Case 3:16-md-02741-VC Document 610 Filed 10/13/17 Page 1 of 9

1	WEITZ & LUXENBERG, PC	HOLLINGSWORTH LLP	
2	Robin L. Greenwald (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 700 Broadway	Joe G. Hollingsworth (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Eric G. Lasker (<i>pro hac vice</i>)	
3	New York, NY 10003	Heather A. Pigman (pro hac vice) 1350 I Street, N.W.	
3	Tel: 212-558-5802	Washington, DC 20005	
4	Email: rgreenwald@weitzlux.com	Tel: 202-898-5800	
5	ANDRUS WAGSTAFF, PC	Fax: 202-682-1639 Email: jhollingsworth@hollingsworthllp.com	
6	Aimee H. Wagstaff	elasker@hollingsworthllp.com hpigman@hollingsworthllp.com	
	7171 West Alaska Drive	npigman@nonnigsworump.com	
7	Lakewood, CO 80226 Tel: 303-376-6360	Attorneys for Defendant MONSANTO COMPANY	
8	Email: aimee.wagstaff@andruswagstaff.com	MONSANTO COMI ANT	
9	THE MILLER FIRM, LLC		
	Michael J. Miller (pro hac vice)		
10	108 Railroad Ave		
11	Orange, VA 22960 Tel: 540-672-4224		
12	Email: mmiller@millerfirmllc.com		
13	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs		
14	in MDL No. 2741		
15		DIGERICE COLIDE	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
17	NORTHERN DISTRI	ICI OF CALIFORNIA	
18	IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS	MDL No. 2741	
	LIABILITY LITIGATION	Case No. 3:16-md-02741-VC	
19		+	
20	This document relates to:		
21	ALL ACTIONS		
22	MONSANTO'S WITNESS LIS	ST FOR DAUBERT HEARING	
	MONSANTO'S WITNESS LIST FOR DAUBERT HEARING AND OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' WITNESS LIST AND PROPOSED SCHEDULE		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
- 1			

Monsanto Company ("Monsanto") hereby provide the Court with their proposed witness list, objections to defendants' witness list, objections to plaintiffs' witness list, and proposed schedule. The parties reserve the right to amend, supplement, or revise this list depending upon amendments to either party's witness list and/or the presentation of new or undisclosed opinions by Plaintiffs' or Monsanto's experts. The parties also reserve the right to present via videotaped deposition the testimony or excerpts thereof of any or all witnesses named on either party's witness list. Finally, the parties reserve the right to present further challenges to the qualifications, methodology, fit, and/or scope of the testimony of any witnesses or expert testimony proffered by either party prior to, contemporaneously with, or following the *Daubert* hearing.

Pursuant to the Court's August 25, 2017 Minute Order (ECF No. 470), Plaintiffs and

Plaintiffs' Witness List (in alphabetical order)

1. Dr. Charles W. Jameson

Plaintiffs expect expert witness Dr. Jameson to testify as to the opinions contained within his expert report, his opinions testified to at his deposition, and to his interpretation of the opinions expressed by Monsanto's experts. As set forth below, Plaintiffs believe that Plaintiffs should have up to 2.5 hours to conduct the direct examination of Dr. Jameson and Monsanto should have up to 1.5 hours to conduct cross-examination of Dr. Jameson.

2. Dr. Chadi Nabhan

Plaintiffs expect expert witness Dr. Nabhan to testify as to the opinions contained within his expert report, his opinions testified to at his deposition, and to his interpretation of the opinions expressed by Monsanto's experts. As set forth below, Plaintiffs believe that Plaintiffs should have up to 2.5 hours to conduct the direct examination of Dr. Nahban and Monsanto should have up to 1.5 hours to conduct cross-examination of Dr. Nahban.

3. Dr. Alfred Neugut

Plaintiffs expect expert witness Dr. Neugut to testify as to the opinions contained within his expert report, his opinions testified to at his deposition, and to his interpretation of the opinions expressed by Monsanto's experts. As set forth below, Plaintiffs believe that Plaintiffs should have up to 2.5 hours to conduct the direct examination of Dr. Neugut and Monsanto should have up to 1.5 hours to conduct cross-examination of Dr. Neugut.

4. Dr. Christopher Portier

Plaintiffs expect expert witness Dr. Portier to testify as to the opinions contained within his expert report, his opinions testified to at his deposition, and to his interpretation of the opinions expressed by Monsanto's experts. As set forth below, Plaintiffs believe that Plaintiffs should have up to 2.5 hours to conduct the direct examination of Dr. Portier and Monsanto should have up to 1.5 hours to conduct cross-examination of Dr. Portier.

5. Dr. Beate Ritz

Plaintiffs expect expert witness Dr. Ritz to testify as to the opinions contained within her expert report, her opinions testified to at her deposition, and to her interpretation of the opinions expressed by Monsanto's experts. As set forth below, Plaintiffs believe that Plaintiffs should have up to 2.5 hours to conduct the direct examination of Dr. Ritz and Monsanto should have up to 1.5 hours to conduct cross-examination of Dr. Ritz.

6. Dr. Dennis Weisenburger

Plaintiffs expect expert witness Dr. Weisenburger to testify as to the opinions contained within his expert report, his opinions testified to at his deposition, and to his interpretation of the opinions expressed by Monsanto's experts. As set forth below, Plaintiffs believe that Plaintiffs should have up to 2.5 hours to conduct the direct examination of Dr. Weisenburger and Monsanto should have up to 1.5 hours to conduct cross-examination of Dr. Weisenburger.

5

6 7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiffs' Non-Retained Experts

1. Dr. Aaron Blair

Plaintiffs expect to call Dr. Blair as a non-retained expert witness either by trial subpoena or by way of his deposition testimony on March 20, 2017. If by trial subpoena, and as set forth below, Plaintiffs believe that Plaintiffs should have up to 2.5 hours to conduct the direct examination of Dr. Blair and Monsanto should have up to 1.5 hours to conduct cross-examination of Dr. Blair.

2. Dr. Matthew Ross

Plaintiffs expect to call Dr. Ross as a non-retained expert witness either by trial subpoena or by way of his deposition testimony on May 3, 2017. If by trial subpoena, and as set forth below, Plaintiffs believe that Plaintiffs should have up to 2.5 hours to conduct the direct examination of Dr. Ross and Monsanto should have up to 1.5 hours to conduct cross-examination of Dr. Ross.

Plaintiffs' Objections to Monsanto's Witness List

Plaintiffs will be filing their *Daubert* motion on October 27, 2017 and will incorporate those arguments during *Daubert* week. Plaintiffs, however, do not object to Monsanto bringing any or all of their identified witnesses to *Daubert* week.

Monsanto's Witness List

1. Dr. Chris Corcoran (Utah State University), Logan, Utah

Monsanto expects Dr. Corcoran to testify as to the opinions contained within his expert report, his opinions expressed at deposition, and to his interpretation of the opinions expressed by plaintiffs' experts. The length of Dr. Corcoran's testimony will be dependent upon the length and content of plaintiffs' experts' testimony, and therefore Monsanto is unable to provide an estimate as to the length of his testimony at this time.

2. Dr. William Fleming (Oregon Health and Science University), Portland, Oregon

Monsanto expects Dr. Fleming to testify as to the opinions contained within his

expert report, his opinions expressed at deposition, and to his interpretation of the opinions expressed by plaintiffs' experts. The length of Dr. Fleming's testimony will be dependent upon the length and content of plaintiffs' experts' testimony, and therefore Monsanto is unable to provide an estimate as to the length of his testimony at this time.

3. Dr. Warren Foster (McMaster University), Hamilton, Ontario, Canada Monsanto expects Dr. Foster to testify as to the opinions contained within his expert report, his opinions expressed at deposition, and to his interpretation of the opinions expressed by plaintiffs' experts. The length of Dr. Foster's testimony will be dependent upon the length and content of plaintiffs' experts' testimony, and therefore Monsanto is unable to provide an estimate as to the length of his testimony at this

4. Dr. Jay Goodman (Michigan State University), East Lansing, Michigan

Monsanto expects Dr. Goodman to testify as to the opinions contained within his

expert report, his opinions expressed at deposition, and to his interpretation of the

opinions expressed by plaintiffs' experts. The length of Dr. Goodman's testimony

will be dependent upon the length and content of plaintiffs' experts' testimony, and therefore Monsanto is unable to provide an estimate as to the length of his testimony

at this time.

time.

5. Dr. Lorelei Mucci (Harvard University), Boston, Massachusetts

Monsanto expects Dr. Mucci to testify as to the opinions contained within her expert report, her opinions expressed at deposition, and to her interpretation of the opinions expressed by plaintiffs' experts. The length of Dr. Mucci's testimony will be dependent upon the length and content of plaintiffs' experts' testimony, and therefore Monsanto is unable to provide an estimate as to the length of her testimony at this time.

6. Dr. Jennifer Rider (Boston University), Boston, Massachusetts

Monsanto expects Dr. Rider to testify as to the opinions contained within her expert

report, her opinions expressed at deposition, and to her interpretation of the opinions expressed by plaintiffs' experts. The length of Dr. Rider's testimony will be dependent upon the length and content of plaintiffs' experts' testimony, and therefore Monsanto is unable to provide an estimate as to the length of her testimony at this time.

7. Dr. Thomas Rosol (Ohio University), Athens, Ohio

Monsanto expects Dr. Rosol to testify as to the opinions contained within his expert report, his opinions expressed at deposition, and to his interpretation of the opinions expressed by plaintiffs' experts. The length of Dr. Rosol's testimony will be dependent upon the length and content of plaintiffs' experts' testimony, and therefore Monsanto is unable to provide an estimate as to the length of his testimony at this time.

Non-Retained Experts Offered via Deposition Testimony Only

1. Dr. Aaron Blair (Retired), North Potomac, Maryland

Monsanto expects to designate portions of Dr. Blair's testimony from his deposition on March 20, 2017 to the extent that testimony is admissible pursuant to the applicable law, the Federal Rules of Evidence, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See* Monsanto's *Daubert* Motion (ECF No. 545). The length of Dr. Blair's testimony will be dependent upon the length and content of plaintiffs' experts' testimony, but Dr. Blair's testimony will be limited to the testimony propounded at his deposition.

2. Dr. Matthew Ross (Mississippi State University), Starkville, Mississippi

Monsanto expects to designate portions of Dr. Ross's testimony from his deposition on May 3, 2017 to the extent that testimony is admissible pursuant to the applicable law, the Federal Rules of Evidence, and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See* Monsanto's *Daubert* Motion (ECF No. 545). The length of Dr. Ross's testimony will be dependent upon the length and content of plaintiffs' experts' testimony, but Dr. Ross's testimony will be limited to the testimony propounded at his deposition.

1	Objections to Plaintiffs' Witness List		
2	3.	Dr. Aaron Blair (Retired), North Potomac, Maryland	
3		Monsanto objects to the admission of any general causation opinion testimony of	
4		Aaron Blair for the reasons previously outlined in Monsanto's <i>Daubert</i> Motion (ECF	
5		No. 545). Monsanto further objects to the admission of any live testimony from Dr.	
6		Blair or any testimony beyond that presented at his March 20, 2017 deposition. Dr.	
7		Blair has not proffered an expert report in this matter and Dr. Blair's March 20, 2017	
8		deposition was expressly limited to fact testimony.	
9	4.	Dr. Charles W. Jameson (Consultant), Fort Myers, Florida	
10		Monsanto objects to the admission of the testimony of Charles Jameson for the	
11		reasons previously outlined in Monsanto's <i>Daubert</i> Motion (ECF No. 545).	
12	5.	Dr. Chadi Nabhan (Consultant), Chicago, Illinois	
13		Monsanto objects to the admission of the testimony of Chadi Nabhan for the reasons	
14		previously outlined in Monsanto's Daubert Motion (ECF No. 545).	
15	6.	Dr. Alfred Neugut (Columbia University), New York, New York	
16		Monsanto objects to the admission of the testimony of Alfred Neugut for the reasons	
17		previously outlined in Monsanto's Daubert Motion (ECF No. 545).	
18	7.	Dr. Christopher Portier (Consultant), Thun, Switzerland	
19		Monsanto objects to the admission of the testimony of Christopher Portier for the	
20		reasons previously outlined in Monsanto's <i>Daubert</i> Motion (ECF No. 545).	
21	8.	Dr. Beate Ritz (UCLA), Los Angeles, California	
22		Monsanto objects to the admission of the testimony of Beate Ritz for the reasons	
23		previously outlined in Monsanto's Daubert Motion (ECF No. 545).	
24	9.	Dr. Matthew Ross (Mississippi State University), Starkville, Mississippi	
25		Monsanto objects to the admission of any general causation opinion testimony of	
26		Matthew Ross for the reasons previously outlined in Monsanto's Daubert Motion	
27		(ECF No. 545). Monsanto further objects to the admission of any live testimony from	
28		Dr. Ross or any testimony beyond that presented at his May 3, 2017 deposition. Dr.	
	[-	

12

11

13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

27

28

26

Ross has not proffered an expert report in this matter and Dr. Ross's May 3, 2017 deposition was expressly limited to fact testimony.

10. Dr. Dennis Weisenburger (City of Hope), Duarte, California

Monsanto objects to the admission of the testimony of Dennis Weisenburger for the reasons previously outlined in Monsanto's Daubert Motion (ECF No. 545).

Proposed Schedule for Science Week

<u>Plaintiffs' Position:</u> Plaintiffs proffered six general causation experts and two nonretained experts and intends to call them all to the Daubert hearing the week of December 11, 2017. Monsanto identified nine witnesses for *Daubert* week. Because both sides named the same two non-retained witnesses, the parties are collectively proffering fifteen witnesses during *Daubert* week. Although perhaps not ideal, Plaintiffs believe that one week is insufficient time to conduct direct examination, cross examination, and Court questioning on fifteen expert witnesses, plus summary judgment arguments by counsel. Plaintiffs propose that direct examination of each witness shall be limited to 2.5 hours and cross-examination of each witness shall be limited to 1.5 hours. Because the party proffering the witness carries the burden, allowing more time on direct examination is fair and makes sense. Also, because it will remain unknown until after Plaintiffs proffer their witnesses which experts Monsanto will actually present at the *Daubert* hearing, it makes sense to limit the time "by expert" rather than "by side." Otherwise, it would be impossible for Plaintiffs to know how much time to reserve for cross-examination of Monsanto's experts when they do not know which experts will actually produce at the hearing.

Monsanto's Position: Monsanto proposes that each party be given equal time to conduct direct and cross-examination of witnesses (e.g. if 30 hours of court time is scheduled for witness testimony, each party may use 15 hours as it sees fit in conducting direct and/or cross-examination of witnesses). Monsanto believes that the Court has reserved sufficient time during the week of December 11, 2017 for the parties to provide evidence

Case 3:16-md-02741-VC Document 610 Filed 10/13/17 Page 9 of 9

1	on the <i>Daubert</i> issue before the Court and does not believe the hearing should be		
2	extended into the week of December 18, 2017, which would create as well significant		
3	scheduling conflicts with the upcoming holidays.		
4			
5	DATED: October 13, 2017	Respectfully submitted,	
6		<u>/s/</u>	
7		Joe G. Hollingsworth (<i>pro hac vice</i>) jhollingsworth@hollingsworthllp.com	
8		HOLLINGSWORTH LLP	
9		1350 I Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005	
10		Telephone: (202) 898-5800 Facsimile: (202) 682-1639	
11			
12		Attorneys for Defendant	
13		MONSANTO COMPANY	
14		/s/ Robin L. Greenwald	
15		Robin L. Greenwald (<i>pro hac vice</i>) rgreenwald@weitzlux.com	
16		700 Broadway New York, NY 10003	
17		Tel: 212-558-5802	
18		Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
		- 9 -	