1 2	Michael J. Miller (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>) Timothy Litzenburg (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>) Curtis G. Hoke (State Bar No. 282465)) ELECTRONICALLY	
3	The Miller Firm, LLC 108 Railroad Ave.	FILED	
4	Orange, VA 22960 (540) 672-4224 phone; (540) 672-3055 fax	Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco	
5	mmiller@millerfirmllc.com tlitzenburg@millerfirmllc.com	06/12/2018 Clerk of the Court BY:VANESSA WU	
6	choke@millerfirmllc.com	Deputy Clerk	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff DEWAYNE JOHNSON		
8			
9			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
12	DEWAYNE JOHNSON,	Case No. CGC-16-550128	
13	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF HIS	
14	V.	MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 15 TO EXCLUDE	
15	MONSANTO COMPANY, STEVEN D.	EVIDENCE, TESTIMONY AND ARGUMENT RELATING TO PLAINTIFF'S	
16	GOULD, WILBUR-ELLIS COMPANY LLC, and WILBUR-ELLIS FEED, LLC,	EXPOSURE TO HENRY'S WET PATCH ROOF CEMENT	
17	Defendants.	Trial Judge: TBD	
18		Trial Date: June 18, 2018	
19		Time: 9:30 a.m.	
20		Department: TBD	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

I. **ARGUMENT**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

In its response to Mr. Johnson's Motion, Monsanto argues that if Mr. Johnson is allowed to testify that he believes that his exposure to glyphosate-based products caused his mycosis fungoides, then it should be allowed to introduce evidence of chemicals that Mr. Johnson believes may have caused his squamous cell carcinoma. Monsanto should not be allowed to introduce to the jury irrelevant and speculative evidence.

There is no evidence that Henry's Cement causes, or is associated in any way, to NHL, mycosis fungoides, or squamous cell carcinoma. As such, the only purpose for introducing evidence of Mr. Johnson's exposure to Henry's Cement would be to suggest and infer that it caused Mr. Johnson's NHL. Without any evidence of an association between Henry's cement and NHL, mycosis fungoides, or squamous cell carcinoma., and no expert to opine that Mr. Johnson's exposure is relevant, this evidence or argument would be irrelevant and entirely speculative. Unrelated chemical exposure has no relevance to causation or damages in this case. Its introduction would only serve to confuse the jury – hearing evidence on the carcinogenic nature of a chemical without expert testimony to support such a conclusion Such speculative evidence would be wasteful of the Court's time and resources. The Court should appropriately limit any evidence, argument, and testimony relating to Plaintiff's exposure to Henry's Wet Patch Cement.

Respectfully submitted, Dated: June 12, 2018

THE MILLER FIRM, LLC

21 By: /s/ Curtis G. Hoke 22.

Michael J. Miller (appearance pro hac vice) Timothy Litzenburg (appearance pro hac vice) Curtis G. Hoke (State Bar No. 282465)

THE MILLER FIRM, LLC

108 Railroad Ave. Orange, VA 22960

(540) 672-4224 phone; (540) 672-3055 fax

mmiller@millerfirmllc.com tlitzenburg@millerfirmllc.com choke@millerfirmllc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff DEWAYNE JOHNSON

27

26

28

:1.	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	I, Curtis G. Hoke, declare as follows:		
3.			
4	I am a citizen of the United States and am employed in Orange County, Virginia. I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action. My business address is 108 Railroad		
5	Avenue, Orange, Virginia 22960. On June 12, 2018 , I served the following documents by the method indicated below:		
6	PLAINTIFF'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF HIS MOTION IN LIMINE NO. 15 TO		
7	EXCLUDE EVIDENCE, TESTIMONY AND ARGUMENT RELATING TO PLAINTIFF'S EXPOSURE TO HENRY'S WET PATCH ROOF CEMENT		
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15	By Electronically Serving the document(s) described above via LexisNexis File & Serv		
16	by 7:00 p.m. Pacific Standard Time on all parties appearing on the LexisNexis File & Serve		
17	service list.		
18	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST		
19	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the abov		
20	is true and correct.		
21			
22	Executed on this June 12, 2018 at Orange, Virginia.		
23			
24	Curtis G. Hoke, Declarant		
25 26			
27			
28	PROOF OF SERVICE		

Johnson v. Monsanto Company, et al. San Francisco Superior Court Case No.: CGC-16-550128

1

2

27

28

SERVICE LIST

3	SERVICE LIST		
4	George C. Lombardi, Esq.	Counsel for Defendant	
5	James M. Hilmert, Esq.		
	WINSTON & STRAWN LLP	Served electronically Via Lexis Nexis	
6	35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601	File&Serve Xpress	
7	Tel: (312) 558-5969		
8	Fax: (312) 558-5700		
	glombard@winston.com		
9	jhilmert@winston.com		
10	Joe G. Hollingsworth, Esq.	Counsel for Defendant	
11	Eric G. Lasker, Esq.		
	Martin C. Calhoun, Esq.	Served electronically via Lexis Nexis	
12	Kirby T. Griffis, Esq. William J. Cople III, Esq.	File&Serve Xpress	
13	HOLLINGSWORTH LLP		
14	1350 I Street, N.W.		
14	Washington, DC 20005		
15	Tel: (202) 898-5800		
16	Fax: (202) 682-1639 jhollingsworth@hollingsworthllp.com		
	elasker@hollingsworthlp.com		
17	mcalhoun@hollingsworthllp.com		
18	kgriffis@hollingsworthllp.com		
19	wcople@hollingsworthllp.com		
20	Sandra A. Edwards, Esq.	Counsel for Defendant	
21	Joshua W. Malone, Esq.		
22	Farella Braun + Martel LLP	Served electronically via Lexis Nexis	
	235 Montgomery Street, 17 th Floor San Francisco, California 94104	File&Serve Xpress	
23	Tel: (415) 95404400		
24	Fax: (415) 954-4480		
25	sedwards@fbm.com		
25	jmalone@fbm.com		
26			
	1		

PROOF OF SERVICE