

**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA  
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

**Document Scanning Lead Sheet**

Jun-26-2018 10:46 am

Case Number: CGC-16-550128

Filing Date: Jun-22-2018 10:45

Filed by: LINDA FONG

Image: 06391682


ORDER

DEWAYNE JOHNSON VS. MONSANTO COMPANY ET AL

001C06391682

**Instructions:**

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

MEDIA AGENCY (name): Courtroom View Network CHANNEL/FREQUENCY NO.: http://www.cvn.com PERSON SUBMITTING REQUEST (name): David Siegel ADDRESS: 274 West 115, 3D, New York City, NY 10026  TELEPHONE NO.: 646-712-2968	FOR COURT USE ONLY  <b>FILED</b> Superior Court of California County of San Francisco  JUN 22 2018  CLERK OF THE COURT BY:  Deputy Clerk
Insert name of court and name of the judicial district and branch court, if any. San Francisco County Superior Court	
TITLE OF CASE: Johnson v. Monsanto	
NAME OF JUDGE: The Honorable Suzanne Ramos Bolanos, Dept. 504	
<b>ORDER ON MEDIA REQUEST TO PERMIT COVERAGE</b>	CASE NUMBER: CGC16550128

AGENCY MAKING THE REQUEST (name): Courtroom View Network


- a.  No hearing was held.  
 b.  Date of hearing: 6/20/18 Time: 9:30 a.m. Dept./Div.: 504 Room:
- The court considered all the relevant factors listed in subdivision (e)(3) of California Rules of Court, rule 1.150 (see reverse).
- THE COURT FINDS (findings or a statement of decision are optional):  Attached  As follows:

THE COURT ORDERS

- This request to photograph, record, or broadcast is:
  - denied
  - granted subject to the conditions in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court, AND the following:
    - The local rules of this court regulating media activity outside the courtroom (copy attached).
    - The order of the presiding or supervising judge regulating media activity outside the courtroom (copy attached).
    - Payment to the clerk of increased court-incurred costs of (specify):  to be determined.
    - The media agency shall demonstrate to the court the proposed personnel and equipment comply with California Rules of Court, rule 1.150, and any local rule or order.
    - Personnel and equipment shall be placed  as directed  as indicated in the attachment  as follows:  
 TBD in conjunction w/ Judge Bolanos + Ann Donlan
    - (i)  The attached statement of agreed pooling arrangements is approved.  
 (ii)  A statement of agreed pooling arrangements satisfactory to the court shall be filed before coverage begins.
    - This order
      - shall not apply to allow coverage of proceeding that are continued.
      - shall apply to allow coverage of proceedings that are continued.
    - Other (specify):  
 Recording is permitted only for openings, closings, taking of the verdict and attorney mini-statements during jury selection.
- Coverage granted in item 4b is permitted in the following proceedings:
  - All proceedings, except those prohibited by California Rules of Court, rule 1.150, and those proceedings prohibited by further court order.
  - Only the following proceedings (specify type or date or both):
- The order made on (date): is  terminated  modified as follows (specify):

7.  Number of pages attached:

Date: 6/22/18

  
 JUDICIAL OFFICER  
 Suzanne R. Bolanos

(See reverse for additional information)

JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

CASE NAME:

Johnson v. Monsanto

CASE NUMBER:

CGC16550128

**FACTORS CONSIDERED BY THE JUDGE IN MAKING THIS ORDER (Rule 1.150)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Importance of maintaining public trust and confidence in the judicial system</li> <li>2. Importance of promoting access to the judicial system</li> <li>3. Parties' support of or opposition to the request</li> <li>4. Nature of the case</li> <li>5. Privacy rights of all participants in the proceeding, including witnesses, jurors, and victims</li> <li>6. Effect on any minor who is a party, prospective witness, victim, or other participant in the proceeding</li> <li>7. Effect on the parties' ability to select a fair and unbiased jury</li> <li>8. Effect on any ongoing law enforcement activity in the case</li> <li>9. Effect on any unresolved identification issues</li> <li>10. Effect on any subsequent proceedings in the case</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>11. Effect of coverage on the willingness of witnesses to cooperate, including the risk that coverage will engender threats to the health or safety of any witness</li> <li>12. Effect on excluded witnesses who would have access to the televised testimony of prior witnesses.</li> <li>13. Scope of the coverage whether partial coverage might unfairly influence or distract the jury</li> <li>14. Difficulty of jury selection if a mistrial is declared</li> <li>15. Security and dignity of the court</li> <li>16. Undue administrative or financial burden to the court or participants</li> <li>17. Interference with neighboring courtrooms</li> <li>18. Maintaining orderly conduct of the proceeding</li> <li>19. Any other factor the judge deems relevant</li> </ol> |
|--|---|

**PROHIBITED COVERAGE (RULE 1.150)**

This order does not permit photographing, recording, or broadcasting of the following in court:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The jury or the spectators</li> <li>2. Jury selection</li> <li>3. A conference between an attorney and a client, witness, or aide</li> <li>4. A conference between attorneys</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. A conference between counsel and the judge at the bench ("sidebars")</li> <li>6. A proceeding closed to the public</li> <li>7. A proceeding held in chambers</li> </ol> |
|---|---|

**MEDIA PERSONNEL AND EQUIPMENT (Rule 1.150)**

NOTE: These requirements apply unless the judge orders otherwise. Refer to the order for additional requirements.

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. No more than one television camera</li> <li>2. No more than one still photographer</li> <li>3. No more than one microphone operator and no obtrusive microphone or wiring</li> <li>4. No operator entry or exit or other distraction when the court is in session</li> <li>5. No moving equipment when the court is in session</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>6. No distracting sounds or lights</li> <li>7. No visible signal light or device that shows when equipment is operating</li> <li>8. No disruption of proceedings, nor public expense, to install, operate, or remove modifications to existing sound and lighting systems</li> <li>9. No media agency insignia or marking on equipment or clothing</li> </ol> |
|---|--|

**SANCTIONS FOR VIOLATING THIS ORDER (Rule 1.150)**

Any violation of this order or rule 1.150 is unlawful interference with the proceedings of the court. The violation may result in an order terminating media coverage, a citation for contempt of court, or an order imposing monetary or other sanctions.