8 9 MR. RANDLES: I know you don't. Chris, did you want -- have something that 10 THE COURT: 11 you wanted to --MR. HOHN: We can do it now, Your Honor, or we can do 12 it at the end of the day. We just -- we made a proposal to 13 eliminate --14 THE COURT: They're kind of preoccupied. 15 MR. HOHN: We can do it at the end of the day. 16 17 MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, I propose, while we fix this prop, so we waste no time, that we play the Kim Magin video 18 deposition. And my understanding is all the issues on that 19 are resolved, and that's three-and-a-half hours. 20 21 MS. GEORGE: No. Not quite two-and-a-half hours. 22 MR. RANDLES: Two-and-a-half hours, and then we will circle back. 23 24 THE COURT: Well, that kind of makes sense. What do

you guys think, and ladies?

I'm okay with that, Your Honor. 1 MR. MILLER: 2 MR. MANDLER: That's okay, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 3 4 (Court recessed) 5 THE COURT: While we're waiting for the technical things, I think we're going to get snow, and so we may give 6 7 them an extra 30 minutes to get here tomorrow. They've already expressed a concern about that, so we'll just 8 9 monitor that hour-by-hour. I'm just talking about the jury 10 only. 11 MR. RANDLES: There are a lot of moving parts. have been a lot of rulings and documents. 12 13 The video's a little tricky. I think we're real 14 close, but I will check. I don't like the Court sitting up 15 there waiting. May I step out for a moment? THE COURT: Well, sure. 16 Sure. (Off the record) 17 MR. RANDLES: Why don't I bring Boyd Carey up. 18 19 think I can do 30, 45 minutes without documents, and then on 2.0 matters that I'm pretty sure he has made concessions on and will concede, lay some foundation, and then hopefully the 21 22 technological problems with the Magin transcript will be 23 fixed and we'll finish out the day with that. 24 Would that be all right with the Court?

Fine with me.

THE COURT:

MR. RANDLES: Why don't we do that, and then we will 1 2 return to Boyd Carey in the morning. MR. MILLER: We've talked. 3 4 MR. RANDLES: Mr. Miller's been gracious. 5 THE COURT: That's fine. You want to bring the jury back in. 6 7 (Jury in) THE COURT: You may proceed. 8 9 MR. RANDLES: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: You're still under oath. (By Mr. Randles) Thank you, Dr. Carey. We're altering 11 Q. the plan a little bit. Technology's a wonderful thing. And 12 13 we're going to take a little bit to cover some ground that 14 you and I covered before, and I think we'll find a lot of 15 agreement. All right? 16 Α. Okay. 17 So, why don't we do that. Dr. Carey, there came a time when Monsanto did not 18 19 allow academic testing of off-target movement of the new 2.0 formulation of dicamba, isn't that correct? That's correct. 21 22 Q. And at that same time Monsanto was allowing academic 23 testing of that formula for weed control for efficacy, 24 correct?

That's correct.

- 1 Q. And the only other time you can remember academics
- 2 being restricted in their access to a new technology was --
- 3 in your nearly 30 years of experience, was one prior
- 4 | incident, isn't that correct?
- 5 A. Yeah. To the best of my recollection, that's the
- 6 case.
- 7 $\parallel Q$. I believe you talked about, it was a cotton
- 8 technology?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 | Q. Okay. Now, the fact is, Monsanto did not want to
- 11 conduct research that could jeopardize its registration, did
- 12 | it?
- 13 A. It's correct that we didn't want to conduct research
- 14 that would put the registration at risk.
- 15 \parallel Q. And protocols that had the potential to compromise
- 16 registration were terminated, weren't they?
- 17 | A. There was a review process to understand what
- 18 \parallel protocols might pose a risk to the registration, and those
- 19 protocols were evaluated, and some of those we didn't do.
- 20 \parallel Q. And part of the evaluation process was whether or not
- 21 | they would pose a risk to registration, correct?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 ||Q|. And one of the key protocol objectives that did
- 24 | trigger additional review was volatility, wasn't it?
- 25 A. Volatility was one that did. It's a unique type of

1	study, yes.
2	Q. Now, in terms of testing for volatility, if you do
3	testing on bare soil, that reduces the risk of volatility,
4	doesn't it?
5	A. Not necessarily. We've done studies. The
6	organization has done studies on bare soil and on foliage,
7	in both situations.
8	Q. And when you add foliage, it increases the risk of
9	volatility, doesn't it?
10	A. Honestly, I'm not sure. I'd have to look at the
11	results of the studies to know that, but conducting a study
12	on bare ground, the fact that it's on bare ground itself
13	doesn't mean that it's more or less volatile necessarily.
14	Q. Let's play impeachment clip 17. Well, we would like
15	to play impeachment clip 17 from his deposition, Your Honor.
16	MR. MILLER: No objection.
17	MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, I will hum some appropriate
18	elevator music while we wait for the clip to load.
19	THE COURT: That's okay. You want to go on to your
20	next question, then come back to this?
21	(Impeachment clip 17 from the videotaped deposition of
22	Dr. Boyd Carey was played as follows:)
23	"Q. When you say 'less risk,' what risk are you talking
24	about being reduced?
25	"A. Less risk of a potential mistake being made in the

research that might lead to off-target movement that would not otherwise happen."

(End of videotaped deposition clip)

- Q. (By Mr. Randles) We've played the tail end of where you and I were talking about bare ground. Does that refresh your recollection or would you like for us to go back a little bit?
- 8 A. That refreshes my recollection.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

- 9 Q. Okay. So, there is less risk of it moving to a
 10 neighboring area if it's sprayed over bare ground rather
 11 than crops, correct?
- 12 A. That's not what I said, and that's not -- that's not 13 accurate.
 - Q. Okay. And, so, are you testifying that the addition of plants as opposed to bare ground does not increase volatility?
 - A. I'm saying that I haven't researched that to answer that question definitively here.
- Q. Okay. Okay. And it is true, the smaller trials and demonstration pose less risk of off-target movement to someone else's crop, correct?
 - A. Smaller trials, and especially smaller trials conducted on bare ground, reduce the risk of a compliance violation and could also reduce the risk of a mistake being made, which could result in an off-target movement.

1 Q. And the converse is true, correct? If you have a

2 | larger amount of trials, you increase that risk, correct?

3 A. In a larger trial, with a crop, for instance, there's

a crop involved with which increases the number of

5 regulatory compliance requirements that need to be followed.

6 And any time you have a larger trial, the larger trial may,

in fact, be somewhere other than a research station, which

brings in multiple people, and those things could

potentially increase the risk of a mistake being made.

10 Q. Okay. So, from what I said -- let's unpack your

11 answer a little bit. Risks of a mistake or -- that could

lead to off-target movement include the size of the amount

13 sprayed, correct?

4

7

8

9

12

14

15

16

19

21

24

25

A. I'm not clear about your question. I apologize.

Q. I'm trying to break down what you just said and just

underline it, but you tell me if I've got something wrong.

17 If you increase the size of the area being sprayed,

18 \parallel you increase the risk that something can happen, whatever it

is, that would create off-target movement as opposed to a

20 smaller amount of spraying, correct?

A. In general, I think that's correct.

22 $\parallel Q$. Likewise, the more people you involve, I think you

23 | just said, you increase the risk of something happening that

creates more off-target movement, correct?

A. I think that's correct.

- 1 Q. So, in real world farming conditions you're often
- 2 talking about very large areas being sprayed, aren't you?
- 3 *A.* Yes.
- 4 Q. Are you aware that the -- according to the USDA, the
- 5 average crop size in Dunklin County, Missouri, where Bader
- 6 Farms is located, is a thousand acres?
- 7 | A. I'm not aware of that but I trust that's the case.
- 8 ||Q|. That's a big area to spray, isn't it?
- 9 A. Absolutely.
- 10 Q. And, likewise, you're likely to have a lot of people
- 11 | involved in that spraying, aren't you?
- 12 A. More than a small research trial, yes.
- 13 Q. Harder to control, correct?
- 14 A. In this situation you're talking about a commercial
- 15 **∥** application under a situation where a product's been
- 16 registered by the EPA already. When you're talking about
- 17 | small plot research, typically there's regulatory compliance
- 19 more precautions to take when you're using the research
- 20 | trials in terms of regulatory compliance. So, that's what I
- 21 can say.
- 22 | Q. Oh, I understand. But I was just talking about, in
- 23 general, when you increase these factors, you increase the
- 24 chance that something's going to happen that's going to lead
- 25 | to off-target movement, right?

- 1 A. Depends on the situation.
- 2 Q. Likewise, if you -- the time of year can affect the
- 3 | likelihood of off-target movement, can't it?
- 4 A. It could play a role.
- 5 Q. Hot summer months like we have in the Bootheel of
- 6 Missouri are conditions that can increase the risk of
- 7 off-target movement, aren't they?
- 8 A. The research shows that if a compound is volatilizing,
- 9 | that increased heat would increase that rate of
- 10 volatilization if it's volatilizing to begin with.
- 11 Q. So, you got an increased risk at 95 degrees as opposed
- 12 to 70 degrees, right?
- 13 A. If there's volatilization to begin with, that's
- 14 correct.
- 15 Q. And, likewise, temperature inversions can increase
- 16 | volatilization and move volatiles for long distances,
- 17 correct?
- 18 A. Temperature inversions may not necessarily increase
- 19 volatility. Temperature inversions can absolutely increase
- 20 | the risk of off-target movement, whether it's physical drift
- 21 | in spray droplets or in the form of a volatile compound.
- 22 \parallel Q. And it can -- it can move a great distance in a
- 23 temperature inversion, correct?
- 24 A. That's correct. Temperature inversions can move long
- 25 distances.

- 1 Q. You mentioned registration. I want to talk about that
- 2 | just for a moment, all right? There's a process you go
- 3 through with the EPA for a herbicide called registration,
- 4 | right? And if you get your registration, you can sell it?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- 6 Q. Okay. Now, a typical registration for a herbicide --
- 7 | the ordinary registration is a 20-year registration,
- 8 | correct?
- 9 A. That may have been the case in previous years,
- 10 previous decades. That number has gone down substantially
- 11 in general over the last several registrations.
- 12 Q. Now, there's a difference between an ordinary
- 13 registration and a conditional registration, correct?
- 14 A. Well, I'm not a regulatory person but I believe that's
- 15 correct.
- 16 Q. And XtendiMax with VaporGrip and Engenia were given a
- 17 conditional registration for a two-year period for the '17
- 18 and '18 growing seasons, isn't that correct?
- 19 A. That is correct.
- 20 \parallel Q. Okay. And then Mr. Miller, in his opening, told the
- 21 | jury after all the events of '17 and '18, the EPA gave the
- 22 | product a new registration. And they did, didn't they?
- 23 A. They did.
- 24 | Q. But they didn't give it an ordinary registration, did
- 25 they?

- 1 A. I don't know what the definition of "ordinary" is, but
- 2 they gave it a two-year registration.
- 3 | Q. It's another conditional registration, right?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. For the '19 and '20 growing season, correct?
- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 Q. So this registration, this conditional registration,
- 8 expires in the fall of this year, correct?
- 9 A. I believe that's correct.
- 10 Q. And as we sit here today, none of us know whether the
- 11 | EPA's going to continue to allow either XtendiMax with
- 12 | VaporGrip or Engenia to be sold after the fall of 2020, do
- 13 we?
- 14 A. There's no guarantees.
- 15 Q. No one knows but the EPA, and they haven't said yet,
- 16 correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 \mathbf{Q} . And, likewise, that conditional registration that was
- 19 given to these products for the '19 and '20 growing season
- 20 | had some requirements and conditions the EPA applied to it,
- 21 | didn't they?
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 $\parallel Q$. There were some use restrictions, correct?
- 24 A. I honestly don't remember the exact restrictions.
- 25 ||Q|. I'm not asking for the exact; I'm just asking for

- 1 categories. We'll get into the exact a little bit later.
- 2 We're just laying a little foundation here.
- 3 A. I need to know the exact to know what category it
- 4 | falls into.
- 5 Q. Fair enough. Do you know whether there were any use
- 6 restrictions?
- 7 A. Yes, I believe there were.
- 8 | Q. Okay. Likewise, the EPA requested additional research
- 9 and findings, didn't it?
- 10 A. I can't -- I don't know that.
- 11 Q. Okay. Just out of your field?
- 12 A. It is.
- 13 $\parallel Q$. Okay. Now, when Monsanto decided to not allow
- 14 academic testing for off-target movement of these products,
- 15 | that directive came from the regulatory department, didn't
- 16 | it?
- 17 \parallel A. My understanding is that's where the primary decision
- 18 was made.
- 19 Q. And you personally disagreed with that decision,
- 20 ∥ didn't you?
- 21 | A. I did.
- 22 Q. And you thought that academics should be allowed to
- 23 | test the product for off-target movement volatility, pretty
- 24 | much whatever they wanted to, correct?
- 25 A. Well, in general, I'm always a proponent of having our

1 university research partners do testing with new products.

- Q. But your view didn't prevail, correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.

- 4 | Q. Okay. Now, Mr. Miller said that after registration
- 5 | that academics were allowed to test the product, but after
- 6 registration and sale began, there's no way for Monsanto to
- 7 prevent them from testing the product, is there?
- 8 A. Well, they were enabled, let's -- yes. They were
- 9 enabled to test after the registration.
- 10 Q. Let me put it in simpler terms. Once it's in the
- 11 store, they can just go buy it and test it?
- 12 A. Sure, sure. To do the type of testing that they want
- 13 | to do is more than just buying it in the store, but
- 14 absolutely, the access was absolutely available.
- 15 $\parallel Q$. Now, are you aware of an academic conference that --
- 16 where this issue was addressed with academics about their
- 17 discomfort with Monsanto's decision to prevent the
- 18 off-target testing?
- 19 A. Well, there are multiple weed science meetings. Can
- 20 you be specific?
- 21 Q. Well, I could be very specific except for a technical
- 22 problem, so let me try to be specific.
- Do you recall John Chambers telling academics in 2017
- 24 | at a conference at Monsanto that he believed it was a
- 25 mistake to stop the academic testing for off-target

1 movement?

- 2 A. That helps me understand which meeting you're
- 3 referring to. And I don't know Dr. Chambers' -- well,
- 4 Mr. Chambers' direct statements, but I do know he committed
- 5 to that group of university researchers that we would enable
- 6 testing.
- 7 \parallel Q. Well, we will circle back to that a bit later. How
- 8 about that, all right?
- 9 Do you recall a conference in San Juan, Puerto Rico,
- prior to the 2016 growing season, between Monsanto and BASF?
- 11 A. Well, I recall that conference. It was actually
- 12 | sponsored by the Cotton Council, but, yes, I definitely was
- 13 there.
- 14 | Q. And Monsanto and BASF were there?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- 16 Q. And you were there?
- 17 A. I was there.
- 18 Q. And you took notes?
- 19 A. I took notes.
- 20 \parallel Q. Which we talked about in your deposition?
- 21 | A. We did.
- 22 \parallel Q. And Dr. Westberg was there. You remember that?
- 23 **A**. I do.
- 24 Q. As a matter of fact, Dr. Westberg said at the
- 25 conference, "Off-label use of dicamba in cotton last year."

1 There was off-label use of dicamba last year and that it

2 would be rampant in 2016. And you actually wrote "rampant

- 3 | in 2016" in your notes. Do you recall that?
- 4 A. I was capturing Dr. Westberg's comments, that's
- 5 correct.
- 6 Q. And we will look at your notes in more detail, but I
- 7 think you recall them pretty well. There was no
- 8 disagreement registered with his statement in your notes
- 9 about that conference, was there?
- 10 A. My notes were just capturing what the participants and
- 11 | the speakers at that conference had expressed. I wasn't
- 12 | expressing any other alternative comments.
- 13 $\parallel Q$. Yes. And that's well put. Let me ask my question
- 14 more narrowly. You didn't record anyone disagreeing at that
- 15 conference with Dr. Westberg, did you?
- 16 A. I don't recall that, no.
- 17 \parallel Q. Now, there came a time -- well, let me back up.
- 18 You've worn a number of hats over your long career at
- 19 Monsanto, correct?
- 20 A. That's true.
- 21 Q. And there came a time in, I believe you told me -- and
- 22 | I may have the date a little bit off, late 2015, it may have
- 23 been early 2016, when you were moved into responsibility for
- 24 claims for off-target movement for the dicamba for the
- 25 upcoming '16 season, is that correct?

- A. Yes. The role you're referring to is, I would have started in the -- basically December of 2015, and I was in
- 4 Q. And we loosely talked about two of your duties, and if
- 5 I'm missing something important, you can correct me here.

that role for about a year, to December of 2016.

- But one of your duties was to oversee the claims process for
- 7 | 2016, correct?

- 8 A. Well, to be clear, there was no claims process for
- 9 2016. We did prepare for a claims process because at the
- 10 | time I took the role we still expected we could potentially
- 11 get a registration for the XtendiMax with VaporGrip
- 12 | technology, so we prepared a proposal for a claims process
- 13 but it was never implemented.
- 14 Q. The '16 process was never implemented, so as the year
- 15 \parallel went on, you refined that to prepare for the claims process
- 16 | for '17 forward, didn't you?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 $\parallel Q$. And you oversaw the preparation of numerous training
- 19 materials, forms, and that sort of thing, didn't you?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 ||Q|. And we're going to get into more detail as we go but I
- 22 | just want to talk at the general level now. And you got a
- 23 | little ahead of me, so let me catch up to you.
- In 2015, the cotton system was sold, correct? The
- 25 dicamba-tolerant cottonseed, I should say. The cottonseed

1 was sold in 2015?

- A. Yeah. To be clear, XtendFlex cotton varieties, which are the varieties that have the trait that make them able to withstand the herbicide, that was sold, but that's all that
- 5 was sold. It wasn't a system.
- Q. And, fair designation. I actually misspoke. So the seed was sold in '15. No herbicide was sold with it?
- 8 A. Correct.

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

- 9 Q. And then in 2016, you launched the soybean seed, but no herbicide was sold with it either, correct?
- 11 A. That is correct.
- 12 Q. So, all that was on the market, if a person decided to spray dicamba, was old dicamba, correct?
- A. If a person decided to spray dicamba -- of course,
 that was illegal, but if they decided to spray dicamba, that
 would have been the only available dicamba.
 - Q. And Monsanto received information that in 2015 people did indeed spray old dicamba over the cottonseed. Monsanto received that information, didn't it?
 - A. I believe that's correct.
 - Q. And, so, going into the '16 season with the soybean launch, Monsanto received information that the spraying had occurred in '15. Dr. Westberg had warned that the spraying would be rampant in 2016 as well, correct?
 - A. That's what he said.

- 1 Q. But during 2015 and 2016, it was Monsanto's corporate
- policy not to investigate any injury, correct?
- A. It's correct that we did not investigate reports of illegal use. That's correct.
- 5 Q. So, when people called you to say, hey, I've got
- 6 injury because of dicamba sprayed over the top of the
- 7 | dicamba-tolerant seeds in '15 and '16, Monsanto's corporate
- 8 policy was not to let anybody go look at it, correct?
- 9 A. We referred people to other sources, like the
- 10 university extension and other resources, but we chose not
- 11 to investigate those.
- 12 Q. And that was a corporate decision, wasn't it?
- 13 \blacksquare A. Yes.
- 14 Q. And it was a decision made over your head, wasn't it?
- 15 \blacksquare A. I didn't make that decision, that's correct.
- 16 \parallel Q. And you didn't agree with that decision, did you?
- 17 \blacksquare A. I wanted to visit some cases, that's correct.
- 18 $\parallel Q$. And you were prohibited from doing so by the policy,
- 19 weren't you?
- 20 A. I was guided not to do that.
- 21 Q. Guided by someone specific?
- 22 | A. Not -- no, I don't remember someone specific, but it
- 23 was clear that we were not going to do that.
- 24 | Q. And your view was, as a technical development person,
- 25 | you wanted to learn as much as possible, right?

- 1 A. That's correct. That's fair.
- 2 Q. And you thought it would have been helpful learning
- for you to be able to go investigate?
- 4 A. As a technical person, we're always looking for
- 5 opportunities to learn, that's correct.
- 6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes, that's right. And, to your
- 7 knowledge, in 2016, the only person from Monsanto that came
- 8 close to investigating a claim was, there was a day you
- 9 spent driving and looking at damage and sometimes stopping
- 10 beside the road and looking, correct?
- 11 \blacksquare A. There was a day in the summer of 2016 that I was on
- 12 | a -- with a couple other people driving around looking from
- 13 the road.
- 14 | Q. And you didn't walk any fields though or anything like
- 15 that?
- 16 A. We don't walk fields without permission from the
- 17 owner.
- 18 Q. And you didn't ask for permission from owners, did
- 19 you?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. But you already had permission from one person to
- 22 come and look at his fields, didn't you?
- 23 A. I think I know who you're referring to. Could you be
- 24 more specific.
- 25 | Q. I think you do. Bill Bader, he invited you to come

1	and look at his fields, hadn't he?
2	A. He made it very clear that I was welcome to look at
3	his situation, that's true.
4	Q. And though he wasn't I'm sorry.
5	Had you also heard he called in 2015 to invite someone
6	from Monsanto to come and walk his orchard?
7	A. I honestly don't remember if I was notified about the
8	2015 call.
9	Q. There came a point in time when you knew about it
10	though, right?
11	A. Eventually, yes.
12	MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, may I approach?
13	* * * *
14	(Discussion held at sidebar between the Court and
15	counsel as follows:)
16	MR. RANDLES: The video is ready and available, so
17	could we switch gears and just bring him back?
18	THE COURT: You want me to ask him to step down?
19	MR. RANDLES: Yes, Your Honor.
20	(End of discussion at sidebar)
21	* * * *
22	THE COURT: We're going to take your testimony
23	piecemeal, so you may step down at this point. We'll call

 $({\tt Witness\ steps\ down\ from\ the\ stand})$

1	MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, I believe we are now
2	prepared to play the video deposition of Kim Magin, who is a
3	Monsanto employee.
4	THE COURT: Okay. That's been marked as an exhibit
5	then, right?
6	MR. RANDLES: Are we prepared to mark it? May we mark
7	it after it's played, Your Honor?
8	THE COURT: That's fine.
9	MR. RANDLES: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: So you know, I am watching the weather,
11	too, so we'll deal with that later, too.
12	(Excerpts of the videotaped deposition of Kim Magin,
13	taken on April 2, 2019, were played for the jury, as
14	reflected in Exhibit A attached to Document 422,
15	Plaintiffs' Notice of Playing Video Deposition of Kim
16	Magin)
17	THE COURT: Can we stop the video for just a minute.
18	* * * *
19	(Discussion held at sidebar between the Court and
20	counsel as follows:)
21	MR. MILLER: I think that would be a good idea to take
22	a break.
23	And something else just came up. There was a small
24	clip in there I don't know which one it was, but there
25	was a small clip in there that was played but it's not in

the transcript. 1 MR. MANDLER: I saw it, too. 2 MS. GEORGE: What is it? 3 4 MR. MANDLER: It was a question about 5 (unintelligible) -- and then she said, "It's not my area." That wasn't in the transcript that was sent. 6 7 MR. RANDLES: I'm sorry if that is --THE COURT: All she did was --8 MR. MILLER: I'm not talking about that. 9 I'm just saying, please be careful. 10 (End of discussion at sidebar) 11 12 13 THE COURT: Let's take an afternoon break, another ten or 12 minutes or so. 14 Remember the admonition I've given you not to discuss 15 the case, permit anyone to discuss it in your presence, and 16 do not form or express any opinion about the case. 17 So, you can go to the jury room. We'll call you back 18 out in a little bit. 19 2.0 Court's in recess then. 21 (Jury out) 22 (Court recessed) (Proceedings reconvened in open court with the jury 23 24 present)

THE COURT:

25

Sorry for the delay. Thank you for your

patience. You may resume the video. 1 (Playing excerpts of the videotaped deposition of 2 Kim Magin resumed) 3 4 MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, can we pause and approach? 5 (Discussion held at sidebar between the Court and 6 counsel as follows:) 7 MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, this morning when he started 8 9 to get into his arrangement with Dow, Monsanto counsel objected and the Court sustained the objection. So, if he 10 cannot discuss what his relationship actually was with Dow, 11 and now this witness gets to characterize it after he's left 12 13 the stand, I don't see how that's --14 MR. MILLER: Is that what he's going to talk about? 15 MR. RANDLES: (Unintelligible) -- without what meaning She's comparing it to a consulting contract -- he 16 was. 17 wasn't paid. He was -- they objected when Dow came out of his mouth. You sustained it. Now, here --18 MR. MILLER: I haven't been involved in the back and 19 forth regarding the videos, but this is what was agreed to 2.0 previously by the parties. I mean they've been working for 21 22 hours and hours -- not me personally, thank goodness, but other people on the two teams have been, and it was agreed 23 24 that this is what will be played. 25 MR. RANDLES: Agreed before we had the testimony this

morning. 1 2 THE COURT: That's right. If I kept out for Dow, we can't -- you shouldn't ask. 3 4 MR. RANDLES: Can I skip ahead? I will ask. I'm not 5 familiar. I'll sustain that objection. THE COURT: 6 (End of discussion at sidebar) 7 8 9 MR. RANDLES: Just one moment, Your Honor. THE COURT: Stand and stretch if you'd like. 10 11 close. How much more do you have, by the way? 12 MS. GEORGE: About 20 minutes. 13 14 THE COURT: While they're working on this, we've been checking the weather and it looks like we're going to get --15 16 are you ready? 17 MS. GEORGE: Yes. THE COURT: So, we've been checking the weather. 18 19 looks like there's not going to be any kind of accumulation 2.0 of anything. Nobody among you is north of Cape Girardeau County, and farthest is Dexter. I really don't think we're 21 22 going to have any problem with the weather tomorrow, so if we have a blizzard, call Ms. Schaefer. You've got the 23 number to call, or we'll call you, but I really think we'll 24

be okay, so much so that we can probably start at 9:00.

1 of you want a little extra time to start at 9:30 or are you 2 okay with nine? JUROR: Nine's fine. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Good deal. Thanks for your help. 4 5 (Playing excerpts of the videotaped deposition of Kim Magin resumed) 6 7 MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, that concludes the video. THE COURT: Okay. Thanks again for your patience. I 8 told you once in a while we'll go to 5:30, especially since 9 you're going to stay in a hotel instead of drive two hours. 10 So, okay. Looks like the weather's not going to be 11 too bad after all. Is 9:00 still all right with everybody? 12 I'll ask you to come straight to the jury room and 13 we'll try to start at 9:00 again. Court security officers 14 will help you to your vehicles. 15 Once again, you'll be asked by your spouses, your 16 17 family, your friends, whoever else, what's going on here. Tell them again you're under oath, you can't talk about it 18 whatsoever and you can't have them talk about it either. 19 Please avoid any kind of media attention. 20 Thanks again. You're excused for the night. See you 21 22 at 9:00 in the morning. Remember the admonitions. 23 (Jury out) 24 THE COURT: You want to mark this video now, or how

did we conclude?

1	MS. GEORGE: Your Honor, since there was editing on
2	the fly, in order to make sure that what we put in the
3	record matches what was played, I'd like to do it in the
4	morning, if that's okay.
5	THE COURT: Is that okay with everybody?
6	MS. GEORGE: Same with entry of exhibits. And I'll
7	send you whatever.
8	MR. MILLER: That's fine.
9	MR. HOHN: Your Honor, we did put together another
10	table for the exhibits.
11	THE COURT: For tomorrow?
12	MR. HOHN: For Ms. Magin. We can do that tomorrow if
13	you want.
14	MR. MILLER: Yeah, let's do it tomorrow.
15	THE COURT: Because you might need to amend it because
16	of what we
17	MR. HOHN: Correct.
18	THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
19	MR. HOHN: It sounds like Ms. George and I are going
20	to have a conversation on the other issue that we deferred,
21	so
22	THE COURT: What's the plan tomorrow? We'll get your
23	witness back up?
24	MR. RANDLES: We'll get him back up. I understand

things have been communicated and -- by email, and I think

we have smoothed all that out. He will be up -- I expect it 1 will take a fair portion of the day, and -- between us all, 2 and then to the extent some day is left, we have the video 3 deposition of Ms. Bhakta that we can start or finish, 4 5 however long it goes. THE COURT: Anything else you want to bring up? 6 7 MR. MANDLER: Yes, Your Honor. We've agreed with plaintiff's counsel to start working on some of the BASF 8 transcripts of video that will come next. I think we're not 9 not going to meet tonight but maybe tomorrow night, so we 10 want to at least put on the Court's radar the possibility, 11 if we have a few remaining issues that aren't negotiated 12 13 out -- and we hope to negotiate most of them, if we could have some of your time before the beginning of court on 14 Thursday. So, maybe meet at 8:30 on Thursday. 15 THE COURT: That's fine. 16 17 MR. MANDLER: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. We'll be adjourned until 9:00 18 19 tomorrow then. Thanks. 20 (Proceedings adjourned at 5:34 p.m.) 21 22 23 24

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Laura A. Esposito, Registered Professional Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly appointed Official Court Reporter for the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above-entitled case, that said transcript contains pages 1 through 41, inclusive, and was delivered electronically. This reporter takes no responsibility for missing or damaged pages of this transcript when same transcript is copied by any party other than this reporter.

Dated at St. Louis, Missouri, this 28th day of January 2020.

Laura A. Caposito

Laura A. Esposito, RPR, CRR, CRC

Official Court Reporter