

Message

From: MAGIN, KIMBERLY M [AG/1000] [/o=Monsanto/ou=NA-1000-01/cn=Recipients/cn=38671]
on behalf of MAGIN, KIMBERLY M [AG/1000] [/o=monsanto/ou=na-1000-01/cn=recipients/cn=38671]
Sent: 3/7/2016 3:05:15 PM
To: CHANEY, ROBERT CHET [AG/1000] [robert.chet.chaney@monsanto.com]
Subject: RE: infractions

I KEEP HEARING SOON....

From: CHANEY, ROBERT CHET [AG/1000]
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2016 2:41 PM
To: MAGIN, KIMBERLY M [AG/1000]
Subject: RE: infractions

I understand. I thought it might help show commitment to stewardship in the eyes of regulatory officials.

Any guess on comment period??

From: MAGIN, KIMBERLY M [AG/1000]
Sent: Monday, March 07, 2016 2:39 PM
To: CHANEY, ROBERT CHET [AG/1000]
Cc: SIMPSON, DUANE J [AG/1000]
Subject: Re: infractions

Chet, the challenge is this: pulling a license will pull for all crops and all traits, we cannot just target Xtend.

Sent from my iPad

On Mar 7, 2016, at 2:37 PM, CHANEY, ROBERT CHET [AG/1000] <robert.chet.chaney@monsanto.com> wrote:

The chairman of the pesticide committee & I had a conversation last week. He would like to see Monsanto pull the license of a grower who makes an application of dicamba to Xtend crops before a federal chemistry label is granted. Making this policy known prior to planting would be a strong deterrent against off label applications. I know this is extreme, but he makes a valid argument. The plant board is considering increasing the fines from \$1K / infraction to \$3K. Realistically the increase in fines won't eliminate off label applications. Blocking growers from buying our Xtend products in the future would go further than the fines.

Just something to consider.

Chet Chaney
Technical Agronomist
Monsanto