1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Timothy Litzenburg (appearance pro hac vice) Curtis G. Hoke (State Bar No. 282465) THE MILLER FIRM, LLC 108 Railroad Ave. Orange, VA 22960 Telephone: (540) 672-4224 Facsimile: (540) 672-3055 tlitzenburg@millerfirmllc.com choke@millerfirmllc.com  Attorneys for Plaintiff DEWAYNE JOHNSON	ELECTRONICALLY FILED Superior Court of California County of San Francisco 06/07/2018 Clerk of the Court BY:VANESSA WU Deputy Clerk
9   10	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11	COUNTY OF SA	N FRANCISCO
12	DEWAYNE JOHNSON,	Case No.: CGC-16-550128
13	Plaintiffs,	
14	vs.	PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MONSANTO'S MOTION IN LIMINE
15	MONSANTO COMPANY,	NO. 10 TO EXCLUDE BENBROOK'S OPINIONS REGARDING PERSONAL
16	Defendant.	PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT
17		
18		Trial Judge: TBD
19		Trial Date: June 18, 2018 Time: 9:00 a.m.
20		Department: TBD
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	1	

PLAINTIFF'S OPPOSITION TO MONSANTO'S MIL 10

# **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Monsanto's Motion to Exclude Dr. Benbrook's opinion regarding personal protective equipment is factually incorrect. Dewayne Johnson was constantly exposed to RangerPro through mist hitting his face, neck, and body through the porous Tyvek suit. Dewayne Johnson did wear more protective gear than required on the RangerPro label, but unfortunately far less protective gear than was necessary to keep him safe.

## II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Mr. Johnson was constantly exposed to RangerPro due to his heavy use of the product as an Integrated Pest Manager. As described by Plaintiff's exposure expert Dr. Sawyer:

Although he wore a Tyvek jumpsuit, boots, mask and goggles, the suit was not sealed and there was an opening at the arms, legs and neck. "All my face... ears, neck, all that is exposed." (pg. 80)

As discussed in detail earlier in this report, Mr. Johnson mixed the Ranger Pro as per the pamphlet using 10 ounces of product to 5 gallons of water. However, he notes that the formulation could be stronger in certain applications. He has also testified spraying between 20-40 pesticide applications yearly (for 2-5 hours), but deposition testimony reports a higher application frequency for more than 2 years prior to his T-cell lymphoma diagnosis.

Mr. Johnson also details high level, severe (upper body soaking) acute exposures. Mr. Johnson testified that he experienced drift exposure "all the time." There was no way to control the drift even by spraying on calm days or changing out nozzles to increase droplet size. During spraying, Mr. Johnson used personal protective equipment including gloves, boots, a "sweatshirt-type hoodie," a permeable Tyvek suit, hat and goggles. (Although Mr. Johnson wore a Tyvek suit, it was apparently the fine cloth type as he explained that drift passed through it leaving him wet).

During Mr. Johnson's first high level, acute exposure, he testified that he was soaked with glyphosate when a hose broke off the 50 gallon pump unit and he attempted to access the unit to shut it off. "The hose came [dis-attached] from the back of the truck and it was just juice everywhere, flying out the back of the truck. So that's when I had to

hop in because the switches are in the back. There's no safety switch there ... I reach in the back there and turn it off and that's when I got it on the back of my neck and the back of my head and everywhere and on my face."

Sawyer Report, pp.

Dr. Benbrook, after reviewing the facts specific to Dewayne Johnson, the documents in this case, and his independent research has reached the opinion that Monsanto knew yet failed to warn handheld spray operators, like Johnson, of the increased risk of exposure. As Dr. Benbrook notes:

Government statistics, and the records in this case, show that over the years, a highly disproportionate share of the glyphosate-related, worker-safety poisoning and illness episodes arise from hand-held, backpack, or other application methods that result in markedly higher exposures and risks than typical, larger-scale applications of Roundup... The sizable differential in routine, expected exposures between ag and many nonag uses of glyphosate arises because of the proximity of people using a hand held or backpack sprayer to the spray solution, their need to walk within and through an area that was sprayed just minutes before, the absence of a barrier or shield to protect them from spray drift, and the absence of an air filtration system (since a respirator is not required on any Roundup labels). Since the 1980s, Monsanto has known that individuals applying a glyphosatebased herbicide through a hand-held or backpack sprayer face markedly higher exposures and risks, especially on windy days. The company has also known that risks are higher for individuals that apply the herbicide for many days a year and/or many hours during a given day,

Report at 6-7.

Dr. Benbrook identified several ways that Johnson's exposure and thus risk of Cancer could have. Dr. Benbrook noted that meeting minutes from an internal meeting with outside consultants in 2008, acknowledge that "Label recommendations for hand held spraying should include recommendation for using shields and not walking through the spray or sprayed area." *Id.* at p. 111. Johnson did not wear a face shield and did walk through the sprayed area because Monsanto failed to warn him of these safety precautions. Monsanto knew that exposure is

minimized when hand held operators walk perpendicular to the wind, yet failed to advise Mr.

Johnson of this simple safety precaution. *Id.* at 113. In the UK, Monsanto had warned hand held operators to wear respirators when using glyphosate based herbicides in situations with heavy face exposure. *Id.* at 106. Mr. Johnson did not receive this warning, although he did start wearing a respirator after developing NHL, which was too late. Monsanto could have prescribed "stricter worker protection measures as a function of how many hours in a work week, or over a year, that a person sprays glyphosate herbicides as part of their job." P. 7. Mr. Johnson received no such additional warning.

Mr. Johnson was certainly a conscientious employee and clearly would have followed the extra precautions identified by Dr. Benbrook if

## IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this honorable Court DENY Monsanto's Motion *in limine* No. 10.

DATED: June 7, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

#### THE MILLER FIRM, LLC

By: /s/ Curtis G. Hoke
Curtis G. Hoke (SBN 282465)
Timethy Litzanhurg (appearan

Timothy Litzenburg (appearance pro hac vice)

## THE MILLER FIRM, LLC

108 Railroad Ave. Orange, VA 22960 (540) 672-4224 phone (540) 672-3055 fax tlitzenburg@millerfirmllc.com choke@millerfirmllc.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff