

From: Jon Entine <jon@jonentine.com>
Date: Sat, 08 Aug 2015 13:59:56 EDT
To: Kevin Folta <kfolta@ufl.edu>
CC:
BCC:
Subject: Fwd: Curious

Jon Entine

- Exec Director, Genetic Literacy Project
- Sr Fellow, World Food Center, University of California-Davis

GeneticLiteracyProject.org
(513) 319-8388

Begin forwarded message:

From: Keith Kloor <keith.kloor@gmail.com>
Date: August 8, 2015 at 1:57:09 PM EDT
To: Jon Entine <jon@jonentine.com>
Subject: Re: Curious

The story was excruciating to write. I agonized over it all week. But your comments have been echoed by others, who feel the same thing--that it was very fair and scrupulously reported. And yes, others--including Kevin--are rankled at that "close ties" line you mention.

First of all, it was not in the headline or dek (unless you are looking at a different story than me). Secondly, based on my reading of the emails, it is arguable whether close ties is the right descriptor. Kevin objects to it and I think it is a fair objection. In my defense, I didn't write that--it was added in the final edits, which we were going through very quickly in the last hours and I was on the road. So I didn't see the final--final draft just before it went online.

You and I should also talk. You are in the emails.

K

On Sat, Aug 8, 2015 at 1:26 PM, Jon Entine <jon@jonentine.com> wrote:

Very fair story. Must have been hard to write because you know and respect Kevin. Well executed.

Curious though: Wonder why 'close ties' was used in headline. It's both incorrect and inflammatory. Reflects poorly on what otherwise was first class reporting.

Jon