1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI
2	SOUTHEASTERN DIVISION
3	BADER FARMS, INC.,
4	Plaintiffs,
5	
6	vs. Cause No. 1:16CV299 SNLJ
7	MONSANTO CO., AND BASF CORPORATION,
8	Defendants.
9 10	=======================================
11	TRIAL DAY 1 VOLUME 1A PAGES 1 - 122
12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHEN N. LIMBAUGH, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
13	JANUARY 27, 2020
14 15	=======================================
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	Reported by:
23	Alison M. Garagnani, CCR #475, CSR, RMR, CRR
24	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
25	555 Independence, Room 3100 Cape Girardeau, MO 63703 (573) 331-8832

1	<u>I N D E X</u>	Dogo
2		<u>Page</u>
3	VOLUME 1A	
4	January 27, 2020	
5		
6	Tri al :	
7	Venire panel sworn and instructions read	15
8	Voir Dire Examination on Behalf of Plaintiffs By Mr. Randles	57
10	Voir Dire Examination on Behalf of Defendant Monsanto by Mr. Miller	86
11	Voir Dire Examination on Behalf of Defendant	103
12	BASF by Mr. Mandler	
13	Jury Sworn	119
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	<u>APPEARANCES:</u>
2	
3	For Plaintiffs Bader Farms:
4	Billy R. Randles Beverly Turina Randles
5	Angela Marie Splittgerber RANDLES AND SPLITTGERBER, LLP
6 7	5823 N. Cypress Ave. Kansas City, MO 64119
8	Tracey F. George Lawrence Benjamin Mook
9	DAVIS AND GEORGE LLC 1600 Genessee St.
10	Suite 328 Kansas City, MO 64102
11	
12	For Defendant Monsanto Company:
13	Jan Miller Sharon Rosenberg
14	David Dukes THOMPSON COBURN, LLP
15	One US Bank Plaza 505 N. 7th Street
16	Suite 2700 St. Louis, MO 63101
17	31. Eddi 3, Mo 03101
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED:
2	For Defendant BASF Corporation:
3	John D. Mondlar
4	John P. Mandler Anthony Finnell Shane Anderson
5	Tari fa Laddon FAEGRE AND BAKER LLP
6	2200 Wells Fargo Center 90 S. Seventh St.
7	Minneapolis, MN 55402
8	Troy A. Bozarth HEPLER BROOM
9	130 N. Main Street P.O. Box 510
10	Edwardsville, IL 62025-0510
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
23	
24 25	
20	

1	TRIAL
2	
3	The trial began on Monday, the 27th day of January, 2020,
4	before the Honorable Stephen N. Limbaugh, United States
5	District Judge, of the Eastern District of Missouri,
6	Southeastern Division, before a jury and two alternate
7	jurors, who were impaneled, selected and sworn.
8	(Proceedings resumed in open court outside the
9	presence of the jury.)
10	THE COURT: Good morning. This case is Bader
11	Farms, Inc., versus Monsanto Company and BASF Corporation.
12	The Case Number is 16CV299.
13	Counsel, announce your appearances. And I think
14	for this purpose I take it, Mr. Randles, you'll be the one
15	who speaks on behalf of all the Plaintiffs at least
16	initially?
17	MR. RANDLES: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: For things like pretrial?
19	MR. RANDLES: Yes, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: All right. And, Mr. Miller, then for
21	Monsanto?
22	MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: And, Mr. Mandler?
24	MR. MANDLER: Yes, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: So this is the case set today for jury

trial, of course, but before the jury trial we will have our sixth pretrial conference. And we made a lot of progress over the weekend.

Why don't I first deal with the case summary for the jury. And I received the most recent additions, and it looks fine to me. Is there any concern about the case summary that will be read to the jury then?

MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, we -- we would prefer to leave the language genetically modified in there since that's what it is, but I must say I don't feel strongly about it.

THE COURT: Yeah. Okay. I'll delete it since that's the most -- that's the latest edits, and then you can mention that in wherever.

So I suppose the next thing we should do is just go through all of the objections that were made at our informal conferences that were off the record over the weekend. And they pertain mainly to do you want to deal first with the exhibits and matters that the Plaintiff intends to introduce during opening statements?

Now, I have Monsanto's.

MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you. Good morning. We've provided both to Plaintiffs' counsel and BASF's counsel and then to the Court Monsanto's proposed order regarding trial exhibits proposed for use by Plaintiffs during Plaintiffs' opening statement.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. MILLER: And, as Your Honor sees, it is set up with columns on the side number, the exhibit number, our objection. And then for the Court's convenience we've put in the motion in limine and the Court's rulings.

And, as we say, at the end of this, Your Honor, we've set it up as a proposed order for Your Honor to sign.

As we discussed at the informal conference yesterday, the parties agreed and the Court preferred that we make our objections now and in this form so that we're not popping up like jumping beans during Plaintiffs' opening statement. And so --

THE COURT: This is very helpful.

MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor, I'm glad.

And so, for the record, we're submitting this to the Court and stating for the record that we do object. We continue to object on the grounds that are listed in this document. And we would ask that the Court order that the Plaintiff not use these documents or refer to them during opening statement.

THE COURT: Okay. Counsel for the Plaintiff, you've reviewed this document. Does everything seem in order?

MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, I reviewed this document in passing. I'm comfortable with the Court's rulings, and

1 I'm comfortable that the Defendants have made their 2 objections sufficiently. 3 THE COURT: My main concern is I want to make sure that these -- the purpose is I won't have to go through each 4 5 of these --6 MR. RANDLES: Yes. 7 THE COURT: -- specific objections. I can just 8 sign off on the record as per our preliminary decisions 9 yesterday. 10 MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor, that is the purpose. 11 And the purpose also is to make it clear that the parties 12 agree that we're preserving all of these, and I'm not waiving 13 anything by not popping up during opening statement. 14 THE COURT: Sure. It helps a lot. 15 MR. RANDLES: I so agree. 16 THE COURT: Yeah. I will just sign the order as 17 you have it prepared documenting all of the objections to 18 each of the exhibits that the Plaintiff intends to use in 19 opening statement. And since yesterday afternoon, my 20 position on those objections hasn't really changed, so I 21 think I can just sign the order. 22 MR. MILLER: I'm stunned, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: I appreciate the trouble that you went 24 to to expedite that part of the --

Our pleasure.

25

MR. MILLER:

THE COURT: -- pretrial conference.

I've also received bench memos from -- first from

Monsanto about admission of evidence for non-hearsay purpose
of notice. Also BASF Corporation's Bench Brief of the

Applicable Standards for Admission of Documents Under the

Business Record Exception.

I'm pretty up to date on that anyway, but I will agree to that.

MR. MANDLER: Your Honor, John Mandler for BASF.

We all submitted similar objections, and I'm happy to --

THE COURT: Yes. I agree with that too. We'll take that up now. Is that the same kind of --

MR. MANDLER: It's the exact same format. We have noted the exhibits that Plaintiffs intend to use, the objections that BASF raised, your rulings that you gave us in a preliminary fashion yesterday are set forth. We've given a copy to the Plaintiffs.

And I understand the same thing applies. Rather than object during openings, we're submitting it to the Court in this form maintaining all our objections and not waiving anything and have a standing objection on the use of these exhibits during opening.

So we submit this to the Court in the same fashion.

THE COURT: Again, it's very helpful. And I appreciate the effort that you made. And so I'll enter the

order on both of these proposed orders. 1 2 MR. MANDLER: The only -- the only question I have 3 is a mechanical one, which is do we need to actually file it 4 with the Court. Would the Court prefer that we file it? THE COURT: 5 Yeah. I think, yeah, we'll No. 6 certainly file it. I'll file it as soon as I sign it. 7 MR. MANDLER: Okay. Fine. But I mean should we 8 file a proposed order or is it --9 THE COURT: No. 10 MR. MANDLER: And would you prefer if we sent it to 11 you in a word document? Do you have it? 12 THE COURT: This is just fine. 13 MR. MANDLER: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: I have it, and I will sign it, file it, 15 and I'll have copies. 16 MR. MANDLER: Okay. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Now, I told counsel for both sides that 18 we should have the jury information sheets before now, but, 19 frankly, I forgot that we really don't put those together 20 finally until all the jurors are checked in, so we know 21 everybody who's here. And so as soon as that happens we'll 22 get half a dozen copies for each side, all three sides. 23 And with that are there any of the preliminary 24 matters for the Plaintiff? 25 MR. RANDLES: No. Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Is the Plaintiff ready for trial then? MR. RANDLES: The Plaintiff is eager for trial, 2 3 Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. For Monsanto? 5 MR. MILLER: Nothing else, Your Honor. We're ready 6 for trial. 7 THE COURT: BASF? 8 MR. MANDLER: We're ready for trial, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: We're already ahead of schedule. 10 helped a lot, as I mentioned yesterday, to get so many of 11 these preliminary matters out of the way, so I think we can 12 expedite the --13 MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, may I express on behalf 14 of, I think, all the parties our appreciation for the Court's 15 willingness to accommodate us this weekend, especially since 16 we know that it was the Court's birthday. 17 THE COURT: That's fine. 18 MR. RANDLES: We thank you for that. 19 THE COURT: That's fine. Thanks. 20 We'll just go off the record for a minute. 21 (A discussion was held off the record.) 22 THE COURT: So we have the jury list now, so we'll 23 distribute all of those. 24 I think someone asked for cards to be given to 25 the -- numbered cards be given to the jurors to hold up. We

1 have jury badges with numbers on them, so we'll just use 2 those. 3 I'm a little concerned about room during the jury 4 selection. At least once the panel is not selected it clears 5 out, and there won't be any problem, but we have 42 or 44. 6 Can we get everybody in the back right? 7 THE CLERK: Yes. 8 THE COURT: That should be fine then. 9 We'll be in recess until we bring the jury up for 10 jury selection. 11 (Proceedings stood in temporary recess.) 12 (Proceedings resumed in open court outside the 13 presence of the jury.) 14 THE COURT: Mr. Mandler, you had one thing you 15 forgot? 16 MR. MANDLER: Yes, Your Honor. One other thing 17 that we discussed yesterday we wanted to make sure was on the 18 record that we didn't put it on the record when we talked 19 about it. 20 There were a couple of documents that were 21 designated confidential or highly confidential that 22 Plaintiffs are intending to show the jury. We discussed and 23 agreed that both designations of confidentiality would remain 24 in place, and no one would like to waive their 25 confidentiality, but we would -- pursuant to the Court's

1 order we would take it -- take those up one at a time as 2 exhibits come up during the course of the trial. 3 THE COURT: Yes. Yeah. That's consistent with 4 the Court's tentative ruling. 5 MR. MANDLER: I appreciate that, Your Honor. Thank 6 you, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Anything else? Okay. 8 MR. MANDLER: Thank you. 9 (Proceedings stood in temporary recess.) 10 (Proceedings resumed in open court.) 11 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. 12 My name is Stephen Limbaugh, Junior. I'm the resident United 13 States District Judge here in Cape Girardeau, and I'll 14 preside over the trial. 15 I welcome you to your United States courthouse. 16 The courthouse here has been online for nearly 12 years, and 17 it is still one of the most high tech, state of the art 18 courthouses in the world. We're very fortunate to have this 19 facility here in Cape Girardeau, because without it all of 20 the people who are the parties, the lawyers, the litigants, 21 the witnesses, and especially you-all as jurors and 22 prospective jurors would have to go to St. Louis for your 23 jury service. 24 I'll explain a little bit about that. In Missouri 25 there are two districts of the United States District Court.

One is the Eastern District headquartered in St. Louis. The other is the Western District headquartered in Kansas City.

And then within each district there are divisions. We here in Southeast Missouri are part of the Southeastern Division of the Eastern District of Missouri. And so our division encompasses the Counties of Ste. Genevieve and Iron on the northern border all the way down to the Arkansas line and then west into the Ozarks with Shannon and Carter County and Reynolds County. And so there are 18 counties then in the Southeastern Division of the Eastern District of Missouri. And that's why you have been selected for service here.

We are about to begin the trial of a civil case.

This is a lawsuit brought by the Plaintiff Bader Farms, Inc., against two Defendants, Monsanto Company and BASF

Corporation. I'll give you a case summary in a few minutes, but for now we'll begin with jury selection as you know.

And during this part of the trial, I'll ask you some questions, and the attorneys will ask you some questions for the purpose of determining whether any of you has any knowledge of the case or the people involved in it and whether you have any feelings about the issues involved in the case that might make it difficult for you to give both sides or all three sides a fair trial.

Our questions will additionally be directed to you

as a group, but we'll also ask questions of you individually. Please understand we're not trying to embarrass you or invade your privacy by asking these. They are necessary, though, to determine whether or not you would listen to the evidence impartially, follow the law and be fair to both sides.

If you feel uncomfortable answering a question in front of the group, let me know and at the conclusion of the questioning you can come here to the side of the bench and give your answer here in private.

Please understand that you are not permitted to withhold information that is asked of you. And so the first order of business is for you to take an oath promising to give true and complete answers to our questions. You must live up to that oath, so please rise now and be sworn in by the Court Clerk.

(Venire panel sworn and instructions read.)

THE COURT: We have a court reporter in front of the me who is recording everything that is said during this proceeding, during the entire trial actually. So to make sure we have an accurate record I will ask you when you are responding to a question to give your name and your badge number, if you would.

And for those of you who are in the gallery back there so that we can see you-all I ask that you stand when you respond to a question as well.

1 Also, please speak loudly and clearly so that everyone in the courtroom can hear you. Again, that goes 2 3 all the more for the people who are in the very back in the 4 gallery. 5 Now you'll not be allowed to leave the courtroom 6 until we take a recess. But if you need to leave sooner 7 than that, let me know, and I'll try to accommodate you. 8 So my first question is whether any of you has any 9 vision or hearing problem or some other condition that might 10 affect your service. Anyone with a problem like that? 11 Yes, sir. Juror Number 7. 12 VENIREPERSON 7: The only reservation I have is I 13 take water pill for high blood pressure, and it works very 14 well, if you know what I mean. 15 THE COURT: Okay. We'll take --16 VENIREPERSON 7: Okay. 17 THE COURT: -- recesses from time to time. And, 18 again, I can accommodate you if necessary, but do you think 19 you'll be okay? 20 VENIREPERSON 7: Hopefully, yes. 21 THE COURT: It's not like we go for three hours 22 straight. We'll always have recesses. 23 VENIREPERSON 7: Thank you. 24 THE COURT: I see no other hands. 25 Now, this is a big problem, and one of the reasons we called in so many prospective jurors is that this case is expected to last at least two weeks. And at this point I think it's going to last three weeks.

So with that in mind -- well, let me preface this, too, because it lasts so long there are funds available for those of who you live more than 90 miles away. I think it is a per diem of maybe \$150 per day, something in that neighborhood, that would allow you to stay here in Cape Girardeau in a hotel. And, of course, all of you get your mileage driving to and from your home to the courthouse, but because the case does go on for so long you will be able to take that per diem rate of \$150 if you decide to stay here. I know a lot of you live, you know, an hour and a half or maybe two hours away, so the Court is trying to accommodate you in that way.

So now that you know that we may go for three weeks, do any of you have any serious and overriding personal situations or commitments at home or at work or otherwise that would prevent you from giving your undivided attention to the proceedings?

Okay. Let's start over here with Juror Number 6.

VENIREPERSON 6: John Haskell, Juror Number 6.

I'm in a financial obligation right now with me and my wife both working full-time jobs. We work separate hours from each other, and someone needs to be there to watch the

```
1
     children.
 2
                THE COURT: I'll make a note of that. I don't
 3
     know that we can accommodate you, but we'll try.
 4
                Juror Number 7.
 5
                VENIREPERSON 7: . My name is Tommy Brown.
                                                              I'm a
 6
     pastor of a Baptist church, and I have --
 7
                THE COURT: We won't meet on Sundays.
 8
               VENIREPERSON 7:
                                  Okay. I have a --
9
               THE COURT: But the Baptists meet on Wednesdays
10
     too; right?
11
                VENIREPERSON 7: I have to go to hospital, and
12
     things like that, but other than that we -- I don't mind to
13
     serve, but I just want to make that note.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
15
                Anyone in the gallery?
16
               Yes, sir.
17
                VENIREPERSON 32: Darin McCall, Juror Number 32.
18
     I'm a general manager, a branch operations manager at the
19
     four locations for a company, and I have travel obligations.
     And that's about it.
20
21
                THE COURT: Is there no way that arrangements can
22
     be made to for others to help with the situation during the
23
     three weeks?
24
                VENIREPERSON 32: Possibly, but there's also
25
     management meetings in Columbus, Indiana that I must attend.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Yes, sir.
 2
               VENIREPERSON 36: Number 36 Derek Cissell. I'm
 3
     self-employed. And missing work is hard. And I'm a one-man
 4
     band with what I do. If I am not there to run my company I
 5
     could lose it.
 6
               THE COURT: Anyone else?
7
               In the very back.
 8
               VENIREPERSON 41: Number 41, Marcia Le Grand. I
9
     have a question. Does the Court pay for our hotel room ahead
10
     of time, or do I have to come up with the money ahead of time
11
     myself and then get paid back?
12
               THE COURT: Ms. Schaefer.
13
               THE CLERK: Reimbursement.
14
               THE COURT: It's all reimbursement.
15
               VENIREPERSON 41: Because if that's the case I
16
     can't afford it.
17
               So I'd have to have the money up front?
18
               THE COURT: Yeah.
19
               VENIREPERSON 41: To stay in a hotel?
20
               THE COURT: Right.
21
               VENIREPERSON 41: And I live like two hours and
22
     15 minutes away, 12 miles from Arkansas.
23
               THE COURT: Okay. I'll make a note of that then.
24
     Thank you.
25
               What number? I'm sorry.
```

1 VENIREPERSON 41: I'm number 41. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 3 Yes, sir. 4 VENIREPERSON 10: My name is --5 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 6 VENIREPERSON 10: Mae Taylor. I'm taking medicine 7 every day. I'm taking medicine every day. And then 8 sometimes it's like every four hours or later, and I have 9 osteoporosis. Sometimes my pain gets real bad. 10 THE COURT: Like I mentioned to the others, we will 11 take recess from time to time, so it's not like you're going 12 for three hours at once. And so you can take medications 13 and walk around, and so forth. Do you think you'll be okay 14 with that then? 15 VENIREPERSON 10: I don't know, because sometimes I 16 have to elevate my ankle, and sometimes it just needs to be 17 elevated, so it swells a lot. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I'll make a note of that then. 19 Thank you. 20 Anyone else? 21 I'll read to you a summary of the case. 22 Ladies and gentlemen, the Plaintiff in this case is 23 Bader Farms, Inc. Bader Farms is a corporation located in 24 Campbell, Missouri, which is in Dunklin County. Bader 25 Farms' primary business is growing peaches.

Bill Bader and his wife, Denise, Bader own Bader Farms. Mr. Bader directs the farming operations at Bader Farms. The peaches grown by Bader Farms are sold direct to the public as well as to numerous grocery stores and other retail outlets.

The Defendants in this case are Monsanto Company and BASF Corporation. Monsanto is headquartered in St. Louis, Missouri. Monsanto is an agriculture company that develops seeds, herbicides and other agricultural products to sell to farmers, retailers and wholesale distributors.

BASF is an agrochemical company headquartered in Florham Park, New Jersey. BASF Corporation's Agricultural Products Group is based in Raleigh, North Carolina. BASF develops its herbicides and other agricultural products to sell to retailers and wholesale distributors.

In 2015 Monsanto began selling cotton seed that is tolerant to dicamba-based herbicides and other herbicides.

In 2016 Monsanto also began selling soybean seed that is tolerant to dicamba-based herbicides and other herbicides.

These seeds are known as Xtend seeds.

In 2017 both Monsanto and BASF began selling new dicamba-based herbicides specifically developed and designed for use with Monsanto's Xtend seeds and for other purposes.

These are herbicides where Monsanto's XtendiMax and BASF's

Engeni a.

Plaintiffs allege this was all part of a joint venture between Monsanto and BASF to develop a dicamba-tolerant crop system. Plaintiffs contend that beginning in 2015 through the present Bader Farms peach orchards have been devastated by dicamba-based herbicides sprayed over the top of Monsanto's Xtend seed.

Plaintiffs claim that the dicamba herbicides were moved off target from the Xtend crops onto Plaintiffs' peach orchards killing or damaging thousands of Plaintiffs' peach trees.

Plaintiffs allege that the crop system is defective; Defendants were negligent in developing and marketing their products; Defendants failed to adequately warn about the dangers of their products; Defendants failed to adequately train their employees to prevent the unsafe use of their products; and that Defendants conspired to intentionally create an ecological disaster; and finally Defendants' conduct is such that they should be subject to punitive damages.

Monsanto denies all of the Plaintiffs' allegations and claims. Monsanto believes its products, Xtend cotton and Xtend soybean seeds and XtendiMax or VaporGrip, are properly designed for their intended use and that purchasers and third parties were adequately warned on the proper use of

its products.

Monsanto believes that it adequately trained its employees. Monsanto denies that it engaged in any conspiracy or joint venture with BASF.

Monsanto denies Plaintiffs have been damaged by the application of dicamba herbicides applied over the top of Xtend crops and denies that XtendiMax is responsible for any of Plaintiffs' alleged damages and asserts that Plaintiffs have failed to offer any evidence that they were damaged by XtendiMax.

BASF denies all the Plaintiffs' allegations and claims. BASF believe its product Engenia is properly designed for its intended use and that purchasers and third parties were adequately warned on the product's use of its -- or proper use of its products.

BASF believes it adequately trained its employees.

BASF also denies that it engaged in any conspiracy or joint venture with Monsanto.

BASF denies that Engenia is responsible for any of Plaintiffs' alleged damages and asserts that Plaintiffs have failed to offer any evidence that they were damaged by Engenia.

Defendants assert that any loss suffered by
Plaintiffs was caused by the combination of other events
including soil disease, hail, frost, application of other

herbicides unrelated to the products at issue in this case, tree disease, insects and Plaintiffs' own farming practices.

Now that you have a summary of the case, has any member of the panel heard or read anything about this case?

Juror Number 11.

VENIREPERSON 11: Terence Dilbeck, Number 11. I was reading online this weekend, and there was an article in the Post Dispatch that I noticed on the website. And I just happened to click on it and read it, and it said it was going to start -- the case -- and I was looking at the case, and it said it was going to start Monday, and I thought, well, that's the case I'm going to probably.

And then also on Channel 12 News I've seen a story here and there about overspray and crop damage.

THE COURT: All right. Now, I read that same post, the Post Dispatch article, and basically it was a summary of what I just read to you, don't you think?

VENIREPERSON 11: Yes. It probably had another sentence or two in it.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, let's just leave it at that. My main question, though, is whether you can set all that information aside, and I'll give you instructions if you're selected as a juror that you should not read any newspaper articles, that you should not listen to the radio or television, news, about anything about this case.

```
1
               And so I guess my question now is can you set all
 2
     that aside and decide this case solely on the evidence that
 3
     is presented in this case in court during trial?
 4
               VENIREPERSON 11: That may be difficult.
 5
                THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate you being candid.
 6
     That's why we have to ask these questions then.
 7
               Anyone else?
 8
                Okay. Let's start -- sir, yes.
9
               VENIREPERSON 23: Jerry Wilkes, Number 23. I read
10
     the article in the local paper that came out about this, this
11
     problem.
12
               THE COURT: So was that the -- you're from
13
     Doniphan; right?
14
               VENIREPERSON 23: I am.
15
                THE COURT: Was that the local paper in Doniphan?
16
               VENIREPERSON 23: At that time I lived in Qulin,
17
     which is close to Campbell.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Yeah. So do you -- that article
19
     came out some time ago or what?
20
               VENIREPERSON 23: Yeah. It's been, I think, a year
21
     or two ago.
22
                THE COURT: So do you remember any of the details
23
     from it or just a little about or --
24
               VENIREPERSON 23: Just a little.
25
                THE COURT: Okay. I'll ask you the same kind of
```

1 question. Do you think you can set aside your knowledge of 2 the case from reading that article and be absolutely fair to 3 both sides and decide the case solely on the testimony and 4 evidence that's presented during the trial? 5 VENIREPERSON 23: I suppose. 6 THE COURT: Do you think you'd have some concern 7 about it? 8 VENIREPERSON 23: I really don't know. 9 THE COURT: Okay. I'll make a note. Thank you. 10 Yes, ma'am. Or who else was back there? Yes. 11 VENIREPERSON 31: Eva Davis, Number 31. 12 I hadn't heard about the case. I just heard that 13 they were having trouble with growing their peaches last year 14 when a family member went to get some for us. So I just 15 heard they were having trouble getting their peaches to grow 16 and were having issues with that. I didn't hear about the 17 case, but I did hear about that. 18 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask follow-up 19 questions about that later. 20 VENIREPERSON 31: Okay. 21 THE COURT: But just based on that concern, do you 22 think you can set that aside and be absolutely fair to all 23 the parties here? 24 VENIREPERSON 31: Sure. 25 THE COURT: You're certain about that?

```
1
                VENIREPERSON 31: Yes.
 2
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                    Thank you.
 3
               Yes, ma'am, in the back.
 4
                VENIREPERSON 42: I am familiar with the case.
 5
     I've read articles about it. My husband farms.
                                                        And he's
 6
     attended various meetings about dicamba.
 7
               Our crops have what we thought had been damaged at
 8
     one point by dicamba, and I'm afraid I might have some trees
9
     and seeds.
10
                THE COURT: Okay. All right.
                                                Thank you.
11
               Anyone else?
12
               Yes.
13
               VENIREPERSON 16: Is it a peach farm here?
14
                THE COURT:
                           No. It's down in Dunklin County.
15
                VENIREPERSON 15: Oh, never mind. I don't know.
16
                THE COURT: You don't know anything about that
17
     then?
18
               VENIREPERSON 15:
                                  No.
19
               THE COURT: Anybody else?
20
                Yes.
21
                VENIREPERSON 34: Tracy Broglin, No. 34.
22
     husband farms also, so I know a little bit about that. Like
23
     I live in Holcomb, so it's very close to Campbell.
24
                THE COURT: Actually, that is kind of the next case
25
     I was going to ask -- the next question I was going to ask,
```

1 if any of you are farmers and know anything about dicamba. 2 And really without saying what it is, you know, just let me 3 know that much, and that's kind of your response. 4 VENIREPERSON 34: That's all I really know about 5 Just I don't know the people that -- but I've heard of 6 them, and we have bought peaches from them, but as far as 7 knowing them, no. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else then read about the 9 case? 10 Yes. 11 VENIREPERSON 25: I'm number 25, Mandy Richardson, 12 and I'm from Gideon. And my husband works for a co-op and 13 also he's a farmer as well, and I do know a little bit about 14 di camba. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Chances are most of the farmers 16 are not going to serve, or the people related to farmers are 17 not going to serve on the case, because of whatever you might 18 know about the case or just about farming in general. 19 So anyone else then? 20 Yes, ma'am. 21 VENIREPERSON 28: Iris Preusser, Number 28. 22 live out in the country, and we have issues with farmers that 23 are using the dicamba. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I'll just leave it at that then.

And anyone else? So any other people who actually

25

```
1
     farm or you have close family members who are farmers or who
 2
     might somehow even indirectly know anything about this case
 3
     or be concerned about it, because you have -- or are in the
 4
     farming business.
 5
               Juror Number 14.
                                                        My family
 6
               VENIREPERSON 14: Yes.
                                       Rodney Phegley.
 7
     farms.
 8
               THE COURT: Uh-huh. But you haven't heard anything
9
     about this case?
10
               VENIREPERSON 14: Just a little about the news.
11
               THE COURT: But you don't remember anything about
12
     that?
13
               VENIREPERSON 14:
                                 No.
14
               THE COURT: Are you sure you can be absolutely fair
15
     to both sides?
16
               VENIREPERSON 14:
                                 Maybe.
17
               THE COURT: Well, that's why we're asking these
18
     questions.
19
               VENIREPERSON 14: Probably not.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anyone else?
               Yes, ma'am.
21
22
               VENIREPERSON 29: Katie Urhahn, Number 29. I'm a
23
     registered dietitian. And I'm a very strong proponent of
24
     small family farms. And I'm very much against --
25
               THE REPORTER: I'm sorry. You're going to have to
```

```
1
     speak up, ma'am. I'm sorry.
 2
               VENIREPERSON 29: I'm Katie Urhahn, Number 29. I'm
 3
     a registered dietitian.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay.
 5
               VENIREPERSON 29: I'm a strong proponent of small
 6
     family farms.
 7
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. Do you
8
     think you can be fair to both sides?
9
               VENIREPERSON 29: No, I do not.
10
               THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?
11
               Yes, sir.
12
               VENIREPERSON 23: Jerry Wilkes, Number 23. For
13
     26 years I was a self-employed farmer. And before that I
     worked as a farm laborer. So, you know, I have lived in farm
14
15
     country all my life.
16
               THE COURT: Okay. Do you think that's going to
17
     affect you so that you can't be absolutely fair to all sides
18
     in the case? It may, huh?
19
               VENIREPERSON 23: It would be hard.
               THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
20
               Yes, ma'am.
21
22
               VENIREPERSON 15: Heather Knuth, Number 15.
                                                            I'm a
23
     high school science teacher, and I have taken my students on
24
     tours of Monsanto in Matthews, Missouri. And also the vice
25
     president of our school board that I work at is a supervisor
```

1 at the Monsanto in Matthews, Missouri. 2 THE COURT: Okay. That's kind of some of my 3 follow-up questions, too, that I'll get to as well. 4 So you're not affiliated with Monsanto yourself? 5 VENIREPERSON 15: No. Not myself, no. 6 THE COURT: Do you think that you can set aside 7 that experience and your knowledge of the school principal or 8 whoever it was and be absolutely fair to all sides in this 9 case? 10 VENIREPERSON 15: I think so. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have a concern about? 12 want to make sure that everybody who serves is able to decide 13 the case on nothing but the testimony and evidence presented. 14 Can you do that then? 15 VENIREPERSON 15: To the best of my ability. 16 THE COURT: All right. Now, does any member of the 17 panel know either Mr. or Mrs. Bader? Would you please stand, 18 Mr. Bader and Mrs. Bader. 19 Okay. You may be seated. 20 Any member of the panel know either of the Baders 21 or any members of their family? 22 Yes, sir. 23 VENIREPERSON 17: I'm not honestly for sure, 24 because I don't know them that well, but Matt Bader -- I 25 don't know what the relationship is, but I did play high

1 school basketball against him and know him a little bit from 2 high school, but I haven't spoken to him in years or 3 anythi ng. 4 THE COURT: Is that a relation, Mr. Bader? 5 MR. BADER: A nephew. 6 Okay. Well, if that's the only THE COURT: 7 connection, can you set that aside and be absolutely fair to 8 everybody here? 9 VENIREPERSON 17: Yes, I do believe so. 10 THE COURT: Next I'll introduce or ask for Mr. Bill 11 Randles from Kansas City. He is the lead counsel for the 12 Plaintiffs. Any of you know Mr. Randles? 13 And then I'll ask him to introduce the lawyers on 14 his team then. 15 MR. RANDLES: Thank you, Your Honor. Just this is 16 my wife, Bev Randles and my law partner. This is Angie 17 Splittgerber, our other law partner. This is Ben Mook, our 18 co-counsel. Tracey George, our co-counsel. Alyssa Leary is 19 a lawyer also helping us on the case. 20 And we have a couple of guest lawyers here. Should 21 I introduce them or not, Your Honor, on this case, but --22 THE COURT: Well, if they're sitting at the counsel 23 table, introduce them. 24 MR. RANDLES: Lawyers assisting us today, working 25 on a related matter, are Mr. Don Downing from St. Louis and

1 Mr. Jacob Scott from St. Louis. 2 THE COURT: Do any of you know any of these 3 lawyers? 4 I see no hands. 5 Representing Monsanto is Mr. Jan Miller. And would 6 you introduce your team of lawyers? 7 MR. MILLER: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. Good 8 morning, everybody. My name is Jan Miller. I'm joined here 9 by my colleagues David Dukes and Sharon Rosenberg. They are 10 attorneys who work with me. 11 This is Dr. Ty Witten from Monsanto. And the 12 gentleman here is Bob Gersham (Phonetic) who's frankly just 13 assisting us getting down all the information we are getting 14 from the answers to the questions this morning. 15 THE COURT: Mr. John Mandler is lead counsel for 16 BASF. 17 Mr. Mandler, will you do the same with your team of 18 lawyers. 19 MR. MANDLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 My name is John Mandler, representing BASF 21 Corporation. And I have with me Dr. Dan Westberg who is 22 here representing the company. 23 And then my colleagues, counsel who will help me 24 try the case, Tarifa Laddon, Troy Bozarth, Anthony Finnell, 25 and in the back Shane Anderson and Mary Jo Patara (Phonetic).

1 MR. MANDLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Do any of you know any of the lawyers 3 for either of the Defendants then? 4 I see no hands then. 5 Do any of you or have you ever worked for Monsanto 6 or BASF or do you have any immediate relatives who have done 7 so, or do you have any other kind of connection to either 8 Monsanto or BASF that you think we should know about then? 9 Yes, sir. 10 VENIREPERSON 32: Darin McCall, Juror No. 32. I'm 11 in the material handling industry. We sell and service 12 forklifts for both farmers as well as BASF and Monsanto. 13 THE COURT: Okay. You said that you're going to 14 have a real problem serving because of your meetings, and so 15 forth. 16 VENIREPERSON 32: Correct. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 18 Yes, sir. 19 VENIREPERSON 35: Number 35, Barry Barkovitz, 20 Hayti, Missouri. I've spent 17 out of the last 21 years 21 driving a truck, commercial truck driver, and seven of those 22 years I did farm grains, farm field to granary. 23 THE COURT: Okay. 24 VENIREPERSON 35: I also transported liquid 25 chemicals in the past. And I have been in one BASF plant

```
1
     that would be in Geismar, Louisiana about 20 years ago and
 2
     one Monsanto plant that would be in southeastern lowa -- I
 3
     think Muscatine -- maybe approximately eight years ago.
 4
                I don't know of any complications that would --
 5
     that would prevent me from giving everyone a fair
 6
     consideration and judgment, but you did mention that if you
 7
     had any ties.
 8
                THE COURT: Exactly what I wanted to know, so thank
9
     you.
10
               VENIREPERSON 35: Yes, sir.
11
               THE COURT: Yes, sir.
12
               VENIREPERSON 9: I'm Number 9. I hauled the
13
     chemicals for the Monsanto in St. Louis. I've been a truck
14
     driver 40 years, but I hauled for them for like 13 years ago
15
     for about 10 years. But that's all I really know. I don't
16
     know anything about the case or anything.
17
                THE COURT: So you can set that experience aside
18
     and be fair to everybody's side?
19
               VENIREPERSON 9: Oh, yeah.
20
                THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
21
                Anyone else?
22
                Let me ask you who here has served on a jury
23
     before?
24
               Several of you.
25
               Juror Number 2, was it a civil or criminal case?
```

1	VENIREPERSON 2: Criminal.
2	THE COURT: And where was it?
3	VENIREPERSON 2: Dexter, Missouri.
4	THE COURT: In state court?
5	VENIREPERSON 2: No. No. It was a robbery is what
6	it was.
7	THE COURT: That's criminal.
8	VENIREPERSON 2: That's what it was.
9	THE COURT: And so it was in state court in Dexter?
10	VENIREPERSON 2: Yes. Bloomfield.
11	THE COURT: Bloomfield?
12	VENIREPERSON 2: Bloomfield, yes.
13	THE COURT: Bloomfield. All right. So did did
14	you actually serve on the jury?
15	VENIREPERSON 2: Yes, sir.
16	THE COURT: Did you arrive at a verdict?
17	VENIREPERSON 2: Yes, sir.
18	THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
19	VENIREPERSON 2: Yes, sir.
20	THE COURT: You were? Okay.
21	VENIREPERSON 2: We found him guilty.
22	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. That's
23	fine.
24	Anybody else serve on a jury here?
25	Okay. Let's start in the first row then Juror

```
1
     Number 20.
 2
               VENIREPERSON 20: Yeah, Carl Wenskay. It was a
 3
     murder trial.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. Where was it?
 5
               VENIREPERSON 20: Jackson.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay. And did you arrive at a
 7
     verdict?
 8
               VENIREPERSON 20:
                                 Yes.
9
               THE COURT: And were you the foreperson?
10
                                 No.
               VENIREPERSON 20:
11
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12
               The next row. Yes, sir.
13
               VENIREPERSON 26: David Whitaker, Number 26. I was
14
     on a criminal jury Austin, Texas about 12 years ago.
15
               THE COURT: Can you speak up just a little bit,
16
     pl ease.
17
               VENIREPERSON 26: I was on a criminal jury trial in
18
     Austin, Texas about 15, 12, somewhere in that neighborhood
19
     years ago.
20
               THE COURT: Did you arrive at a verdict?
21
               VENIREPERSON 26: Yes, sir.
22
               THE COURT: And were the you foreperson?
23
               VENIREPERSON 26: No, sir.
24
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
25
               Anyone else in the second row?
```

```
1
                Third row? Or the -- I guess the -- yes.
 2
               VENIREPERSON 32: Darin McCall, 32.
 3
                THE COURT: I'm going to let you go.
 4
                So on the back row.
 5
               VENIREPERSON 40: Stephen Roberts.
                                                    Number 40.
 6
     served on a federal jury just over on Broadway several years
 7
     ago.
 8
               THE COURT: Criminal or civil?
9
               VENIREPERSON 40: Criminal.
10
                THE COURT: Did you arrive at a verdict?
11
               VENIREPERSON 40: Yes.
               THE COURT: Were you the foreperson?
12
13
               VENIREPERSON 40:
                                 No.
14
                THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else in the back?
15
                Yes, ma'am.
16
                VENIREPERSON 42: Betty Lou Eddy, 42. I did serve
17
     on a jury in New Madrid County, and I was not the foreperson.
18
               THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
19
                Yes, ma'am.
20
                VENIREPERSON 43: Paula Smith, Number 43. I served
21
     on a criminal, and I was the foreperson.
               THE COURT: Did you arrive at a verdict?
22
23
               VENIREPERSON 43: Yes.
24
               THE COURT: Thank you.
25
               Anybody else for jury service?
```

1 Let me ask you this again now that you know about 2 the trial. Do any of you hold any opinion or belief that 3 might keep you from being a fair and impartial juror other 4 than the ones who have already mentioned that? 5 Yes. 6 VENIREPERSON 38: I'm just honestly --7 THE COURT: What's your number? 8 VENIREPERSON 38: Stephen Evans, 38. 9 THE COURT: 38. Okay. 10 VENIREPERSON 38: I'm more of conspiracy theory 11 buff. I don't know. I read a lot about Monsanto and BASF, 12 and I just -- I don't know. 13 THE COURT: You're going to have trouble being fair 14 and impartial to both sides then? 15 VENIREPERSON 38: Yeah, I would have a hard time. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 17 Anyone else? 18 All right. Do any of you have any religious, moral 19 or ethical beliefs that would prevent from you serving on 20 this jury and making a decision in the case that would 21 prevent you, in other words, from sitting in judgment in the 22 case other than the ones who have already disclosed that? 23 (No response.) 24 THE COURT: All right. At this time I'm going to 25 ask lead counsel to come up to the bench for just a couple of

```
1
     minutes.
 2
                (Proceedings were held at side bar, outside the
 3
     hearing of the jury.)
 4
                THE COURT: Okay. I want to strike some of these
 5
     people now so that we don't risk infecting the jury panel
 6
     further.
                There's some who unequivocally are going to be
 7
     stricken.
 8
                Any problem with that?
9
                MR. RANDLES:
                              No, sir.
10
                THE COURT: And so I would just go through the list
11
     then.
12
                MR. MANDLER: Can I get my list?
13
                MR. RANDLES: I'm going to grab my list.
14
                THE COURT: So I don't know if whether to just let
15
     them go now or to just advise you-all not to ask any
16
     follow-up questions of them if they raise their hand.
17
                MR. MANDLER: I think if we've decided we might as
18
     well let them go.
19
                MR. MILLER: Yeah.
20
                THE COURT: Yeah, I think so too.
21
                              Yeah. I would agree, although you
                MR. RANDLES:
22
     might want to take a break so they're not embarrassed.
23
                THE COURT: Yeah. I agree with that.
24
                MR. MILLER: Yes, sir.
25
                THE COURT: So why don't we do that instead.
```

1 MR. RANDLES: Yes, sir. 2 THE COURT: And why don't we get rid of the 10 or 3 so people, and then we'll let you guys go. 4 MR. MILLER: Thank you. 5 (Proceedings resumed in open court.) 6 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we're going to 7 need a recess of about 10 minutes to work out some of the 8 And so I'm going to ask to you go back to the jury i ssues. 9 assembly room. And during this recess do not discuss case 10 among yourselves or permit anyone to discuss the case in your 11 presence. 12 And then we'll call you up right away. It will 13 only take 10 minutes or so. 14 Yes, sir. 15 VENIREPERSON 6: I have a question. Can I approach 16 the bench? 17 THE COURT: Yes, you may. The rest of you, please, 18 if you would, go back down to the jury assembly room. 19 (Proceedings resumed in open court outside the 20 presence of the jury.) 21 (Proceedings were held at side bar, outside the hearing of the jury.) 22 23 THE COURT: Juror Number 6 has a response. 24 VENIREPERSON 6: My father is ill with liver 25 cirrhosis. I don't know how much longer he's going to have.

```
1
     I don't think it's going to be in the next three weeks, but I
 2
     just kind of wanted to let that be known.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Do you think you can serve
     then?
 4
 5
               VENIREPERSON 6: I think I could, yeah.
 6
               THE COURT: Okay.
                                   Thank you.
 7
               MR. MANDLER:
                             Thank you.
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. You can just go back to the jury
9
     assembly room. Thank you.
10
               MR. MILLER: This is Jan Miller. When we were up
11
     here, Your Honor, somebody at my table noted -- I didn't see
12
     it, but apparently Juror 16 raised his or her hand that he or
13
     she might have a problem.
                                 Okay. There he is. Juror 16.
14
     He said that he couldn't be fair or there was an issue.
15
     didn't get called on, and I think we all missed it.
16
               THE COURT: Did you want to give a response here?
17
               VENIREPERSON 16: I want to say my English is not
18
     that good because --
19
               THE COURT:
                           Okay.
20
               VENIREPERSON 16: -- yeah, I have to like go I
21
     don't always understand.
22
               THE COURT: Have you been able to understand me
23
     just fine?
24
               VENIREPERSON 16: Yes.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. Tell me about that. What's your
```

1 background? 2 VENIREPERSON 16: Well, I own a family business, 3 and we speak our native language every day. 4 THE COURT: Which is what? 5 VENIREPERSON 16: Nails. Vietnamese. THE COURT: Oh, Vietnamese? 6 Okay. 7 VENIREPERSON 16: Yeah. 8 THE COURT: Well, you do pretty well it sounds 9 like. 10 VENIREPERSON 16: Well, like a lot of the longer 11 words I don't hear too often, and it distracts me, and I 12 don't know what it is. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Any questions that you-all have? 14 MR. RANDLES: No, Your Honor. 15 MR. MANDLER: No, Your Honor. 16 MR. MILLER No, Your Honor. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 18 (Proceedings resumed in open court outside the 19 presence of the jury.) 20 THE COURT: Okay. Counsel, it was clear to all of 21 us that we have maybe eight or ten people who clearly cannot 22 serve because they can't be fair or they have some 23 significant knowledge about dicamba, and I thought the better 24 practice so we didn't infect the jury panel any further is to just go with a list and see what we can agree on about those 25

```
1
     who should be stricken at this point. And then we'll let
 2
               And we'll call the rest back in for the voir dire
     them go.
 3
     that will be conducted by counsel.
 4
               So and some had personal situations that would
 5
     prevent them from serving too. I think the first was
 6
     Jonathan Haskell.
                         He had some --
 7
               MR. MANDLER: What number, Your Honor?
 8
               THE COURT: Number 6. I'm sorry. He had some
9
     economic problems.
10
               MR. RANDLES: No objection, Your Honor.
11
               MR. MILLER: No objection, Your Honor.
12
               MR. MANDLER: Your Honor, isn't he the fellow that
13
     we just talked to about his father's illness?
14
               THE COURT: I think so, yeah. Plus his father's
15
     illness, yeah.
16
               MR. MANDLER: Although I don't think he was asking
     to be excused. He said he could serve.
17
18
               THE COURT: Yeah, but I have a note here that said
19
     he was --
20
               MR. MANDLER: I think it was he had to -- he and
21
     his wife both work, so it was a financial issue.
22
               THE COURT: It was the same person, wasn't it?
23
               MR. MANDLER: I think it was.
24
               THE COURT: He said he's really going to have a
25
     hardship I thought.
```

1 MR. MANDLER: All right. 2 THE COURT: Plus his father's situation. 3 MR. MANDLER: Okay. No objection. 4 THE COURT: So 6 will be excused. 5 Ten, the lady -- Juror Number had some --6 apparently serious physical problems, and I don't think that 7 she'll be able to serve. Any problem with her? 8 MR. RANDLES: Yes. I do object to releasing her 9 yet. I believe that we should inquire a little bit further. 10 I mean, she said she takes medicine, has a bad ankle, but I 11 think we should inquire further. 12 THE COURT: Well, she really said she was in a lot 13 of pain too, and that's my concern. 14 MR. RANDLES: Yeah. I don't think she ever said 15 she couldn't serve, though. 16 THE COURT: She said she can't lift her ankle. The 17 impression that I got was that her physical problems were 18 such that she couldn't lift her leg -- her ankle, and she had 19 to take medicines from time to time, and she was in pain. 20 MR. MILLER: No objection Your Honor, to striking 21 her. 22 MR. MANDLER: No objection. 23 THE COURT: So you do object to that? 24 MR. RANDLES: I do. 25 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow you to ask

```
1
     some follow-up questions, but I think that you'll find that
 2
     my impression was correct, so I'll withhold ruling on 10.
 3
                Number 1 has read about the case in the paper in
 4
     the Post Dispatch today and listened to KFVS TV accounts, and
 5
     he said that he didn't feel he could be fair.
 6
     objection --
7
               MR. MILLER: No objection, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: -- to 11?
9
               MR. RANDLES: My recollection is he said it would
10
     be difficult to set aside what he had learned.
11
               THE COURT: Right.
12
               MR. RANDLES: I don't know if that's equivocal to I
13
     can't be fair.
14
                THE COURT: Well, he told me --
15
               MR. RANDLES:
                             He did read it.
16
                THE COURT: Yeah. Told me he knew details from
17
     that article that were in addition to what I read in the case
18
     summary.
19
                MR. RANDLES: We won't object, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT:
                            All right.
                                        So 11 will be excused.
21
                Then 14, he's a farmer and said he can't be fair.
22
                MR. MILLER: No objection, Your Honor.
23
               MR. MANDLER: No objection.
24
               MR. RANDLES: No objection.
25
                THE COURT:
                            Okay. 14 will be excused.
```

```
1
               Then 15 I don't think that's a problem yet. You
 2
     can all ask follow-up questions of her.
 3
                The next one I had was 23. He was a farmer I
 4
     think for 26 years. He had a real doubt about being fair in
 5
     the case.
 6
                MR. MILLER: No objection, Your Honor.
 7
                THE COURT: I think he should be stricken or
 8
     excused.
9
                Do you have any problem with 23?
10
                MR. MANDLER: I have no objection, Your Honor.
11
                MR. RANDLES: I do not.
12
                THE COURT: 23 is excused then.
13
                25 -- then 29 is a woman who was a registered
14
     dietitian. She said she flat out can't be fair in the case.
15
               MR. MANDLER: No objection there, Your Honor.
16
               MR. MILLER: No objection.
17
               MR. RANDLES: No objection, Your Honor.
18
                THE COURT: Okay. 29 is excused.
19
                MR. MILLER:
                             Your Honor, you skipped 28 who said
20
     that she's had issues with farmers using dicamba.
21
                THE COURT: Yeah. I'm just going to do just mine,
     and then I'll open it up to another strike for cause, but the
22
23
     ones that I feel need to go for sure.
24
                31 is the woman who said that she or some of her
25
     family visited the farms and knew that they were -- had
```

```
1
     trouble growing peaches. I'll let you ask follow-up
 2
     questions on that.
 3
               32 is Darin McCall. Now, he does need to be
 4
     excused. He's got bad job problems, and he sells
 5
     agricultural products. I think the hardship, he needs to
 6
     go.
 7
               MR. RANDLES: He doesn't want to be here.
                                                           He's
 8
     going to keep coming up with excuses until he finds one that
9
     works.
10
               THE COURT: I think you're right. Any problem with
11
     32?
12
               MR. MILLER: No, Your Honor.
13
               MR. MANDLER: No, Your Honor.
14
               THE COURT: 32 is excused.
15
               34, well, she said something about dicamba.
                                                              She
16
     knew about it. Or, Amy, do you have another update?
17
     probably need to have follow-up questions on 34.
18
               MR. MANDLER: Yeah, Your Honor. I think she said
19
     her husband --
20
               THE COURT: Her husband was a farmer, yeah.
21
               MR. MANDLER: And they've had problems with
22
     di camba.
23
               MR. RANDLES:
                             That wasn't this one, I don't think.
24
               THE COURT: We'll let follow-up questions then in
25
     another round.
```

1 Derek Cissell, self-employed hardship. 2 Unbeknownst to me or my wife his wife works for my wife, and 3 they have tickets that are nonrefundable tickets in two weeks 4 to go someplace. So he said he can't serve anyway. So I'm 5 going to excuse 36. 6 38 is a fellow who said he cannot be fair. 7 MR. RANDLES: He seemed prepared. 8 THE COURT: Yeah. So I think he's got to go. Any 9 objection to 38? 10 MR. MILLER: No objection, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Okay. All right. 41, same problem. 12 She's way down in Ripley County and indicated she can't 13 serve, can't be fair. Any problem with 41? 14 MR. RANDLES: No. Your Honor. 15 MR. MILLER: No objection, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: 41 is excused. 17 Same with 42. And I apologize, she got to talking 18 about dicamba before I could stop her. And so I think she 19 should be excused. Any objection? 20 MR. RANDLES: Yeah. 21 MR. MANDLER: No, Your Honor. 22 MR. MILLER: No objection. 23 MR. RANDLES: Yeah. We have no objection. 24 THE COURT: 42 is excused. 25 Any other strikes for cause at this point?

```
1
     I'll give you another round of them after we finish all the
 2
     questioning, but any others for the Plaintiff?
 3
               MR. RANDLES:
                             No.
 4
                THE COURT: For Monsanto?
 5
               MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. Juror Number 28 my
 6
     notes indicate that she mentioned that they've had issues
 7
     with farmers using dicamba.
 8
               THE COURT: I think that's right. I think that's
9
     what she said.
10
               MR. MILLER: That is what she said.
11
               MR. MANDLER: We have that as well, Your Honor.
                                                                 We
12
     join.
13
               THE COURT: I think she did say -- 28 said she's
     had issues with dicamba, and so I think she needs to go.
14
                                                                 Do
15
     you agree?
16
               MR. RANDLES: We agree.
17
                THE COURT: Okay. So I'll excuse 28.
18
               MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
                The other one is 25. She said her husband is a
20
     farmer and knows about dicamba.
21
                THE COURT: Maybe some more follow-up questions on
     that. I don't know she's out for cause yet.
22
23
                MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor.
24
                THE COURT: Any others? What about for BASF? And
     I'll give you another round too at the end.
25
```

```
1
               MR. MANDLER: No. We have the same concerns of 25,
 2
     but we'll follow up.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Fine. So let me recap:
                                                           Jurors
     Numbers 6, 11, 14, 23.
 4
 5
               MR. RANDLES:
                             What about 15?
 6
               THE COURT: What did I miss?
 7
               MS. GEORGE: 15.
 8
               MR. MANDLER: No, you didn't rule on 15.
9
               THE COURT: Yeah, I did not rule on 15.
                              No? Oh, I misheard you, Your Honor.
10
               MR. RANDLES:
11
               THE COURT: Yeah. 6, 11, 14, 23, 28, 29, 32, 36,
     38, 41 and 42.
12
13
               So do we all have an agreement that those people
14
     can be excused? It's my inclination not to bring them back
15
     but to just thank them for their service and pay them their
16
     $50 and let them go on their way. Any problem with that?
17
               MR. MANDLER: No, Your Honor.
18
               MR. MILLER: No. Your Honor.
19
               MR. RANDLES: No, Your Honor.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. So why don't we take a
21
     ten-minute break ourselves.
22
               MR. MILLER: May I just address one thing for the
23
     record, Your Honor?
24
               THE COURT: Yes.
25
               MR. MILLER: I am assuming this was a slip of the
```

```
1
     tongue by Mr. Randles, but in introducing some of the people
 2
     at the table there he referred to they're working on related
 3
     cases.
              I don't think there should be any conversation
 4
     before this jury or jury panel about any related cases.
 5
                THE COURT: Well, and I agree with that.
 6
     that matter since you-all are not -- are you-all -- Don, are
 7
     you -- have you entered an appearance in the Bader Farms?
 8
                MR. DOWNING: We have not.
9
                THE COURT: Okay. In that case probably better not
10
     to sit at the counsel table then who has not actually entered
11
     an appearance in the case.
                                   Okay.
12
                So why don't we take a ten-minute break, and we'll
13
     call the jury and complete the questioning.
14
                (Proceedings stood in temporary recess.)
15
                (Proceedings resumed in open court outside the
16
     presence of the jury.)
17
               THE COURT: Be seated.
18
                Before bringing the jury back in, any preliminary
19
     matters for Plaintiffs first?
20
                MR. RANDLES: Yes, Your Honor. There's one number
21
     we missed that I wanted to raise with you if that's okay.
22
                THE COURT: Okay.
23
                MR. RANDLES: Number 15, that was the teacher whose
24
     superior has -- works -- I think works directly in reference
25
     with for Monsanto and has repeatedly taken her students to
```

the Monsanto facility. She's a science teacher, and she indicated some doubt about her ability to be fair.

THE COURT: I didn't think she did, so I'm going to allow follow-up questioning with her.

MR. RANDLES: Okay.

THE COURT: For the Defendants?

MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. I have another matter I need to bring to the Court's attention.

I'm sorry. Did you have something else, Billy?

MR. RANDLES: No. I'm sorry. I didn't hear what
you said.

MR. MILLER: Okay. I have another matter that I need to bring to the Court's attention that I just found out about during the break, and I apologize for having to disrupt things here, but because of the way smart phones and social media work I wanted to let Your Honor know and ask for the Court to remind all counsel of their ethical obligations herein.

I found out over the break that one of Plaintiffs' attorneys on Friday and on Saturday was tweeting out -re-tweeting, for example, the St. Louis Dispatch articles about this trial and pulling out quotes that say things like,
"Through their partnership, joint ventures, shared technologies and mutual greed, Defendants have conspired to create and incur an ecological disaster in Missouri and other

states to increase the profits and demand for their dicamba products."

Another tweet. That was on Saturday. Another tweet that went out on Friday re-tweeted and sent out on Twitter a -- I believe it's an NPR radio story about it, and pulls out a quote that says, quote, "It takes very, very little dicamba to induce that symptomatology. Those of us who really enjoy a good diet coke when you open the tab or you pop the lid off of a can of diet coke when you hear the PST" -- that's PST -- "that's about all it takes. That's not that much."

As the Court and I'm sure everybody is aware we're not supposed to be making expert judicial statements or putting things into the public that the jurors or potential jurors could see, and I would ask the Court, please, to remind everyone involved in this case not to do that.

MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, these are Facebook postings pf articles in the public domain. There's no ethical problem with that. There's no gag order in this case, so we're not --

THE COURT: Well, that's -- you know, perhaps we should have a gag order. I really think it would be best if nobody talked to the press during the course of the trial.

MR. RANDLES: Well, when you say "nobody," I want to be clear --

1 THE COURT: Oh, yeah. MR. RANDLES: -- my understanding is Bayer has a 2 3 public relations team onsite in Cape. I don't know if BASF 4 does. So if we're going to be gagged, they need to be too. 5 THE COURT: Are you going for the goose/gander? Is that what you're saying? 6 7 MR. RANDLES: I'm just saying -- I'm just saying we 8 are silent, they need to be effectively silenced, and they no 9 longer talk out of one side of their mouth. 10 THE COURT: I think that's what we need to do. 11 That's fine, Your Honor. MR. MILLER: 12 The ethical rule is 4-3.6 which says, quote, "A 13 lawyer who is participating or has participated in the 14 investigation or litigation of a matter shall not make an 15 extrajudicial statement that the lawyers know or reasonably 16 should know will be disseminated by means of public 17 communication and will have a substantial likelihood of 18 materially prejudicing an adjudicative proceeding in the 19 matter." 20 It doesn't matter whether they're re-tweeting 21 something that's already in the public domain. 22 THE COURT: I understand. 23 MR. MILLER: The lawyers need to stay out of it. 24 THE COURT: So agreed for both sides and

representatives of each of the three parties.

25

```
1
                MR. RANDLES: Well, when he says "representative,"
 2
      I want to be clear we're meaning Bayer -- Monsanto, Bayer and
 3
     their agents and BASF and its affiliated companies and their
 4
     agents.
 5
                THE COURT:
                            And Bader Farms and all of you folks.
 6
                MR. RANDLES:
                              0kay.
 7
                THE COURT:
                            0kay?
 8
                MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
                THE COURT: Let's bring the remaining people back
10
     up.
11
                THE CLERK: It will take a little while with the
12
     el evator.
13
                THE COURT: Can't you get Greg? Is Greg is down
14
      there.
15
                THE CLERK: I'm going to tell Christy to help me
16
     too.
           They're just so slow.
17
                THE COURT: So while we're waiting we started with
18
          We struck 11. We're down to 32. So we still have
     43.
19
     plenty.
20
                (Proceedings resumed in open court.)
21
                THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, as you see, we've
22
     excused all of the people who indicated that they would not
23
     be able to serve in the case.
24
                So I have just one last question for you who are
25
     left on the panel. Will each of you decide the case solely
```

1 on evidence presented and solely on the law as I give it to 2 you? 3 I would hope everybody would raise their hands on 4 that question. 5 All right. Mr. Randles. 6 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS 7 BY MR. RANDLES: 8 MR. RANDLES: May it please the Court, Your Honor. 9 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. As the Court 10 indicated to you, my name is Billy Randles. I'm one of the 11 lawyers representing Bader Farms in this case. I'm going to 12 just tag off what the Judge did and go back for a few 13 specifics and go back to some general questions if you don't 14 I don't mean to pick on anybody. Just I have a few 15 questions based on a few of the answers. 16 Juror Number 10, good morning. 17 VENIREPERSON 10: Good morning. 18 MR. RANDLES: Ma'am, I heard you talking about 19 taking medication and the problems with your ankle. 20 VENIREPERSON 10: Yes. 21 MR. RANDLES: The Judge indicated we will take 22 breaks and that sort of thing. Do you think you'd be 23 physically able to serve if selected? 24 VENIREPERSON 10: No. 25 MR. RANDLES: Okay. Can you tell -- I'm not

```
1
     trying to pry, but can you tell me just a little bit more
 2
     about that?
 3
               VENIREPERSON 10: My ankle?
               MR. RANDLES: Yes.
 4
 5
               VENIREPERSON 10: I sprained it, and it swells, and
 6
     sometimes the bottom of my feet, they swell bad.
 7
               MR. RANDLES: Thank you, ma'am. I appreciate
 8
     that.
9
               Juror Number 15, good morning. You indicated
10
     you're a teacher, and you -- as I understood it, you take a
11
     class on field trips occasionally to the Monsanto facility?
12
               VENIREPERSON 15: Yes, sir.
13
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. Has that been a long-standing
14
     practice?
15
               VENIREPERSON 15: For a few years now, yes.
16
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. And do you feel you have a
17
     good relationship with the folks there at the Monsanto
18
     facility?
19
               VENIREPERSON 15: I feel like I do. As I mentioned
20
     earlier, the supervisor is one of our school's board members
21
     at that facility.
22
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. And that -- I was wanting to
23
     get into that. Given that -- and, of course, ultimately
24
     that's your employer; right?
25
               VENIREPERSON 15: Correct.
```

```
1
               MR. RANDLES: Given that that relationship, would
 2
     you have any discomfort if a verdict in this case were
 3
     rendered for Plaintiff going back and explaining yourself to
 4
     your superior?
 5
               VENIREPERSON 15: Potentially.
 6
                MR. RANDLES: Would that cause you some discomfort?
7
               VENIREPERSON 15: Yes, sir.
 8
                MR. RANDLES: Okay. And would that -- would that
9
     weigh on your mind a bit if you were selected?
10
                VENIREPERSON 15: Yes, sir.
11
               MR. RANDLES: I'm not trying to put words in your
12
     mouth, but does that cause you some apprehension?
13
                VENIREPERSON 15: Yes, sir.
14
                MR. RANDLES:
                             Thank you.
15
               Juror Number 25.
16
               VENIREPERSON 25:
                                 Yes.
17
                MR. RANDLES: Hello.
                                      You mentioned earlier that
18
     your husband has some knowledge about dicamba because of his
19
     farming experience.
20
               VENIREPERSON 25: Yes, sir.
21
                MR. RANDLES: Is that something you-all have
22
     discussed in any detail?
23
                VENIREPERSON 25: No, sir.
24
                MR. RANDLES: Is it something you formed any
25
     opinion about yourself?
```

1 VENIREPERSON 25: No, sir. 2 MR. RANDLES: Do you think your husband's knowledge 3 because of his farming in any way impacts your ability to be 4 fair to everybody? 5 VENIREPERSON 25: Yes. 6 MR. RANDLES: Do you think you could? 7 VENIREPERSON 25: Yes. 8 MR. RANDLES: Okay. You don't have any concerns 9 about that? 10 No, sir. VENIREPERSON 25: 11 MR. RANDLES: Thank you very much. 12 Juror Number 31. 13 VENIREPERSON 31: Yes, sir. 14 MR. RANDLES: Good morning. You had indicated 15 that one of your family members had trouble getting peaches 16 at Bader Farms? 17 VENIREPERSON 31: Yes. Bader Farms' availability 18 was shorter than normal. It wasn't the normal. 19 MR. RANDLES: Is that anything that would affect 20 your -- does that create any prejudgment about the case in 21 your mind? 22 VENIREPERSON 31: I kind of have a little 23 prejudgment against chemicals, because I try to eat clean, 24 but if I'm asked to be fair, I can be fair. 25 MR. RANDLES: Okay. And just we're not talking

```
1
     about chemicals on the peaches in this case. We're talking
 2
     about the trees themselves and the soil, and that sort of
 3
     thing.
 4
               VENIREPERSON 31: Yes.
 5
                MR. RANDLES: So do you think your concern about
 6
     chemicals and food would impact your ability to be fair here
 7
     in any way?
 8
                VENIREPERSON 31: No, it wouldn't impact -- I
9
     just -- that's my personal view on --
10
                MR. RANDLES: Clean eating.
11
               VENIREPERSON 31: -- clean eating.
12
               MR. RANDLES: Yes, ma'am.
13
               VENIREPERSON 31:
                                  Yes.
14
                MR. RANDLES: But that wouldn't impact your ability
15
     to judge the merits of this case?
16
               VENIREPERSON 31: No. If I'm asked to do so, I
     will.
17
18
               MR. RANDLES: Thank you.
19
                Juror Number 2. I wanted to ask you a little bit
20
     more about your employment at Riceland Foods.
21
                VENIREPERSON 2: Yes, sir.
22
                MR. RANDLES: Have you had any interaction or
23
     formed any opinions about Monsanto or BASF or their employees
24
     through your work at Riceland Foods?
25
               VENIREPERSON 2:
                                No, sir.
```

```
1
                MR. RANDLES: Are you aware that Riceland Foods has
 2
     been involved in agricultural litigation in the past?
 3
               VENIREPERSON 2: I may have heard some -- a little
 4
     bit but not enough to -- I don't -- none of them that I think
 5
     would affect this case.
 6
               MR. RANDLES: And do you have any idea who
 7
     Riceland's lawyers have been in any of those cases, or do you
 8
     know any of them?
9
                VENIREPERSON 2: I don't know any of them no, sir.
10
               MR. RANDLES: Or even the law firms involved?
11
               VENIREPERSON 2: I don't, no, sir.
12
                MR. RANDLES: Given that you work for a
13
     substantially sized agricultural company yourself, would that
14
     cause you to tilt even a little bit toward other big
15
     agricultural companies?
16
                                 No, sir. I hold a pesticide and
               VENIREPERSON 2:
17
     chemical license of my own through the company, that is, and
18
     do fumigations and stuff of our product and at times, and I
19
     wouldn't have no --
20
               MR. RANDLES: And could you set aside your own
21
     knowledge of spraying and that sort of thing and just judge
22
     based on the evidence you hear here today?
23
               VENIREPERSON 2: Yes, sir.
24
               MR. RANDLES: And one question, have you ever
25
     sprayed herbicides yourself?
```

```
1
               VENIREPERSON 2: I have a long time ago on a farm
 2
     this was when I was about 18, 19 years old, but nothing of
 3
     Riceland's products.
 4
               MR. RANDLES: It wouldn't involve dicamba in any
 5
     way?
 6
               VENIREPERSON 2:
                                No, sir.
 7
               MR. RANDLES: Have you formed any opinions at all
8
     about dicamba?
9
               VENIREPERSON 2:
                                No, sir.
10
               MR. RANDLES: Okay.
                                    Not one way or the other?
11
               VENIREPERSON 2:
                                No.
12
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. Thank you so much.
13
               Juror 19. There we go. I notice you live way
     down south like my clients do. Do you know any -- do you
14
15
     know them or any of their extended family?
16
               VENIREPERSON 19:
                                 No.
17
               MR. RANDLES: No? It doesn't ring a bell. You
18
     didn't go to school with any of their relatives as far as you
19
     know?
20
               VENIREPERSON 19:
                                 No.
21
               MR. RANDLES: You don't have any judgment in mind
     about Bader Farms or their family?
22
23
               VENIREPERSON 19:
                                 No.
               MR. RANDLES: No. Okay.
24
25
               Juror Number 22, really the same questions. I
```

1 notice you're from way down south. Do you know my clients 2 or any of their extended family? 3 VENIREPERSON 22: 4 MR. RANDLES: To your knowledge, did you go to 5 school with any of their relatives? 6 VENIREPERSON 22: No. 7 MR. RANDLES: Formed no opinion one way or other 8 about them? 9 VENIREPERSON 22: No. 10 MR. RANDLES: Great. 11 Juror Number 34, you'd indicated your husband farms 12 and knows about dicamba. Have you-all discussed dicamba? VENIREPERSON 34: Not really. 13 14 MR. RANDLES: Have you formed any opinions yourself 15 about dicamba? 16 VENIREPERSON 34: No. 17 MR. RANDLES: Does the fact that your husband farms 18 and knows about it, would that impact your ability to be fair 19 to everybody in this litigation? 20 VENIREPERSON 34: Sure. 21 MR. RANDLES: You could do that? 22 VENIREPERSON 34: Yes. 23 MR. RANDLES: Okay. Super. 24 Juror 21, how are you this morning? 25 VENIREPERSON 21: I'm fine.

MR. RANDLES: We haven't heard anything from you, so I just wanted to ask you have you heard anything about this case?

VENIREPERSON 21: No.

MR. RANDLES: Well, have you had any previous experience with trials or litigation, or anything like that?

VENIREPERSON 21: I was called for jury duty one time -- well, twice actually. I was not selected either time. The first time was because I knew one of the -- I don't know if he was the defendant or not, but I knew him personally and just simply said I knew he told the truth, and I would believe what he said.

MR. RANDLES: Okay. And have any of your prior experiences given you any leanings one way or the other toward plaintiffs or defendants or bad feelings about the process?

VENIREPERSON 21: No.

MR. RANDLES: Okay. Super. So, again, I'm going to just ask that question more broadly. The Judge asked you-all specifically about jury service, so I won't want to retread that.

But does anyone have an opinion about lawyers -again, I have to ask this broadly or I invite jokes, but
opinions about lawyers or the judicial process that you bring
in that are pretty strong that you think might color your

1 thinking? Anybody have that? 2 (No response.) 3 MR. RANDLES: Anybody personally or have a friend 4 or family member had a bad experience with the judicial 5 process or litigation or lawyers that colors your judgment? 6 Yes, ma'am. 7 VENIREPERSON 31: My husband was involved in a 8 deposition. He was the pastor of the church that was being 9 sued. And during that deposition, the stress overload and 10 everything, he had a stroke. So he had some issues because 11 of that. 12 MR. RANDLES: And we're sorry because that's a very 13 serious thing. Did that leave a negative taste in your mouth 14 about the process in general or maybe --15 VENIREPERSON 31: Not the process. That situation. It did change his life forever. 16 But that 17 particular process. 18 MR. RANDLES: So would the experience in that case 19 color your ability to judge a different case with different 20 lawyers and different parties in any way? 21 VENIREPERSON 31: It possibly could, because that 22 was my husband. 23 MR. RANDLES: Understood. Understood. So I 24 guess just the basic question is, and only you know this, is 25 that negative experience something you can set aside and be

```
1
     fair to both sides?
 2
               VENIREPERSON 31: Yes.
 3
               MR. RANDLES: You think you can?
               VENIREPERSON 31:
 4
                                  I can.
 5
                MR. RANDLES: And that would not interfere with
 6
     your ability to serve as a juror?
 7
               VENIREPERSON 31:
                                  No.
 8
               MR. RANDLES: Thank you.
9
                I want to ask a general question about lawsuits.
10
     Does anybody feel there are too many lawsuits?
11
                VENIREPERSON 16: Like I think every day like,
12
     yeah, people --
13
                THE REPORTER: I'm sorry, sir?
14
               VENIREPERSON 16: So many lawsuits and people keep
15
     being sued and stuff. It's crazy.
16
               MR. RANDLES: I thank you for that. Do you think
17
     that -- does that affect your -- you or whether if a person
18
     brings a lawsuit, do you feel a little more suspicious of
19
     them maybe more than a normal person might be?
20
               VENIREPERSON 16:
                                  No.
21
                MR. RANDLES: Do you think you could be fair to
22
     both sides the same?
23
                VENIREPERSON 16: Oh, I don't know, because I'm
24
     friends with a peach guy here, and a lot of the farmers'
25
     wives come to our salon, and I kind of bias on that. They're
```

1 like my friends, you know. 2 MR. RANDLES: So you like farmers? 3 VENIREPERSON 16: Like I said, I'm not going to be 4 fair, because a lot of the farmers here are my friends, you 5 know. 6 MR. RANDLES: So are you saying you think you would 7 lean toward farmers? 8 VENI REPERSON 16: Most likely. 9 MR. RANDLES: That's not something you can set 10 asi de? 11 VENIREPERSON 16: Yes, sir. 12 MR. RANDLES: Does anyone when they hear reporting 13 about lawsuits and things like that think that lawsuits are 14 out of hand or too much -- the damages are too high? Anybody 15 have that preconception or concern? 16 Yes, ma'am. 17 VENIREPERSON 31: There does seem to be a lot of 18 lawsuits. It seems like people can sue for things that maybe 19 they should be able to resolve otherwise. 20 MR. RANDLES: Okay. And do you think that view 21 that there too many lawsuits would make you a little extra 22 skeptical of our lawsuit? 23 VENIREPERSON 31: No. 24 MR. RANDLES: Okay. Well, you know, the way our 25 system works is lawsuits are about money damages. That's how

1 you resolve them. 2 Does anybody have a concern about awarding money 3 damages if the law and the facts justify it? And by that I 4 just don't like the idea of awarding money damages. Is that 5 a problem for anyone? 6 (No response.) 7 MR. RANDLES: Well, does anyone say, Well, I could 8 award them, but there's a ceiling? I mean, I'm willing to 9 give some, but there's an amount no matter what the law and 10 facts say that I can't go above? Is anyone there? Does 11 anyone say whatever is asked, you know, there's just a number 12 in my mind I can't go above? Does anyone have a ceiling? 13 (No response.) 14 MR. RANDLES: Because I tell you right now this is 15 a large case where a large amount of money is going to be 16 asked for. 17 Does anybody say that gives me pause and that may 18 not be the case for me? 19 (No response.) 20 MR. RANDLES: Likewise -- oh, yes, sir. 21 VENIREPERSON 35: Barry Barkovitz, No. 35. Is this 22 a class-action lawsuit? 23 MR. RANDLES: No, sir. This is not a class action. 24 This is an action by Bader Farms alone. Is that better? 25 VENIREPERSON 25: That's fine.

1 MR. RANDLES: You don't like class actions? The publicity of it. The way the 2 VENIREPERSON 35: 3 media handles it makes me nervous. 4 MR. RANDLES: Okay. You don't have any concerns 5 about the case about an individual or party? 6 VENIREPERSON 35: No. 7 MR. RANDLES: Okay. 8 VENIREPERSON 31: No. I think I can be objective. 9 MR. RANDLES: Okay. Super. 10 This case will also seek what's called punitive 11 damages. And if the law and the facts justify them, those 12 are damages meant to award above the amount to compensate the 13 Plaintiff but an amount meant to award to punish or to deter 14 the conduct at issue. It's a serious matter, and the amount sought in a 15 16 particular case of this size will be substantial. Does 17 anyone have a problem with that? 18 (No response.) 19 MR. RANDLES: Does anyone say, first of all, I just 20 don't like the idea of punitive damages? Anyone? 21 (No response.) 22 MR. RANDLES: And does anyone here, again, have a 23 ceiling in their mind that, you know, no matter what the law 24 and the facts call for there's just a number I can't get 25 above? There's a number whatever it is that I couldn't do?

Does anyone say that? Does anyone have a ceiling?

I see no hands.

Now, in this case just because of the logistics and a number of things not worth getting into right now, a lot of our testimony is going to be by video on that screen or on those screens right in front of you.

And you will see the witness by video. And we will have quite a bit of video evidence. I know we will. They may. I simply don't know that.

Does anyone say I have trouble watching videos for what might be several hours a day? Would that cause anyone any concerns?

(No response.)

MR. RANDLES: Okay. And would anyone have any concerns with treating the video evidence with the same seriousness and weight as a person who comes in live? Would that be a problem for anyone?

(No response.)

MR. RANDLES: Now, in this case -- this is a civil case. Some of you may watch Law and Order and other shows on television, criminal cases, where there's the standard of proof is proof beyond a reasonable doubt, which is a very, very high standard in the law.

Most of these matters in this case are going to be what's called a preponderance of the evidence. And the

Court will tell you exactly what that means, but it means a little more of one side or another.

Would anyone have a problem with applying the standard the Court says is the burden of proof? And would anyone want to substitute the higher criminal standard is what I'm asking? Anyone have a problem with that?

(No response.)

MR. RANDLES: There's just different standards for different matters. That's what I'm getting at. And would anyone have an objection to what the Court says the standard is?

I see no hands.

Now, one of the things you're going to have to do in this case is evaluate the credibility of witnesses. And I can tell you there's not going to be much agreement in this case. And so some folks are going to say this over here, and some other folks are going to be saying the exact opposite.

And you are the sole judges of credibility. You alone decide who you find believable and what you find believable. And that's one of the primary tasks you're going to have in this trial.

Is there anyone who says I'm just not comfortable doing that? I'm not comfortable trying to look at someone and figure out if they're telling the truth and weighing one competing story against another? Does anyone have a problem

with that? Does anyone have a concern about that process?

(No response.)

MR. RANDLES: Part of what's going to happen in this case is a building block process, and you're going to see video evidence. You're going to hear experts. And you're going to see a lot from us especially of company documents, internal company documents. And we're going to be building our case brick by brick.

There's rarely a smoking gun in a lawsuit where the one piece of evidence that resolves it all. It's a process of brick building, and it does take some time. Is anyone uncomfortable with proof through that method?

I see no hands.

One of the things you're going to be asked to do is listen to expert witnesses. And it will get technical at times, and it is our job to try to make it clear to you, but one of the things you're going to be asked to evaluate in this case is the qualifications of the different experts and their credibility and weigh that with the opinions and the account they give you.

Anyone uncomfortable listening to scientific or technical evidence? Anyone have a problem with that?

(No response.)

MR. RANDLES: Now, in this case there's going to be testimony about economic loss past, present and future. Is

Bader Farms going to be functionally destroyed and it cannot go on?

Anyone have a problem listening to economic evidence from qualified experts about the value of a business in the future, the losses that were expected -- the lost profits that were expected but were not being realized? Anyone just say that sounds like something I wouldn't want to weigh or evaluate? Anyone have a problem that, a concern about that of any kind?

(No response.)

MR. RANDLES: Now, in this case this is what's called a product liability case. We're suing because of the product system. Now, a product can be sold -- and I'm not trying to start on the law, but there's products on the market that are sold that can still be the subject of civil litigation, and a manufacturer might be found not responsible for civil litigation or they might be found responsible.

Does anyone say, well, if a product is legal, I don't think you should be able to sue about it? That's all I need to know is that it's legal. Would the fact that a product is legal weigh -- affect your ability to listen to the law and the standards that the Court gives about whether or not liability is likely to be imposed? Does anyone have a problem with that?

(No response.)

```
1
                MR. RANDLES: Now, there's going to be a process
     here when you're in the jury room where you deliberate
 2
 3
     together.
                 Does anyone have any -- think they'll have a
 4
     problem deliberating with other jurors and coming to a
 5
     unanimous verdict?
 6
                (No response.)
 7
               MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, may I step to my table
 8
     for a moment?
9
                THE COURT: Yes.
10
                MR. RANDLES: Just a few random items.
11
               Juror Number 26.
12
               VENIREPERSON 26: Yes, sir.
13
               MR. RANDLES: I see that you're a civil engineer?
14
               VENIREPERSON 26: Yes, sir.
15
                MR. RANDLES: Could you tell me in a general way
16
     what sort of engineering you do?
17
                VENIREPERSON 26: I work for City of Cape, and I
18
     work on street projects mostly, and that sort of thing.
19
                MR. RANDLES:
                             Okay. Have you heard anything here
20
     this morning that makes you doubt your ability to be fair?
21
                VENIREPERSON 26: No, sir.
22
                MR. RANDLES: Okay. Juror Number 1? I see that
23
     you've been in the Sikeston Public Schools for 11 years.
24
               VENIREPERSON 1: No, I don't. My husband does.
25
     He's a teacher.
```

```
1
               MR. RANDLES: Oh, he's a teacher. I'm sorry.
                                                               I'm
     sorry. And you're a homemaker. And is -- have you heard
 2
 3
     anything here today that would cause you any concerns about
 4
     your ability to weigh this case?
 5
               VENIREPERSON 1: No, not at all.
 6
               MR. RANDLES: Okay.
                                     Juror Number 3.
 7
               VENIREPERSON 3: Yes.
 8
               MR. RANDLES: I see that you're a driver. Can you
9
     tell me what kind of driving you do?
10
               VENIREPERSON 3: I drive parts for O'Reilly's.
11
               MR. RANDLES: So delivering them basically?
12
               VENIREPERSON 3: I deliver parts.
13
               MR. RANDLES: In the local area?
14
               VENIREPERSON 3: Locally, yeah, installers.
15
               MR. RANDLES: And you're in Scott City?
16
                                Yes.
               VENIREPERSON 3:
17
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. All right. Have you heard
18
     anything today that would cause any concerns about your
19
     ability to be fair?
20
               VENIREPERSON 3:
                                No.
21
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. Juror Number 4.
22
               VENIREPERSON 4: Yeah.
23
               MR. RANDLES: I see you're in beverage
24
     distribution.
25
               VENIREPERSON 6: Yes.
```

1 MR. RANDLES: In Fredericktown? 2 VENIREPERSON 6: Yeah. Well, I work for Kohlfeld 3 in Jackson, but I'm from Fredericktown. 4 MR. RANDLES: And have you heard anything today 5 that would cause you any concerns about your ability to be 6 fair and impartial? 7 VENIREPERSON 4: No. 8 MR. RANDLES: All right. You have to answer orally 9 for the court reporter. 10 No. I'm sorry. VENIREPERSON 4: 11 MR. RANDLES: That's all right. It's hard to get 12 used to. 13 Juror Number 5, you're a painter? 14 VENIREPERSON 5: Yes. 15 MR. RANDLES: And just in general whatever jobs 16 come up or do you work for a particular --17 VENIREPERSON 5: I do commercial buildings. 18 MR. RANDLES: Commercial buildings. I see. And 19 around the Dexter area? 20 VENIREPERSON 5: All over the place. 21 MR. RANDLES: All over the place. Okay. Same 22 question, have you heard any concerns with anything that 23 causes you concerns? 24 VENIREPERSON 5: No. 25 MR. RANDLES: Juror Number 7, you're retired and a

1 pastor I think you said? 2 VENIREPERSON 7: Yes. 3 MR. RANDLES: Okay. And other than maybe making 4 sure you get out on time on Wednesday nights is there any 5 other impediment to you serving? 6 VENIREPERSON 7: None except for what I was talking 7 about earlier about the water pill I take. That's not too 8 big of a problem most of the time. 9 MR. RANDLES: Would you able to visit the -- if you 10 had ill congregation members, would you be able to visit 11 them, perhaps, in the evening or on the weekends? Do you 12 think that would be all right? 13 VENIREPERSON 7: Yes. 14 MR. RANDLES: Would that weigh on your mind in any 15 way? 16 VENIREPERSON 7: I have a small congregation. 17 MR. RANDLES: Okay. Okay. And have you heard 18 anything this morning that caused you concern about your 19 ability to be fair to both sides? 20 **VENIREPERSON 7:** No. 21 MR. RANDLES: Juror Number 8. 22 VENIREPERSON 8: Yes. 23 MR. RANDLES: I see that you're retired, and you 24 were with Faurecia. 25 VENIREPERSON 8: Faurecia, yes.

```
1
               MR. RANDLES: Faurecia for 42 years.
 2
               Can you tell me what that business is.
 3
               VENIREPERSON 8: It's an automotive company.
 4
     the people that bought out Arvin Industries in Dexter,
 5
     Missouri. That's who owns it now. It's a French company.
 6
               MR. RANDLES: And you were there a long time.
 7
     Generally what did you do?
 8
               VENIREPERSON 8: I drove a forklift. I was a
9
     maintenance man. I was a welder. Just a general operator,
10
     and that's about all that I did there.
11
               MR. RANDLES: Have you heard anything today that
12
     gives you concern about your ability to be fair to both
13
     si des?
14
               VENIREPERSON 8:
                                No, sir.
15
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. Juror Number 9, I see you're a
16
     retired truck driver --
17
               VENIREPERSON 9: Yes.
18
               MR. RANDLES: -- from Heartland Transport and
19
     Walmart?
               Were you a Walmart trucker?
20
               VENIREPERSON 9: No, not with Walmart.
21
               MR. RANDLES: Oh, I'm sorry.
22
               VENIREPERSON 9: And I worked for Freight Brothers
                     That's where I hauled Monsanto and BASF
23
     in St. Louis.
24
     mostly like Roundup and some seed and cotton stuff. I did
25
     haul that.
                  But I don't know anything about the case, and I
```

```
1
     never had any problems with their products.
 2
                MR. RANDLES: And did you form any particular
 3
     opinions, positive or negative, about Monsanto that would
 4
     impact your ability to be impartial in this case?
 5
                VENIREPERSON 9: I don't know what dicamba is.
 6
                MR. RANDLES:
                               Okay. All right. And you haven't
 7
     heard anything -- have you heard anything this morning that
 8
     concerns you?
9
               VENIREPERSON 9:
                                 No.
10
                MR. RANDLES: Or that would cause you to be unfair?
11
                VENIREPERSON 9:
                                 No.
12
                MR. RANDLES: Juror Number 10 we've already
13
     vi si ted.
14
                Juror Number 12, I see that you're a caregiver in
15
     Sikeston, New Madrid area.
16
               VENIREPERSON 12:
                                  Yes.
17
                MR. RANDLES: And have you -- have you heard
18
     anything today that causes you concern about your ability to
19
     be fair to both sides?
20
                VENIREPERSON 12:
                                  No.
21
                MR. RANDLES:
                               Okay. Juror 13, I looked at your
22
     chart, and I'm having a little trouble reading it.
23
     live in Cape?
24
                VENIREPERSON 13: I live outside of Cape in
25
     Burfordville.
```

```
1
                MR. RANDLES: Okay. So it's not too hard to get
 2
     over here for you?
 3
               VENIREPERSON 13:
                                  No.
 4
                MR. RANDLES: Have you heard anything this morning
 5
     to cause you any concern about your ability to weigh the
 6
     evidence fairly for both sides?
 7
               VENIREPERSON 13:
                                  No.
 8
               MR. RANDLES: Thank you.
9
                And Juror 15, we have visited and Juror 16.
10
               Juror 17, I see -- can you tell me what you do --
11
     what your occupation is?
12
               VENIREPERSON 17: I coach high school basketball,
     and I'm a full-time sub.
13
14
                MR. RANDLES: In Cape?
15
                VENIREPERSON 17:
                                 No. In the Kelly School
16
     District, which is just outside of it.
17
                MR. RANDLES: And have you heard anything today
18
     that would cause you any concerns about your ability to be
19
     fair?
20
                MR. HOHN:
                           No, sir.
21
                MR. RANDLES: Okay. And Juror 18 -- Let me get to
22
     my page.
               You live down in Poplar Bluff, do you?
23
               VENIREPERSON 18: Yes, sir.
24
                MR. RANDLES: And I see you're retired now?
25
               VENIREPERSON 18:
                                  Yes.
```

```
1
                MR. RANDLES: And what did you do before you
 2
     retired?
 3
                VENIREPERSON 18: I worked in nursing -- at a
     nursing home. I was a medical technician.
 4
 5
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. And have you heard anything
 6
     here today that would cause you any concern about your
 7
     ability to be fair to both sides?
 8
               VENIREPERSON 18: No, I haven't.
9
                MR. RANDLES: Juror 19, we've already visited a
10
     little bit, but I don't think I asked you what's your
11
     occupation?
12
               VENIREPERSON 19: I work at the Doniphan DMV.
13
                MR. RANDLES: And have you heard anything today
14
     that would cause you concern about your ability to be fair to
15
     both sides?
16
               VENIREPERSON 19: No, sir.
17
                MR. RANDLES: Juror Number, I think it's 20?
18
               VENIREPERSON 20:
                                 Yeah.
19
                MR. RANDLES: You're a flooring installer?
20
               VENIREPERSON 20:
                                  Yes.
21
                MR. RANDLES: And here in Cape, is it?
22
               VENIREPERSON 20:
                                  Yes.
23
                MR. RANDLES: And have you heard anything that's
24
     caused you any concerns?
25
               VENIREPERSON 20: No, sir.
```

```
1
               MR. RANDLES: Ma'am, we visited earlier, and we
 2
     visited earlier.
                        But do either of you ladies -- have either
 3
     of you heard anything that would cause you any concern about
 4
     your ability to be fair?
 5
               VENIREPERSON 21: No, sir.
 6
               VENIREPERSON 22: No, sir.
 7
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. Sir, I have to say I can't see
8
     your number.
9
               VENIREPERSON 24:
                                 24.
10
               MR. RANDLES: 24.
                                   Thank you. And can you tell me
11
     what your profession is?
12
               VENIREPERSON 24: I'm a lineman for Ameren.
13
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. And have you heard anything
14
     today that would cause you any concern about your ability to
     be fair?
15
16
               VENIREPERSON 24: No, sir.
17
               MR. RANDLES: And, Juror Number 25, we visited a
18
     little earlier. And, 26, we visited.
19
               Juror 27, can you tell me what your occupation is?
20
               VENIREPERSON 27: I build bridges for Joe's Bridge
21
     and Grading in Poplar Bluff.
22
               MR. RANDLES: Have you heard anything here that
23
     would cause you concern about your ability to be fair to both
24
     si des?
25
               VENIREPERSON 27: No, sir.
```

```
1
               MR. RANDLES: And, sir, I'm not seeing your number.
 2
     Is it 30?
 3
               VENIREPERSON 30:
                                  30.
 4
               MR. RANDLES: Can you tell me what your occupation
 5
     is?
 6
               VENIREPERSON 30: I'm a youth specialist for the
 7
     State of Missouri.
 8
               MR. RANDLES: And what does that entail?
9
               VENIREPERSON 30: I work at a youth rehabilitation
10
     treatment center, and I work for the Sierra Osage Treatment
11
     Center. I just observe and make sure everything is safe.
12
               MR. RANDLES:
                             Okay. Have you heard anything here
13
     today that would cause you any concern about your ability to
14
     be fair?
15
               VENIREPERSON 30:
                                 No, sir.
16
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. Juror 31, we've visited.
17
               Ma'am, your number?
18
               VENIREPERSON 33:
                                  33.
19
               MR. RANDLES:
                              33. And can you tell me your
20
     occupation?
21
               VENIREPERSON 33: I'm a criminalist
                                                      at the
22
     Highway Patrol Crime Laboratory here in Cape.
23
               MR. RANDLES: Oh, okay. All right. And have you
24
     heard anything that would cause you any concern about your
25
     ability to be fair?
```

```
1
               VENIREPERSON 33: No, I have not.
 2
                MR. RANDLES: We visited, Juror 34.
 3
                Do you have any concerns about your ability to be
     fair?
 4
 5
               VENIREPERSON 35: So far no.
 6
                MR. RANDLES: Number 39, is it? And you're -- what
7
     is your current occupation?
 8
                VENIREPERSON 39: I work at Terrace Gardens in
9
     Perryville, Missouri. It's a retirement home for mentally
10
     challenged people.
11
                MR. RANDLES: And have you heard anything that
12
     would cause you any concerns?
13
               VENIREPERSON 39: No, sir.
14
                MR. RANDLES: Okay. Juror Number 40, you're
15
     retired now?
16
               VENIREPERSON 40:
                                 Yes.
17
                MR. RANDLES: Have you heard anything today to
18
     cause you any concerns about your ability to be fair?
19
                VENIREPERSON 40:
                                 No.
20
               MR. RANDLES: Okay. Juror Number -- I can't --
21
               VENIREPERSON 37:
                                 37.
22
                MR. RANDLES: 37. And your occupation is?
23
                VENIREPERSON 37: I work with the Disabled Citizens
24
     Alliance, and I'm a licensed med tech, and I am also a
25
     caregi ver.
```

1 MR. RANDLES: Okay. And have you heard anything 2 that would cause you concerns about your ability to be fair? 3 VENIREPERSON 37: No. sir. MR. RANDLES: Thank you. 4 5 And, Juror 43, we've already heard from you a 6 little bit. Have you heard anything today that would cause 7 you concerns about your ability to be fair to both sides? 8 **VENIREPERSON 43:** No. 9 MR. RANDLES: Ladies and gentlemen, I want to thank 10 you for your attention and your courtesy in answering my 11 questions. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Miller. 13 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION ON BEHALF OF 14 DEFENDANT MONSANTO BY MR. MILLER: 15 MR. MILLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 Good morning, everybody. I'm going to try not to 17 go over any of the same ground that Mr. Randles did. 18 And I want to emphasize something that Mr. Randles 19 We know it's odd to be sitting here and answering 20 these questions from strangers talking at you in a courtroom. 21 And the reason that we have to do this is it's not to 22 embarrass you. It's not to try to get in your business. 23 It's very important for everybody that's involved 24 in this case to have unbiased -- as possibly as unbiased 25 jurors as we can. We all have biases. You know, usually the word "bias" means a bad thing. But we all have biases.

You know, there was that national championship game against LSU and Clemson a few weeks ago. And if you had two people watching, one was an LSU fan and one was a Clemson fan, they could watch the same play, and they could see two very different things. One of them is going to eye, you know, a nice clean block, the other was going to see a clear penalty. That's the just the nature of who we are.

And so these questions there is no right or wrong answer. We're just trying to find out so that we can make sure we're all on a level playing field. So that's the reason to ask these questions.

Mr. Randles asked you about whether there are too many lawsuits. I want to ask you kind of the flip of that. Does anybody here believe that, you know what, the best way to settle a dispute is to file a lawsuit and bring it to court? Anybody have that feeling about lawsuits?

(No response.)

MR. MILLER: Okay. I also want to follow up on something else you were asked before. For most of the claims in this case the burden of proof is going to be by a preponderance of the evidence, and, of course, Judge Limbaugh is going to at the end of the case if you end up in the jury, he's going to give you the instructions, and you need to listen to him, but I think we're all confident that what he's

going to tell you is that the Plaintiffs have the burden of proof. They brought this case and so they have to prove all of the elements that the Judge will lay out for you.

Does anybody have any feeling, any problem following that rule that it's the Plaintiff's burden when you bring a claim to prove your case?

(No response.)

MR. MILLER: Let me ask the flip of that. Does anybody think, as you're sitting here today, you know, I understand it's Plaintiffs' burden, but I expect the Defendants to get up there and explain themselves and why they should be not be liable? The Defendants need to put on some evidence to prove to me that they didn't do anything wrong. There would be a lot of people that would normally feel that way. Does anybody here have those sort of thoughts as they're sitting here today?

(No response.)

MR. MILLER: And so if it turns out -- and, obviously, you're the judges of the evidence. At the end of the case whoever is sitting in that box is going to decide what the truth is, what actually happened here.

Judge Limbaugh is the judge of the law. You're the judges of the facts and the evidence. That's why we all stand up when you come in here, because you are judges in your own right. You're just the judges of the law and the

facts.

As you sit in that jury box at the end of this trial, if you find, after looking at all the facts and after applying it to the law that the Judge lays out to you, if you decide, you know, what, the Plaintiff didn't prove part of their case, they didn't prove that their damages, for example, were caused by any of these products at issue here, do you think you'll have any problem sending the Plaintiffs away with no money regardless of whatever else you heard here in this case?

(No response.)

MR. MILLER: Do any of you, as you sit here today, think, you know, I hope the Defendants -- or, excuse me, I hope the Plaintiffs end up with something? I mean, they've gone this far, they've gone to federal court, my hope is I'm going to wait, I'm going to listen to the evidence, I'm going to weigh the evidence and the facts and apply the law, but I really hope it turns out okay for the Plaintiffs in this case? Does anybody have that type of feeling as they sit here today?

(No response.)

MR. MILLER: Okay. You know, it's interesting.

In this case we actually have company versus company. As you heard, the Plaintiff in this case is actually Bader Farms, Incorporated. And, of course, the Defendants are

Monsanto Company and BASF Corporation, but still you have larger corporations against a smaller corporation in this case.

And so one of the things that I believe Judge

Limbaugh will be instructing you if you end up on the jury in
this case is that everybody is treated equally important.

Whether you're an individual or a corporation everybody is
treated the same way.

Would any of you have any problem treating a corporate plaintiff or a corporate defendant the same way as they would an individual plaintiff or defendant? There are a lot of people who have issues with large corporations.

They're often in the news. And, you know, people honestly have closely held beliefs that they believe are true regarding large companies.

Anybody have feelings like that, and, as you sit here, you think that might be something that's hard for me to put out of my mind as I'm listening to all the evidence and weighing out the facts and the evidence in this case?

(No response.)

MR. MILLER: So I always ask this whenever I'm in a case where I have a corporation that I am representing. Can you all promise me if you end up in the jury box that you're going to treat each of the parties here -- regardless of which company or which corporation it is you're going to

treat us all equally and fairly?

I'm assuming nobody is raising their hand and saying they have a problem with that, so I am assuming we can all assume we're going to be on equal playing fields.

There are -- I think with any case with any organization you can look at it two ways when you're trying to judge what they did. You can say there's one group of people who says, Well, what I want to know is did they follow the law? And then there's another group of people who think I'm not necessarily concerned as to whether they follow the law, I want to know if they acted ethically.

Who among us are -- which of you are in that second group where you're more concerned about the behavior of the company, how they acted as opposed to whether they followed the law? Nobody?

Okay. The lawyers in this case, as you're going to hear, have been going on for a while. And, again, Judge Limbaugh is the judge of the law in this case, and sometimes the lawyers have to object. The reason we object is because, in our opinion, the other side -- and I'm not saying they're doing anything wrong, they wouldn't be being saying we're doing anything wrong, but we have a different view of what the rules allow and don't allow.

So if one lawyer thinks the other side is trying to get into something that shouldn't be allowed, they need to

stand up and object. The law requires us to do that. Does anybody here think that when they're sitting there, you're seeing one of the lawyers objecting in this case, that you're going to be thinking, they must be trying to keep something away from us, they must be trying to hide some of the evidence from us, and I really want to know what they actually don't want us to hear? Does anybody think that they might feel that way if you have got lawyers popping up in the courtroom objecting during the trial?

(No response.)

MR. MILLER: This case is going to be about -partially there's going to be a lot of, as Mr. Randles said,
technical stuff here, there's going to be a lot of scientific
stuff here. You're going to hear about a lot of rules and
regulations and standards and things of that nature.

And I'm just curious if anybody has ever worked in a job where part of your job responsibility was setting up codes or enforcing codes or regulations and things of that nature, anybody had any experience in any of the jobs you've held where you've had to do deal with that type of responsibility?

Yes, sir.

VENIREPERSON 34: Are you referring to codes and ethics or codes of --

MR. MILLER: Those would be included, yes, sir.

```
1
                VENIREPERSON 24: Well, I can tell you it's -- my
 2
     job is a lineman, but I am totally obligated to keep my
 3
     co-workers along to follow the rules so I can keep them safe.
 4
                MR. MILLER:
                            What number are you?
 5
               VENIREPERSON 24:
                                  24.
 6
                MR. MILLER: Are you in a -- and is that something
 7
     where you're in a supervisory position or --
 8
                VENIREPERSON 24: No, it's not I'm a supervisor.
9
     It's just that every man is required to watch every other man
10
     as if -- as if they were supervisory I suppose, but to make
11
     sure they follow the rules and keep them and keep me safe.
12
                MR. MILLER: So if you're dealing with high voltage
13
     I'm sure it's very important that you follow those rules?
14
                VENIREPERSON 24: Yes.
15
                MR. MILLER: Okay. Thank you.
16
               Yes, ma'am.
17
                VENIREPERSON 33: Number 33. I work in a crime
18
     lab, so I work -- I have case work that has to be fair, and I
19
     can't -- you have to be impartial. You can't prejudge a
20
     case.
21
                MR. MILLER: You have a series of procedures and
22
     rules that you have to follow?
23
               VENIREPERSON 33: Yes.
24
                MR. MILLER: And do you have anyone reporting to
25
     you there, or do you report up with your results? I'm just
```

1 wondering where in the -- do you have people that you have to 2 oversee to make sure they're following the rules? 3 VENIREPERSON 33: No. 4 MR. MILLER: Okay. Anything about that for either 5 of you -- do you think because there are -- there is going to 6 be testimony about rules and regulations to follow and things 7 of that nature, anything about that that you think might give 8 you problems being fair and impartial to both sides in this 9 case? 10 VENIREPERSON 24: No. 11 VENIREPERSON 33: No. 12 MR. MILLER: Thank you. 13 Okay. Anybody else? 14 Yes, sir, Number 2. 15 VENIREPERSON 2: I'm a supervisor over the shipping 16 department there at Riceland, and the policies are all made 17 to be followed, and there are safety policies, and, you know, 18 those things, and I'm overseeing that those people are 19 following those policies. 20 MR. MILLER: Is that your primary -- you said 21 you're a supervisor there. Is that one of your primary 22 responsibilities? 23 VENIREPERSON 2: Yes. 24 MR. MILLER: Okay. And anything about that -- I'm 25 sorry?

1 VENIREPERSON 2: Cleaning practices and stuff too, 2 because like we handle food product that comes from the grain 3 to the table pretty much. 4 MR. MILLER: Okay. So you have to make sure that 5 those products are clean for want of a better word, safe; 6 right? 7 VENIREPERSON 2: Yes. 8 MR. MILLER: Okay. Anything about that experience 9 that you think would make it difficult for you to be 10 impartial and fair to both sides in this case? 11 VENIREPERSON 2: No. 12 MR. MILLER: Thank you. 13 Yes, sir, Number 7. 14 VENIREPERSON 7: When I worked for MoDOT I was a 15 troop leader, and I was responsible for setting up crews for 16 different jobs and to make sure all the signs are out. 17 also had a pesticide license with the state that we had to 18 make sure that the chemicals were taken care of properly, 19 mixed properly, and all of that. 20 MR. MILLER: Were you -- did you use that pesticide 21 license while you were working with MoDOT? 22 VENIREPERSON 7: I had a license. I done some 23 mixing for the crews that went out and drove trucks for them 24 and stuff like that, yeah. 25 MR. MILLER: And did you actually mix the -- some

1 of the pesticides yourself? 2 VENIREPERSON 7: Yes. 3 MR. MILLER: Anything about that -- of course, 4 there's going to be testimony in this case, and I think 5 you'll find out that pesticide -- the term pesticide a lot of 6 us frankly think when you think of pesticide you think of bug 7 spray, pest. Actually, pesticide covers both bug sprays and 8 herbi ci des. 9 So there is going to be a lot of testimony in this 10 case about a type of pesticide herbicide. Do you think your 11 background would make it difficult for you at all to just 12 listen to the evidence here and be fair to both sides? 13 VENIREPERSON 7: No. 14 MR. MILLER: Thank you. 15 Yes, sir. 16 VENIREPERSON 35: Could you repeat the original 17 question, please. 18 MR. MILLER: I have been yammering on for a bit. 19 But the question whether you have in your job whether you had 20 any responsibility for either setting or enforcing codes or 21 rules or regulations. 22 VENIREPERSON 35: Setting or enforcing? 23 MR. MILLER: Yes, sir. 24 VENIREPERSON 35: Definitely no. 25 MR. MILLER: Following -- probably following,

```
1
     though.
 2
               VENIREPERSON 35: Following, yes.
 3
                MR. MILLER: You're a truck driver, and I know
 4
     there are --
 5
               VENIREPERSON 35: -- motor vehicle carrier
 6
     regulations, yeah.
 7
                MR. MILLER: You have to keep a log of hours when
 8
     you get rest, what your mileage is, all of that; correct?
9
                VENIREPERSON 35: There's several books of
10
     regulations, especially as we're involved in hazmat, so at
11
     least there's three books I know of that we have to be
12
     mindful of.
13
               MR. MILLER: Have you been involved in hazmat?
14
               VENIREPERSON 35: In the past, yes.
15
                MR. MILLER: Yeah?
16
               VENIREPERSON 35: As a matter of fact, I've done
17
     herbicides before. Syngenta was the company.
18
                MR. MILLER:
                              Okay.
                                     Okay.
19
               VENIREPERSON 35: And, as I stated to the Judge
20
     earlier, I was in Monsanto once and BASF once.
21
                MR. MILLER:
                              Got you. And when you were hauling
22
     hazmat, did you have to have special training for that?
23
                VENIREPERSON 35:
                                   Yes.
24
               MR. MILLER: Okay.
25
               VENIREPERSON 35: Yeah.
                                         That was -- that was
```

required before we did that with those companies.

MR. MILLER: Anybody else? I thought I might have saw another hand.

Okay. Let me just ask a couple of individual things. Juror Number 15, I know that you told Mr. Randles that you would have some apprehension if you had to go back and say that you found against Monsanto.

Let me ask you this: I understand, and I think we can all understand why you feel that way. Do you think that that would give you pause while you're in the jury box? In other words, do you think that in spite of the fact that you might not be looking forward to telling your boss that, that you would be able to listen to the facts, listen to the evidence, listen to the law that Judge Limbaugh gives you and fairly and honestly for everybody -- all the parties involved make a fair and honest opinion?

VENIREPERSON 15: I would try to.

MR. MILLER: I'm sorry?

VENIREPERSON 15: I would try to, yes, sir.

MR. MILLER: And let me ask it this way: It is because -- and I don't mean to push you, and I'm sorry that you're getting a lot of questions like this, but it's obviously important.

We want -- all the sides want to know if somebody is there they believe they can do it. And usually when

we're asked something, we say, Well, I'll try my best. 1 2 So one example that's used sometimes when we're in 3 jury selection is, well, assume, you know, that you're a 4 pilot, and you're flying us from here to LA. And if I come 5 up to you and say, you know, Are you going to land us safely? 6 And if you say, Yeah, I'm going to try to, does that mean 7 that you're going to do everything that you can, and you're 8 going to be able to pull that off --9 VENIREPERSON 15: I hope so. 10 MR. MILLER: -- with your training and background? 11 Okay. Okay. Let me see if I have any other 12 individual ones. Has anybody heard -- I know you were asked 13 about this case, whether you heard anything in the news about 14 this case. Have any of you heard of any other lawsuits 15 involving first we'll start with Bader Farms, Inc.? Anybody 16 heard of any other lawsuits involving Bader Farms, Inc.? 17 (No response.) 18 MR. MILLER: Anybody heard of any other lawsuits 19 involving Monsanto? 20 Yes, ma'am, Number 21. 21 VENIREPERSON 21: There have been some on the news 22 in the past about Roundup and --23 MR. MILLER: And do you understand that this case 24 has nothing to do with --

VENIREPERSON 21: Oh, I understand that.

25

```
1
                MR. MILLER: Yeah. They're completely different
 2
     claims and completely different products. Would anything
 3
     about what you've heard about those cases influence you at
 4
     all or make it difficult for you to be an impartial and fair
 5
     juror for everybody in this case?
                                 No, I don't think so. I think
 6
               VENIREPERSON 21:
 7
     that each case is individual, you know.
 8
                MR. MILLER:
                             Sure.
9
               VENIREPERSON 21: Monsanto in general isn't a bad
10
     company, the farmers aren't bad or --
11
               MR. MILLER:
                             Right.
12
                VENIREPERSON 21: You know, each maybe made a
13
     mistake somewhere but not overall.
14
                MR. MILLER: And, frankly, I don't think any of us
15
     could put it any better. It's for every case you've got to
16
     look at the facts, you've got to look at the law and apply it
17
     to just that case and not worry about anything else, so thank
18
     you. I appreciate that.
19
                VENIREPERSON 21:
                                  Yeah.
20
                MR. MILLER: Anybody else? Any other lawsuits
21
     they've heard of regarding Monsanto?
22
                (No response.)
23
                MR. MILLER: I'm going to leave BASF to them if
24
     they want to ask that.
25
                I'm going to wrap it up here with one last
```

question, because I've learned a long time ago I've been in some cases where after I left the courtroom jurors come up to me after the case is over and say, Well, you should have asked us about this.

So I'm going to ask you is there anything else you think any of us should know that might -- we might want to know, you think they really should know this so that they determine if they feel I'm going to be fair in this case to everybody? Anything that you can think of that we haven't asked you about?

Okay. Oh, yes, sir. Number 8.

VENIREPERSON 8: My wife's ex-husband is a crop duster, and he sprays both of the company's products, different types of their products all the time. I'm not really friends with him or know anything about him other than that he is related to my wife through marriage.

MR. MILLER: And anything about relationship at all -- it sounds like you really haven't talk with him about that?

VENIREPERSON 8: No.

MR. MILLER: Okay.

VENIREPERSON 8: No.

MR. MILLER: So I know the answer to this question

I think, but I've got to ask you for the record anything

about that that you think is going to make it difficult for

1 you to be fair and impartial to all the parties in this case? 2 VENIREPERSON 8: No. MR. MILLER: Okay. Thank you, sir. 3 4 Anyone else? 5 Yes, ma'am, Number 15. 6 My husband works for the VENIREPERSON 15: Yes. 7 conservation department, so we are a very conservation minded 8 And prior to being a teacher I did work for the family. 9 conservation department as well. One of my jobs was to test 10 water quality, and one of the things I tested for was 11 pesticides. 12 MR. MILLER: And anything about that background 13 that you've had, you and your husband both, that you think 14 would enter into your thoughts in this case and make it 15 somewhat difficult for you to be fair and impartial to 16 everybody? 17 VENIREPERSON 15: Well, I am human. So, of 18 course, I do think that certain things do influence people, 19 but I will try to be fair. 20 MR. MILLER: Understood. Thank you very much. 21 Anybody else? 22 (No response.) 23 MR. MILLER: I want to thank you all for your time. 24 And for those of you who end up on the jury I want to thank 25 you ahead of time for the time that you'll be spending with

1 all of us over the next two to three weeks. Thank you. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Mandler. 3 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION ON BEHALF OF BASE BY MR. MANDLER: 4 5 MR. MANDLER: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is 7 John Mandler, and I am representing BASF. 8 I get to go third. You'll see for those of you who 9 stay with us throughout the trial I get to go third. 10 So the burden is on me not to say everything what 11 everybody has already said, so I'm going to try my best to do 12 that and not to cover the same ground. 13 But let me ask a question related to that first. 14 Since I am going to go third, BASF gets to put on its 15 evidence third, so we're going to ask everybody's patience to 16 wait until you hear our side of the story. Does anybody have 17 any concern that -- is anyone a fast decision maker and have 18 any concerns with waiting until we get our chance somewhat 19 toward the end of the case? 20 (No response.) 21 Okay. Thank you. A lot of the MR. MANDLER: 22 questions that could be asked have been asked, so I'm just 23 going to have a couple of cleanup general questions, but also 24 a few specific questions for you.

I know we had a couple of jurors Number 2 and I

25

1 think Number 7 who I think said they personally have had some 2 experience applying herbicides and pesticides themselves. 3 Has anyone else ever applied a herbicide or a pesticide? 4 In what context, number 24? 5 VENIREPERSON 24: I worked on a farm after high 6 school into college, and I helped mix pesticides and 7 herbicides. I just did what they told me what to get. 8 MR. MANDLER: Is there anything about that 9 experience that would weigh one way or the other in your 10 judgment in this case? 11 VENIREPERSON 24: No, sir. 12 MR. MANDLER: Anybody else have personal experience 13 applying pesticides or herbicides, you know, even in your 14 backyard? 15 Number 21, please. 16 VENIREPERSON 21: I lived out in the country for 17 50 years. I ran the household for 30 years, me and my kids, 18 and, yeah, we've used herbicides and pesticides inside the 19 house, outside the house. I mean, it just comes with living 20 in the country. 21 MR. MANDLER: I understand. 22 Number 20. 23 VENIREPERSON 20: The same thing. I used Roundup 24 at the farm. 25 MR. MANDLER: Anything about that that's going to

weigh one way or another on your opinion?

VENIREPERSON 20: No.

MR. MANDLER: We talked a little bit about involvement with agricultural issues. Does anyone here -- does anyone have any direct involvement with not necessarily a company but either a government agency or a university on anything that has to do with agricultural issues or food production?

Number 19.

VENIREPERSON 19:

(No response.)

VENIREPERSON 19: I am an ag major at Three Rivers College.

MR. MANDLER: An ag major. So you're studying it now? Anything about that -- any information you've gathered in your studies that you think is going to affect you?

No.

MR. MANDLER: Mr. Miller asked you a little about opinions about big companies. My client, BASF, is a chemical company, not the largest, but a fairly good size chemical company. Does anyone have any, I don't know, ideas that are already in your mind that there are things about chemical companies that you don't like or don't appreciate? Anybody think there are some times that chemical companies do things that may not -- you may not think are ethical or appropriate?

MR. MANDLER: And in weighing the actions of a

1 chemical company versus the action of another type of company 2 like a farm company does anybody think they're going to have 3 a leaning one way or the other from going into this trial? 4 (No response.) 5 MR. MANDLER: Does anybody have experience or 6 thoughts or any at least initial biases that maybe chemical 7 companies don't do the job they should making warnings about 8 their products? 9 (No response.) 10 MR. MANDLER: We all like to eat healthy or at 11 least some more than others. Does anybody have a real 12 strong desire I guess when you're in the shopping market you 13 go down and you immediately go to the non-GMO aisle or the 14 organic aisle? Is anybody a big proponent of organics? 15 VENIREPERSON 4: I am Number 4. I know that some 16 chemicals can cause cancer, and all that, and I try to stay 17 away from those as much as possible. 18 MR. MANDLER: Okay. Thank you. Anybody else that 19 thinks like Number 4? 20 VENIREPERSON 31: I like organic. 21 MR. MANDLER: Okay. All right. Thank you. 22 VENIREPERSON 15: I do prefer organic. 23 MR. MANDLER: Okay. Any other folks lean toward 24 organi c? 25 We had an interesting question from a juror earlier

```
1
     who said that he was -- he kind of liked to follow conspiracy
 2
     theories and like to read up on conspiracy theories.
 3
     other conspiracy fans or conspiracy theory fans here?
 4
                VENIREPERSON 35: I read a lot about it -- Barry
 5
     Barkovitz, Juror Number 35 -- but I try to keep that
 6
     objective, but there seems to be a lot of it out there.
 7
     It's hard to avoid sometimes.
 8
                MR. MANDLER: Easy to find, hard to avoid. I
9
     understand what you're saying.
10
                VENIREPERSON 35: Well, once you've been
11
     overwhelmed by it, at some point you just want to shut
12
     everybody else away from you just like the leave me alone
13
     concept. But, I mean, it's -- I don't know.
                                                    I quess
14
     everything goes in waves, but I feel better the less I hear
15
     that word.
16
                MR. MANDLER: All right. I may just have a couple
17
     of follow-up questions for a few of you individually.
18
                Juror Number 1, and I'm just picking folks out we
19
     may not have heard as much from.
20
                Previously did you work for AT&T?
21
                VENIREPERSON 1: I did, yes.
22
                MR. MANDLER: What kind of job did you do for them?
23
                VENIREPERSON 1:
                                 Sal es.
24
                MR. MANDLER: Did you enjoy that?
25
                VENIREPERSON 1:
                                 No.
```

1 MR. MANDLER: Fair enough. 2 Juror Number 8, I think you mentioned that your 3 company had been basically taken over by a French company. 4 VENIREPERSON 8: Yes. 5 MR. MANDLER: And was it always, or was it 6 acqui red? 7 VENIREPERSON 8: It was acquired. It began as a 8 spark plug manufacturer and grew up in Indiana to an exhaust 9 supplier, and then it was sold to a holding company first, 10 and then it was sold to the French company lastly. 11 MR. MANDLER: Anything about dealing with the 12 French company cause you any concerns with larger 13 corporations? 14 VENIREPERSON 8: The French companies do things 15 differently than American companies, but other than that, no. 16 MR. MANDLER: Juror Number 3, I think you said that 17 you drove for O'Reilly's. Earlier did you -- you said you 18 worked for Rubbermaid. Was that in a driving position too? 19 VENIREPERSON 3: That was driving and production. 20 MR. MANDLER: And where was the -- where's the 21 productions facility? 22 VENIREPERSON 3: It's in Jackson, Missouri. 23 MR. MANDLER: And you were there a number of years? 24 VENIREPERSON 3: 34 years. 25 MR. MANDLER: Anything about that experience that

```
1
     gives you a view one way or the other about larger companies?
 2
               VENIREPERSON 3:
                                 No.
 3
               MR. MANDLER: Juror Number 13, do I understand that
     your husband worked for a while for La-Z-Boy?
 4
 5
               VENIREPERSON 13: He still does.
 6
               MR. MANDLER: He still does. Okay. And what sort
7
     of position does he have?
 8
               VENIREPERSON 13: He's a consultant, and he goes to
9
     the furniture stores, and, you know, takes orders, tells them
10
     how to do advertising, stuff like that.
11
               MR. MANDLER: So he has to travel around quite a
12
     bit?
13
               VENIREPERSON 13:
                                 Yes.
14
               MR. MANDLER: And does anything about his
15
     relationship with the La-Z-Boy Corporation give you any
16
     concern about big companies in any way?
17
               VENIREPERSON 13:
                                 No.
18
               MR. MANDLER: All right. We were talking about
19
     litigation.
                   Mr. Miller said he's going to leave the
20
     question to me, so I better ask it. Has anybody heard of any
21
     litigation involving BASF Corporation?
22
                (No response.)
23
               MR. MANDLER: All right. Final question.
24
     told you I was going to be quick. Does anybody -- if you
25
     were standing up here and I was sitting in your seat, does
```

1 anybody think there's something you really ought to have 2 asked me to get about -- to get at something that may make me 3 not a good juror or, you know, may make me slightly biased or 4 Iean one way or the other? Anybody have any concerns at all 5 about serving that they haven't yet had a chance to express? 6 (No response.) 7 MR. MANDLER: Okay. I'm going to -- thank you. 8 Yes, sir. 9 VENIREPERSON 16: That's a lot of words I don't 10 understand. Plaintiffs, I don't even know what that is. 11 And litigation, I don't know what that is either. 12 MR. MANDLER: Do you think that would make it 13 difficult for you to serve as a juror? 14 VENIREPERSON 16: Yes 15 MR. MANDLER: Thank you. I appreciate that. And 16 I do want to thank all of you for your time for coming in 17 today and the time for those of you who are left that you'll 18 spend with us over the next three weeks. 19 Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, we'll need to 21 take another recess to reduce your number to those who 22 actually serve on the jury. 23 Juror Number 21. 24 VENIREPERSON NUMBER 21: Can I talk to you for a 25 minute, Judge?

```
1
                THE COURT: Yes.
                                  Right afterwards as soon as
 2
     everybody leaves.
 3
                Juror Number 1.
 4
                VENIREPERSON 1: I need to speak with you as well.
                THE COURT: That's fine too.
 5
 6
                So otherwise I'll ask you if you'll go back down to
 7
     the jury assembly room, and we'll call you back up as soon as
 8
     possible as we reduce your number to those who will serve.
9
                And remember not to discuss this case among
10
     yourselves or with others during this recess.
11
                (Proceedings resumed in open court outside the
12
     presence of the jury.)
                THE COURT: Lead counsel then come up.
13
14
                Juror 1, come up.
15
                (Proceedings were held at sidebar, outside the
16
     hearing of the jury.)
17
                THE COURT: If you'll speak into the microphone
18
              You had something that you needed to discuss?
     there.
19
                VENIREPERSON 1: Yeah, I'm a -- I have a five-month
20
     old, and I'm still breast feeding, and I need to pump, which
21
     if I am chosen when we take breaks and stuff, I'll need like
22
     an area to do that.
23
                THE COURT: We can make that arrangement.
                                                           We've
24
     got facilities.
25
                VENIREPERSON 1: I'm sorry. I know it's
```

```
1
     complicated, but I have to.
 2
                THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
 3
               VENIREPERSON 1: That's the only thing.
 4
                THE COURT: We can accommodate you on that.
 5
               VENIREPERSON 1: And I'll try and do it as fast as
 6
     I can, but it usually takes me 20 minutes or so.
 7
               THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you for mentioning
 8
     that.
9
               0kay. 21.
10
                VENIREPERSON 21: Number 21, Rita Ragland, and I
11
     just wanted to mention that I'm a Limbaugh, and we're
12
     distantly related.
13
               THE COURT: Well, you've got to understand I'm not
14
     part of the lawsuit.
               VENIREPERSON 21: I just didn't know if it
15
16
     mattered. I just didn't know --
17
                THE COURT: Thank you.
18
               VENIREPERSON 21: -- if it had anything to, you
19
     know --
20
               THE COURT: I'm just the umpire.
21
                VENIREPERSON 21: I just wanted you to know so --
22
                THE COURT: Thank you.
23
                VENIREPERSON 21: -- if you're related to me and
24
     someone didn't know because I lived in Chester and just
25
     wanted to make sure it didn't make a difference.
```

```
1
               THE COURT: Thanks for mentioning that. Okay.
 2
     Thank you.
 3
               (Proceedings resumed in open court outside the
 4
     presence of the jury.)
 5
               THE COURT: Those who were at the bench with the
 6
     jurors why don't you have a seat. All right. I think at
 7
     this point everybody agrees that Juror Number 10 can be
 8
     excused?
9
               MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor.
10
               THE COURT: All right for plaintiffs?
11
               MR. RANDLES: Yes, Your Honor.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. Also Juror Number 16, he's
13
     really having trouble. He's Vietnamese. He doesn't
14
     understand the word litigation he said. Is that okay with
15
     everybody to strike Juror Number 16?
16
               MR. MANDLER: Yes, Your Honor.
17
               MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor.
18
               MR. RANDLES: Yes.
19
               THE COURT: Well, at this point I'll ask Plaintiffs
20
     for strikes for cause.
21
               MR. RANDLES: All right. Your Honor, can I have
22
     two minutes to confer?
23
               THE COURT: Yes.
24
               (A discussion was held off the record.)
25
               MR. RANDLES: Your Honor --
```

THE COURT: This is just strikes for cause.

MR. RANDLES: -- strikes for cause and a concern, but let me -- Juror 15, the teacher, you never got an unequivocal she could be fair. Her final response to Mr. Miller was I hope so. She said she would have an apprehension rendering a Plaintiffs' verdict.

THE COURT: Any objection to 15?

MR. MANDLER: We do object for a cause for strike, Your Honor. I think that she did that say she would listen to the evidence, and she would listen to the instructions and try to give a fair verdict, which is all we can ask of any of our jurors.

THE COURT: Yeah. We've got plenty of people left, so I'm going to strike her because of her involvement with Monsanto and because in all of her answers frankly she did say that she was going to have some discomfort, but you did rehabilitate her to some extent, and so I'm going to strike 15.

MR. RANDLES: Your Honor, I'm also concerned with the jurors who indicated they have a pesticide/herbicide license, and I think that may warrant further inquiry.

THE COURT: I am unwilling to do that, but you can use your peremptory strikes for those kind of people. I don't think they have any reason why they wouldn't be fair to both sides.

```
1
               All right. Strikes for cause for Monsanto. Your
 2
     Honor, the only other one that --
 3
               MR. MILLER: Well, Juror 25, at one point
 4
     Mr. Randles asked her if it --
 5
               THE COURT: She really said she didn't know
 6
     anything about anything.
                               She really didn't know -- she said
 7
     repeatedly she didn't know anything about dicamba. Is that
 8
     the one?
9
               MR. MILLER: At one point he asked her would the
10
     fact that your husband works with dicamba impact your
11
     thoughts in the case, and she said yes.
12
               THE COURT: I didn't that get that yes.
13
               MR. MANDLER: I think that may be right, but I
14
     think it was about one where she was trying to say, yes, I
15
     can be fair, but --
16
               MR. MILLER: Okay.
17
               THE COURT: Yeah. That's more my recollection.
18
               MR. MILLER: Okay. So I'll deny that one.
19
     Unless there's no objection. Plaintiffs, any objection?
20
               MR. RANDLES: No.
                                  She said she could be fair.
21
               THE COURT: All right. So that motion to strike
22
     will be overruled.
23
               Any others for Monsanto then?
24
               MR. MILLER: No, Your Honor.
25
               THE COURT: For BASF?
```

1 MR. MANDLER: No, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do some calculating. 3 So actually we had one jurors to start. We have now excused 4 13. So we're at 30. And so what I would propose is that 5 we'll use the first 16 that are left, and I'll excuse the 6 remaining ones. And that way Plaintiffs will get four 7 peremptory strikes, and each of the two Defendants will get 8 two each. So let me count who we're qualifying then for the 9 first 16. 10 So I think we qualify one, two, three, four, five, 11 seven, eight, nine, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19. How many do I have 12 now? 13 MR. MILLER: That's 13, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 19, 20 and 21 and 22. Did I get 15 that right? 16 MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. MR. MANDLER: Yes, Your Honor. 17 18 So I'll ask the Plaintiffs to THE COURT: Okay. 19 make your strikes first. And then you can notify the 20 Defendants for each of their two strikes. And then, counsel, 21 I think it shouldn't take too long. 22 And so what I'd like to do is get the jury sworn in 23 and let them go to lunch. And we'll come back, and I'll read 24 the instructions and do opening statements; okay?

We'll be in recess then.

25

All right.

1 (Proceedings stood in temporary recess.) 2 (Proceedings resumed in open court outside the 3 presence of the jury.) 4 THE COURT: Let me just confirm these jurors with 5 you. Juror Number 1 is Megan Cota. 2 is Patricia Sue Moll. 6 3 is Jacob Ralph Murdock. 4 is Jerry Lynn Caldwell. 7 Michelle L. Kimble. 6 is Christopher Davis. 7 is Carl W. 8 Wenskay. 8 is a Jaclyn Wood. 9 Counsel, did I get that right? 10 MR. RANDLES: Yes, Your Honor. 11 MR. MILLER: Yes, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: BASF? 13 MR. MANDLER: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we bring the jurors 15 in. We will seat them over there. And then like we did 16 we'll call their names one by one, and they'll be seated. 17 (A discussion was held off the record.) 18 THE COURT: Okay. I see we have one person who is 19 all the way in Ripley County. That's a hard two hours, so 20 but apparently she's going to spend the night, and we'll put 21 her up in a hotel, so that will be okay. All the others --22 MR. MOOK: Sorry to ask you to repeat this, but 23 which juror did you say is spending the night? 24 THE COURT: Jaclyn Wood, Number 8. She's from 25 Ripley County way way far away.

1 MR. MOOK: Thank you. 2 THE COURT: All the others are close, I mean, 3 within -- Dexter is the farthest, I think. Two from Dexter: 4 So since she -- Number 8 is spending night I think we can go, 5 if necessary, to 5:30 sometimes at night. 6 I haven't even looked at your proposed introductory 7 instructions. I've read them straight from the book. 8 (Proceedings resumed in open court.) 9 THE COURT: Be seated. Please take a seat in the 10 jury box at the direction of the Court Clerk as your name is 11 called. 12 COURT CLERK: Juror Number 1 is Megan Cota. 13 Megan, I need you to come and sit in this fourth one from the 14 left over here right in the middle. Number 2, Patricia 15 Moll. Number 3 Jacob Murdock. Number 4, Jerry Caldwell. 16 JUROR NUMBER 1: I'm sorry, where did you want me 17 to sit? 18 COURT CLERK: Just come over right here, and I'll 19 point you in the right direction. This chair right here. 20 Number 5 is Michelle Kimble. Number 6 is 21 Christopher Davis. I need you to go around this way. 22 need you right in this chair. Number 7 is Carl Wenskay. 23 Number 8 is Jaclyn Wood. 24 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, those of who you 25 are not selected I want to thank you for your service.

You'll be paid for the full day.

This is a public proceeding, and so you are welcome to watch any part or all of this trial as you may wish, but, otherwise, I thank you again for your service, and you are excused at this time.

Members of the jury, please stand and be sworn in by the Court clerk.

(Jury Sworn.)

THE COURT: All right. The first order of business is to have a lunch break. So thank you for your patience so far. I'll ask you to come back at 1:30, and we'll get started. And then should they go to the jury assembly room?

COURT CLERK: I'm going to take them back there and take them and get their badges right now so they can go directly to the jury room.

THE COURT: Okay. After your return.

Each day we'll try to go to 5:00 or maybe 5:30. I know one of you is from Ripley County, but you're going to stay in a hotel so that should take care of any problems.

JUROR NUMBER 8: No. I'm going to drive.

THE COURT: Oh, back and forth?

Oh, you know that we do have funds to pay for \$150 per diem that doesn't include -- does that include mileage too?

1 COURT CLERK: No, that doesn't include mileage.

JUROR NUMBER 8: I only can do that for a couple of days. I don't have that much money to do that every single day.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, so you do get your mileage and a per diem to stay at a hotel, though.

JUROR NUMBER 8: Okay.

THE COURT: What's your drive time, two hours?

JUROR NUMBER 8: Yeah, about two hours.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll still try to go from 5:00 or 5:30, and hopefully that won't be too inconvenient for you.

You'll be on your own for lunch each day. Feel free to visit one of the downtown restaurants. I will give you a list of instructions. I'll read those to you when we start the trial and you return at 1:30.

And then we'll go over all the rules that you have to abide by, but one of those rules is that you must not discuss this case among yourselves or with others or permit anyone to discuss it in your presence. And do not discuss the case with anyone until it's finally given to you to decide.

And so I'll elaborate on that to some great length when you come back, but, in any event, thank you for your patience.

```
You're excused for lunch. And come back -- she'll
 1
 2
     show you where to come in an hour, and we'll start the trial
 3
     at that time.
 4
                (Proceedings resumed in open court outside the
 5
     presence of the jury.)
 6
               THE COURT: Any matters for Plaintiff before we
 7
     take our lunch recess?
8
                MR. RANDLES: No.
                THE COURT: For Defendants?
9
10
                MR. MILLER: No, Your Honor.
               THE COURT: Okay. We'll reconvene at 1:30. Court
11
12
     is in recess.
13
                (A lunch recess was taken.)
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I, Alison M. Garagnani, Registered Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, hereby certify that I am a duly appointed Official Court Reporter of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings held in the above-entitled case and that said transcript is a true and correct transcription of my stenographic notes.

I further certify that this transcript contains

pages 1 through 122 inclusive and that this reporter takes no
responsibility for missing or damaged pages of this

transcript when same transcript is copied by any party other
than this reporter.

Dated Cape Girardeau, Missouri, this 28th day of January, 2020.

/s/Alison M. Garagnani Alison M. Garagnani, CCR, CSR, RMR, CRR Official Court Reporter