

Glyphosate Research Scoping

Stephanie L. Smith-Roe, Ph.D. Biomolecular Screening Branch National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

NTP Board of Scientific Counselors Meeting June 15 – 16, 2016





Glyphosate

A heavily used herbicide

- High production herbicide registered in 130 countries, manufactured by at least 91 producers in 20 countries
 - > 1.7 million tons applied in USA from 1974 2014 (~90% for agriculture)



- Total global use ~ 9.4 million tons from 1974 2014
- More than 750 products containing glyphosate are available in USA alone
- Post-emergent, systemic, non-selective herbicide by targeting an amino acid synthesis pathway that is present in plants and bacteria but not in mammals
- Applied as a mixture of glyphosate and spray adjuvants to improve delivery of glyphosate to plants
- General population exposed through diet & use of consumer products



Toxicity Report No. 16: 13-week study with glyphosate in feed (1992)

- Nominated by California Regional Water Quality Control Board North Coast Region (1981)
- NTP selected glyphosate for toxicity evaluation because of:
 - Expanding use
 - Potential for human exposure
 - The lack of published reports concerning comprehensive toxicity or carcinogenicity evaluations





Toxicity Report No. 16: 13-week study with glyphosate in feed (1992)

- Top dose for rats ~3,400 mg/kg/day (males & females)
 - No gross lesions at necropsy
- Top dose for mice ~10,800 and ~12,000 mg/kg/day (males & females, respectively)
 - No gross lesions at necropsy
- Micronucleus assay was negative in male and female mice (also 13-week exposure via feed)
- Bacterial mutagenicity tests were negative
- ADME studies indicated low absorption and rapid elimination

Is glyphosate a carcinogenic risk for humans?

Current assessments



Key differences

- Hazard identification versus risk assessment
 - IARC evaluates whether a chemical is a cancer hazard
 - JMPR evaluates potential cancer risk from dietary exposure
 - EPA and EFSA perform mandated, comprehensive risk assessments with cancer as one of many endpoints
- Access to unpublished, industry-funded guideline studies that are part of pesticide registration packages is limited
 - EPA, EFSA, & JMPR have greater access to unpublished studies
- Active ingredient versus glyphosate formulations
 - IARC included glyphosate formulations in evaluation



- Compare toxicity of glyphosate versus formulations (and formulations vs. formulations)
- Provide publicly available toxicology data on cancer-related endpoints
- Provide publicly available toxicology data on non-cancer endpoints
- Investigate mechanisms of how glyphosate and formulations cause toxic effects



What is the role of glyphosate in the toxicity of formulations?

- Are all formulations equally toxic?
- What drives the toxicity of formulations?



1.9% (0.12 M)
1.0% (0.06 M)
41% (2.40 M) A
41% (2.40 M) B
18% (1.10 M)



- Compare toxicity of glyphosate versus formulations (and formulations vs. formulations)
- Provide publicly available toxicology data on cancer-related endpoints
- Provide publicly available toxicology data on non-cancer endpoints
- Investigate mechanisms of how glyphosate and formulations cause toxic effects



Cancer-related endpoints

10 Key Characteristics of Carcinogens

- Act as an electrophile either directly or after metabolic activation
- Genotoxicity
- Alter DNA repair or cause genomic instability
- Induce epigenetic alterations
- Induce oxidative stress

- Induce chronic inflammation
- Be immunosuppressive
- Modulate receptormediated effects
- Cause immortalization
- Alter cell proliferation, cell death, or nutrient supply

Smith et al. (2016) Key characteristics of carcinogens as a basis for organizing data on mechanisms of carcinogenesis. *EHP*.124(6): 713-21



Cancer-related endpoints

10 Key Characteristics of Carcinogens

• Act as an electrophile either directly or after metabolic activation

Genotoxicity

- Alter DNA repair or cause genomic instability
- Induce epigenetic alterations
- Induce oxidative stress

- Induce chronic inflammation
- Be immunosuppressive
- Modulate receptormediated effects
- Cause immortalization
- Alter cell proliferation, cell death, or nutrient supply

Smith et al. (2016) Key characteristics of carcinogens as a basis for organizing data on mechanisms of carcinogenesis. *EHP*.124(6): 713-21



- Compare toxicity of glyphosate versus formulations (and formulations vs. formulations)
- Provide publicly available toxicology data on cancer-related endpoints
- Provide publicly available toxicology data on non-cancer endpoints
- Investigate mechanisms of how glyphosate and formulations cause toxic effects



Non-cancer related endpoints

- Screening-level analysis of literature using SWIFT
 - <u>Sciome Workbench for Interactive</u>, Computer-<u>Facilitated Text-</u> mining (SWIFT) software
 - Identify and rank research that is most relevant to questions
 - Categorize by exposure, outcome, and evidence stream
 - Visualize and summarize
- Describe evidence base for health outcomes investigated in connection to glyphosate exposure (and by definition also what has not been investigated)

Howard et al. (2016) SWIFT-Review: a text-mining workbench for systematic review. *Systematic Reviews*, 5(1):87



- Compare toxicity of glyphosate versus formulations (and formulations vs. formulations)
- Provide publicly available toxicology data on cancer-related endpoints
- Provide publicly available toxicology data on non-cancer endpoints
- Investigate mechanisms of how glyphosate and formulations cause toxic effects



Approach – rapid screening & short-term in vivo tests

Rapid screening of glyphosate & formulations



Short term *in vivo* testing:

Guideline genotoxicity assays Gene expression assays Assays for oxidative stress



Robust dose-response data to aid risk assessment



Tailor research program to match decisionmaking time frame



- Comment on the relevancy of the proposed activity relative to the mission and goals of the NTP.
 - The NTP's stated goals are to: Provide information on potentially hazardous substances to all stakeholders; Develop and validate improved testing methods; Strengthen the science base in toxicology; Coordinate toxicology testing programs across DHHS (<u>http://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/about</u>).
- Comment on whether the steps outlined in the presentation to formulate the research problem and for gathering input are appropriate.
- Provide any other comments *e.g.* on rationale, scope, significance that you feel NTP staff should consider in developing this activity.