1	1		
1	Sandra A. Edwards (State Bar No. 154578)		
2	Joshua W. Malone (State Bar No. 301836) Farella Braun + Martel LLP	ELECTRONICALLY	
3	235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	FILED	
4	Telephone: (415) 954-4400; Fax: (415) 954-4480 sedwards@fbm.com	Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco	
·	jmalone@fbm.com	06/07/2018 Clerk of the Court	
5	Joe G. Hollingsworth (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>)	BY:VANESSA WU Deputy Clerk	
6	Martin C. Calhoun (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>) Kirby T. Griffis (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>)		
7	William J. Cople (appearance <i>pro hac vice</i>) Hollingsworth LLP		
8	1350 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005		
9	Telephone: (202) 898-5800; Fax: (202) 682-1639		
10	jhollingsworth@hollingsworthllp.com mcalhoun@hollingsworthllp.com		
11	kgriffis@hollingsworthllp.com wcople@hollingsworthllp.com		
12	George C. Lombardi (appearance pro hac vice)		
13	James M. Hilmert (appearance pro hac vice) Winston & Strawn LLP 35 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60601 Telephone: (312) 558-5969; Fax: (312) 558-5700 glombard@winston.com		
14			
15			
	jhilmert@winston.com		
16	Attorneys for Defendant		
17	MONSÁNTO COMPANY		
18	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
19	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
20	DEWAYNE JOHNSON,	Case No. CGC-16-550128	
21	·		
22	Plaintiff,	MONSANTO COMPANY'S OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION IN LIMINE	
23	VS.	NO. 12 TO EXCLUDE ANY ARGUMENT AND TESTIMONY THAT EPA	
24	MONSANTO COMPANY,	REGISTRATION PRECLUDED MONSANTO FROM WARNING OF THE	
25	Defendant.	RISK OF NON-HODGKIN'S LYMPHOMA	
26		Trial Date: June 18, 2018	
27		Time: 9:30 a.m. Department: TBD	
28			
1	1		

Farella Braun + Martel LLP 235 Montgomery Street, 17th Floor San Francisco, California 94104 (415) 954-4400 34812\6724011.1

Plaintiff Dewayne Johnson ("Plaintiff") relies on this Court's order regarding preemption in an attempt to preclude Defendant Monsanto Company ("Monsanto") from presenting evidence of the company's compliance with standards under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA"). *See* Pl.'s Mot. at 2. Plaintiff's motion consists mainly of rehashing his arguments from the summary judgment briefing on preemption. But Plaintiff's motion ignores the fact that evidence related to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and FIFRA is relevant to issues other than preemption.

While this Court found that Monsanto cannot rely on its compliance with FIFRA as a complete defense to liability, compliance with EPA standards does absolve Monsanto from charges of negligence per se. See Amos v. Alpha Prop. Mgmt., 73 Cal. App. 4th 895, 901 (1999) (noting that compliance with standards is "relevant to show due care"). California courts have repeatedly acknowledged the relevance of regulatory compliance in tort litigation. For example, in Carlin v. Superior Court, 13 Cal. 4th 1104, 1114-1115 (1996), the Court rejected the plaintiff's argument that "FDA regulations are essentially irrelevant in a common law action for failure to warn." Though the court in Carlin, much like this Court, found that the strict liability standard for failure to warn for prescription drugs was not inconsistent with federal regulatory policy, the court recognized that "evidence of compliance with FDA requirements is admissible as relevant evidence in a strict liability case on the issue whether a pharmaceutical manufacturer failed to provide adequate warnings." Id. (citing Hatfield v. Sandoz-Wander, Inc., 124 Ill.App.3d 780, 787 (1984)). To wit, Plaintiff even admits that "EPA's registration of [Monsanto's glyphosate-containing herbicides] may be admissible on the question of whether Monsanto's warnings were adequate." See Pl.'s Mot. at 2 n.2.

1	For the foregoing reasons, Monsanto respectfully requests that this Court deny Plaintiff's	
2	motion and permit Monsanto to present evidence of the EPA registration of its pesticides.	
3	niewon una permit rizonounte to present e	Traches of the Elitingshallon of its pessiones.
4	Dated: June 7, 2018	Respectfully submitted,
5		FARELLA BRAUN + MARTEL LLP
6		Jan - Elvas
7		By: Sandra A. Edwards
8		
9		Attorneys for Defendant MONSANTO COMPANY
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		

28