

**From:** Keith Kloor <keith.kloor@gmail.com>

**Date:** Mon, 11 May 2015 09:50:03 EDT

**To:** Jon Entine <jon@jonentine.com>

**CC:** Bruce M Chassy <bchassy@icloud.com>, Kevin Folta <kfolta@ufl.edu>, Stephanie Gorski <slgorski@ncsu.edu>, "jsax@cwsl.edu" <jsax@cwsl.edu>, "Guy.Cardineau@asu.edu" <Guy.Cardineau@asu.edu>

**BCC:**

**Subject:** Re: shifting focus of evening discussion on FOIA at Biotech conference

**Attachments:**

---

Jon, sounds good to me.

question: are these Chatam house rules, or is discussion on record?

K

Sent from my iPhone

On May 11, 2015, at 9:34 AM, Jon Entine <[jon@jonentine.com](mailto:jon@jonentine.com)> wrote:

There has been some discussion about this panel at the upcoming BLP conference:

**Dinner Discussion: FOI Challenges:** Bruce Chassy (moderator), Keith Kloor, Kevin Folta, Joanna Sax, Guy Cardineau

It is now targeted as a discussion about FOI, but we think we might broaden the discussion to address the legal issues and threats around GMO foods, and not just focus on the FOIA situation. This would cover FOIA, mandatory labeling, and legal and personal threats against journalists and scientists by activists, from Mike Adams to the Food Babe to random 'hit' bloggers. Other legal issues might be on the table as well. The style would be informal (unless someone has specific power point slides they would like to present, then that's great), with the goal of engaging the entire group.

Does this sound like a reasonable switch of focus?

Jon Entine