



**SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

Document Scanning Lead Sheet

Jun-26-2018 10:37 am

Case Number: CGC-16-550128

Filing Date: Jun-25-2018 10:36

Filed by: LINDA FONG

Image: 06391620


ORDER

DEWAYNE JOHNSON VS. MONSANTO COMPANY ET AL

001C06391620

Instructions:

Please place this sheet on top of the document to be scanned.

MEDIA AGENCY (name): Agence France Presse (AFP) CHANNEL/FREQUENCY NO.: PERSON SUBMITTING REQUEST (name): Robyn Beck ADDRESS: 6430 W Sunset Blvd #712 Los Angeles CA 90028 TELEPHONE NO.: 2137135885	FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED Superior Court of California County of San Francisco JUN 25 2018 CLERK OF THE COURT BY:  Deputy Clerk CASE NUMBER: CGC16550128
Insert name of court and name of judicial district and branch court, if any: Superior Court of California, San Francisco County, Civic Center Cou	
TITLE OF CASE: Dewayne Johnson v. Monsanto Company, et al.	
NAME OF JUDGE: Suzanne Bolanos	
ORDER ON MEDIA REQUEST TO PERMIT COVERAGE	

AGENCY MAKING REQUEST (name):

1. a. No hearing was held.
 b. Date of hearing: Time: Dept./Div.: Room:
2. The court considered all the relevant factors listed in subdivision (e)(3) of California Rules of Court, rule 1.150 (see reverse).
3. **THE COURT FINDS** (findings or a statement of decision are optional): Attached As follows:

THE COURT ORDERS

4. The request to photograph, record, or broadcast is
- a. denied.
- b. **granted** subject to the conditions in rule 1.150, California Rules of Court, **AND** the following:
- (1) The local rules of this court regulating media activity outside the courtroom (copy attached).
 - (2) The order of the presiding or supervising judge regulating media activity outside the courtroom (copy attached).
 - (3) Payment to the clerk of increased court- incurred costs of (specify): \$ to be determined.
 - (4) The media agency shall demonstrate to the court that the proposed personnel and equipment comply with California Rules of Court, rule 1.150, and any local rule or order.
 - (5) Personnel and equipment shall be placed as directed as indicated in the attachment as follows (specify):
- (6) (i) The attached statement of agreed pooling arrangements is approved.
 - (ii) A statement of agreed pooling arrangements satisfactory to the court shall be filed before coverage begins.
 - (7) This order
 - (i) shall not apply to allow coverage of proceedings that are continued.
 - (ii) shall apply to allow coverage of proceedings that are continued.
 - (8) Other (specify):
Pool still camera allowed for openings, closings and taking of the verdict.
5. Coverage granted in item 4b is permitted in the following proceedings:
- a. All proceedings, except those prohibited by California Rules of Court, rule 1.150, and those proceedings prohibited by further court order.
- b. Only the following proceedings (specify type or date or both):

6. The order made on (date): *6/25/18* is terminated modified as follows (specify):

7. Number of pages attached:

Date: JUN 25 2018

(See reverse for additional information)

JUDGE
Suzanne R. Bolanos

CASE NAME: _____	CASE NUMBER: CGC16550128
-------------------------	---------------------------------

FACTORS CONSIDERED BY THE JUDGE IN MAKING THIS ORDER (Rule 1.150)

- | | |
|---|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Importance of maintaining public trust and confidence in the judicial system 2. Importance of promoting public access to the judicial system 3. Parties' support of or opposition to the request 4. Nature of the case 5. Privacy rights of all participants in the proceeding, including witnesses, jurors, and victims 6. Effect on any minor who is a party, prospective witness, victim, or other participant in the proceeding 7. Effect on the parties' ability to select a fair and unbiased jury 8. Effect on any ongoing law enforcement activity in the case 9. Effect on any unresolved identification issues 10. Effect on any subsequent proceedings in the case | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 11. Effect of coverage on the willingness of witnesses to cooperate, including the risk that coverage will engender threats to the health or safety of any witness 12. Effect on excluded witnesses who would have access to the televised testimony of prior witnesses 13. Scope of the coverage and whether partial coverage might unfairly influence or distract the jury 14. Difficulty of jury selection if a mistrial is declared 15. Security and dignity of the court 16. Undue administrative or financial burden to the court or participants 17. Interference with neighboring courtrooms 18. Maintaining orderly conduct of the proceeding 19. Any other factor the judge deems relevant |
|---|--|

PROHIBITED COVERAGE (Rule 1.150)

This order does not permit photographing, recording, or broadcasting of the following in the court:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The jury or the spectators 2. Jury selection 3. A conference between an attorney and a client, witness, or aide 4. A conference between attorneys | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. A conference between counsel and the judge at the bench ("sidebars") 6. A proceeding closed to the public 7. A proceeding held in chambers |
|---|---|

MEDIA PERSONNEL AND EQUIPMENT (Rule 1.150)

NOTE: These requirements apply unless the judge orders otherwise. Refer to the order for additional requirements.

- | | |
|--|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. No more than one television camera 2. No more than one still photographer 3. No more than one microphone operator and no obtrusive microphones or wiring 4. No operator entry or exit or other distraction when the court is in session 5. No moving equipment when the court is in session | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 6. No distracting sounds or lights 7. No visible signal light or device that shows when equipment is operating 8. No disruption of proceedings, nor public expense, to install, operate, or remove modifications to existing sound and lighting systems 9. No media agency insignia or marking on equipment or clothing |
|--|--|

SANCTIONS FOR VIOLATING THIS ORDER (Rule 1.150)

Any violation of this order or rule 1.150 is an unlawful interference with the proceedings of the court. The violation may result in an order terminating media coverage, a citation for contempt of court, or an order imposing monetary or other sanctions.